## HCQ for COVID-19: real-time meta analysis of 422 studies

@CovidAnalysis, Mar 29, 2024, Version 284 https://c19hcg.org/meta.html

#### TLDR: evidence for efficacy

#### Abstract

Early treatment shows 66% [54-74%] lower risk with pooled effects in 39 studies. Results are similar for higher quality studies and for peer-reviewed studies. The 17 mortality and 16 hospitalization results show 76% [61-85%] lower mortality and 41% [28-51%] lower hospitalization.

Late treatment is less successful, with 20% [16-24%] lower risk from 269 studies. Very late treatment may be harmful, especially with excessive dosages.

Randomized Controlled Trials show 19% [6-30%] lower risk, or 25% [11-37%] when excluding late treatment.

There is substantial bias towards publishing negative results. Prospective studies show higher efficacy. Negative RCTs received priority treatment at top journals, while positive trials report difficulty publishing. There is a strong geographical bias, with significantly more negative studies from North America.

Results are missing for 53% of early treatment and prophylaxis RCTs, compared to 18% for late treatment, consistent with the higher prevalence of positive studies for early treatment and prophylaxis, and bias against publishing positive results.

No treatment or intervention is 100% effective. All practical, effective, and safe means should be used based on risk/benefit analysis. Multiple treatments are typically used in combination, which may be significantly more effective. Lung pharmacokinetics show high inter-individual variability *Ruiz*.





All data to reproduce this paper and the sources are in the appendix. Multiple other meta analyses show efficacy for early treatment or prophylaxis García-Albéniz, Ladapo, Landsteiner de Sampaio Amêndola, Prodromos, Risch, Risch (B), Stricker.



#### HIGHLIGHTS

HCQ reduces risk for COVID-19 with very high confidence for mortality, hospitalization, cases, viral clearance, and in pooled analysis.

1st treatment shown effective with  $\ge$ 3 clinical studies in March 2020, now with *p* < 0.00000000001 from 422 studies, and recognized in 42 countries.

We show outcome specific analyses and combined evidence from all studies, incorporating treatment delay, a primary confounding factor for COVID-19.

Real-time updates and corrections, transparent analysis with all results in the same format, consistent protocol for 69 treatments.



Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.46, I<sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p < 0.0001

Effect extraction pre-specified, see appendix

Favors HCQ Favors control



### All 16 HCQ COVID-19 hospitalization early treatment results



Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.05, I<sup>2</sup> = 61.0%, p < 0.0001

Favors HCQ Favors control

c19hcq.org





Figure 1. A. Random effects meta-analysis of all early treatment studies. This plot shows pooled effects, see the specific outcome analyses for individual outcomes. Analysis validating pooled outcomes for COVID-19 can be found below. Effect extraction is pre-specified, using the most serious outcome reported. Simplified dosages are shown for comparison, these are the total dose in the first four days. Chloroquine is indicated with (c). For details of effect extraction and full dosage information see the appendix. B. and C. Random effects meta-analysis of early treatment mortality and hospitalization results. D. Timeline of results in HCQ treatment studies. The marked dates indicate the time when efficacy was known with a statistically significant improvement of ≥10% from ≥3 studies for pooled outcomes, one or more specific outcome, pooled outcomes in RCTs, and one or more specific outcome in RCTs. Efficacy based on RCTs was delayed by 2.6 months, compared to using all studies. Efficacy based on specific outcomes in RCTs was delayed by 10.9 months, compared to using pooled outcomes in RCTs. E. Scatter plot of the effects reported in early treatment studies compared with all studies. Early treatment is more effective.

### Introduction

**Immediate treatment recommended.** SARS-CoV-2 infection primarily begins in the upper respiratory tract and may progress to the lower respiratory tract, other tissues, and the nervous and cardiovascular systems, which may lead to cytokine storm, pneumonia, ARDS, neurological issues <sup>Duloquin, Hampshire, Scardua-Silva, Yang</sup>, cardiovascular complications <sup>Eberhardt</sup>, organ failure, and death. Minimizing replication as early as possible is recommended.

Many treatments are expected to modulate infection. SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication involves the complex interplay of 50+ host and viral proteins and other factors Note A, Malone, Murigneux, Lv, Lui, Niarakis, providing many therapeutic targets for which many existing compounds have known activity. Scientists have predicted that over 7,000 compounds may reduce COVID-19 risk <sup>c19early.org</sup>, either by directly minimizing infection or replication, by supporting immune system function, or by minimizing secondary complications.

Analysis. We analyze all significant controlled studies of HCQ (or CQ) for COVID-19. Search methods, inclusion criteria, effect extraction criteria (more serious outcomes have priority), all individual study data, PRISMA answers, and statistical methods are detailed in Appendix 1. We present random-effects meta-analysis results for all studies, studies within each treatment stage, mortality, hospitalization, cases, viral clearance, higher quality studies, and for Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs).

**Treatment timing.** Figure 2 shows stages of possible treatment for COVID-19. **Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP)** refers to regularly taking medication before being infected, in order to prevent or minimize infection. In **Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP)**, medication is taken after exposure but before symptoms appear. Early Treatment refers to treatment immediately or soon after symptoms appear, while Late Treatment refers to more delayed treatment.





## **Preclinical Research**

8 In Silico studies support the efficacy of hydroxychloroquine <sup>Alkafaas</sup>, <sup>Baildya</sup>, <sup>González-Paz</sup>, <sup>Hussein</sup>, <sup>Navya</sup>, <sup>Noureddine</sup>, <sup>Tarek</sup>, <sup>Yadav</sup>

20 In Vitro studies support the efficacy of hydroxychloroquine Alsmadi, Andreani, Clementi, Dang, Delandre, Faísca, González-Paz, Kamga Kapchoup, Liu, Milan Bonotto, Mohd Abd Razak, Ou, Purwati, Shang, Sheaff, Wang, Wang (B), Wen, Yao, Yuan

2 In Vivo animal studies support the efficacy of hydroxychloroquine Shu-Han Lin, Wen.

5 studies investigate novel formulations of hydroxychloroquine that may be more effective for COVID-19 Alsmadi, Faísca, Kavanagh, Klimke, Zelenko

Preclinical research is an important part of the development of treatments, however results may be very different in clinical trials. Preclinical results are not used in this paper.

### **Results**

**Early treatment.** 92% of early treatment studies report a positive effect, with an estimated improvement of 66% in random effects meta analysis.

Late treatment. Late treatment studies are mixed, with 68% showing positive effects, and an estimated improvement of 20%. Negative studies typically fall into the following categories: they show evidence of significant unadjusted confounding, including confounding by indication; usage is extremely late; or they use an excessively high dosage.

**Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis.** 81% of PrEP studies show positive effects, with an estimated improvement of 33%. The majority of negative studies analyze systemic autoimmune disease patients and either do not adjust for the different baseline risk of these patients at all, or do not adjust for the highly variable risk within this group.

Post-Exposure Prophylaxis. 88% of PEP studies report positive effects, with an estimated improvement of 30%.

Table 1 summarizes the results for all stages combined, for Randomized Controlled Trials, with different exclusions, and for specific outcomes. Table 2 shows results by treatment stage. Figure 3 plots individual results by treatment stage. Figure 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 show forest plots for treatment studies with pooled effects, and for studies reporting mortality, hospitalization, case, and viral clearance results.

	Improvement	Studies	Patients	Authors
All studies	<b>26%</b> [23-30%] p < 0.0001 ****	422	535,118	8,711
After exclusions	<b>37%</b> [34-41%] p < 0.0001 ****	272	318,601	6,476
Randomized Controlled Trials	<b>19%</b> [6-30%] p = 0.0067 **	61	27,461	3,091
RCTs exc. late treatment	<b>25%</b> [11-37%] p = 0.00099 ***	28	15,682	695
Mortality	<b>25%</b> [20-29%] p < 0.0001 ****	253	381,319	6,327
Hospitalization	<b>15%</b> [6-24%] p = 0.0013 **	65	96,654	1,298
Recovery	<b>17%</b> [6-27%] p = 0.0031 **	28	8,652	501
Cases	<b>28%</b> [20-35%] p < 0.0001 ****	81	161,641	1,139
Viral	<b>19%</b> [10-28%] p = 0.00023 ***	48	9,069	643
RCT mortality exc. late	<b>48%</b> [-5-74%] p = 0.069	3	4,292	111
RCT hospitalization exc. late	<b>24%</b> [-1-43%] p = 0.057	11	8,780	274
RCT cases	<b>23%</b> [10-34%] p = 0.0008 ***	17	11,660	488

Table 1. Random effects meta-analysis for all stages combined, for Randomized ControlledTrials, with different exclusions, and for specific outcomes. Results show the percentageimprovement with treatment and the 95% confidence interval. \* p < 0.05 \*\*\* p < 0.01\*\*\*\*\* p < 0.001.

All studies       66% [54-74%] ****       20% [16-24%] ****       33% [26-40%] ****       30% [10-46%] **         After exclusions       66% [54-75%] ****       32% [27-35%] ****       41% [33-49%] ****       30% [10-46%] **         Randomized Controlled Trials       34% [-1-56%]       15% [-4-31%]       25% [4-42%] ***       21% [-6-41%]         Mortality       76% [61-85%] ****       21% [16-25%] ***       30% [114-43%] ***       46% [-80-84%]         Hospitalization       41% [28-51%] ***       -2% [-17-11%]       11% [-1-22%]       16% [-69-58%]         Recovery       35% [16-50%] ***       12% [-1-23%]       25% [20-35%] ***       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%] **       17% [6-27%] ***       28% [20-35%] ***       25% [-0-43%]         KCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT nortality       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT nospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases        29% [15-41%] ***       13% [-14-34%]       13% [-14-34%]		Early treatment	Late treatment	Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis	Post-Exposure Prophylaxis
After exclusions       66% [54-75%]****       32% [27-35%]****       41% [33-49%]****       30% [10-46%]**         Randomized Controlled Trials       34% [-1-56%]       15% [-4-31%]       25% [4-42%]*       21% [-6-41%]         Mortality       76% [61-85%]****       21% [16-25%]****       30% [14-43%]***       46% [-80-84%]         Hospitalization       41% [28-51%]****       -2% [-17-11%]       11% [-1-22%]       16% [-69-58%]         Recovery       35% [16-50%]***       12% [-1-23%]       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%]***       17% [6-27%]***       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT nospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       29% [15-41%]***       13% [-14-34%]       13% [-14-34%]	All studies	<b>66%</b> [54-74%] ****	<b>20%</b> [16-24%] ****	<b>33%</b> [26-40%] ****	<b>30%</b> [10-46%] **
Randomized Controlled Trials       34% [-1-56%]       15% [-4-31%]       25% [4-42%]*       21% [-6-41%]         Mortality       76% [61-85%]****       21% [16-25%]****       30% [14-43%]***       46% [-80-84%]         Hospitalization       41% [28-51%]****       -2% [-17-11%]       11% [-1-22%]       16% [-69-58%]         Recovery       35% [16-50%]***       12% [-1-23%]       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%]**       17% [6-27%]**       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         KCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases        -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]	After exclusions	<b>66%</b> [54-75%] ****	<b>32%</b> [27-35%] ****	<b>41%</b> [33-49%] ****	<b>30%</b> [10-46%] **
Mortality       76% [61-85%]****       21% [16-25%]****       30% [14-43%]***       46% [-80-84%]         Hospitalization       41% [28-51%]****       -2% [-17-11%]       11% [-1-22%]       16% [-69-58%]         Recovery       35% [16-50%]***       12% [-1-23%]       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%]***       17% [6-27%]***       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%]***       17% [6-27%]***       46% [-80-84%]         RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases         13% [-14-34%]       13% [-14-34%]	Randomized Controlled Trials	<b>34%</b> [-1-56%]	<b>15%</b> [-4-31%]	<b>25%</b> [4-42%] *	<b>21%</b> [-6-41%]
Mortality       76% [61-85%]****       21% [16-25%]****       30% [14-43%]***       46% [-80-84%]         Hospitalization       41% [28-51%]****       -2% [-17-11%]       11% [-1-22%]       16% [-69-58%]         Recovery       35% [16-50%]***       12% [-1-23%]       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         Cases       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%]***       17% [6-27%]***       -       -         RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       -       -         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       -       29% [15-41%]***       13% [-14-34%]					
Hospitalization       41% [28-51%]****       -2% [-17-11%]       11% [-1-22%]       16% [-69-58%]         Recovery       35% [16-50%]**       12% [-1-23%]       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         Cases       28% [20-35%]***       25% [-0-43%]       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%]**       17% [6-27%]**       28% [20-35%]****       25% [-0-43%]         KCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]	Mortality	<b>76%</b> [61-85%] ****	<b>21%</b> [16-25%] ****	<b>30%</b> [14-43%] ***	<b>46%</b> [-80-84%]
Recovery         35% [16-50%]**         12% [-1-23%]           Cases         28% [20-35%]***         25% [-0-43%]           Viral         32% [14-47%]**         17% [6-27%]**         -28% [20-35%]****         25% [-0-43%]           RCT mortality         48% [-76-85%]         -3% [-19-11%]         46% [-80-84%]           RCT hospitalization         24% [-5-45%]         -18% [-70-19%]         61% [-83-92%]         16% [-69-58%]           RCT cases         29% [15-41%]***         13% [-14-34%]         13% [-14-34%]	Hospitalization	<b>41%</b> [28-51%] ****	<b>-2%</b> [-17-11%]	<b>11%</b> [-1-22%]	<b>16%</b> [-69-58%]
Cases       28% [20-35%] ****       25% [-0-43%]         Viral       32% [14-47%] **       17% [6-27%] **       -         RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       -         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       29% [15-41%] ***       13% [-14-34%]       13% [-14-34%]	Recovery	<b>35%</b> [16-50%] **	<b>12%</b> [-1-23%]		
Viral       32% [14-47%]**       17% [6-27%]**         RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       29% [15-41%]***       13% [-14-34%]	Cases			<b>28%</b> [20-35%] ****	<b>25%</b> [-0-43%]
RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       29% [15-41%]***       13% [-14-34%]	Viral	<b>32%</b> [14-47%] **	<b>17%</b> [6-27%] **		
RCT mortality       48% [-76-85%]       -3% [-19-11%]       46% [-80-84%]         RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       29% [15-41%] ***       13% [-14-34%]					
RCT hospitalization       24% [-5-45%]       -18% [-70-19%]       61% [-83-92%]       16% [-69-58%]         RCT cases       29% [15-41%] ***       13% [-14-34%]	RCT mortality	<b>48%</b> [-76-85%]	<b>-3%</b> [-19-11%]		<b>46%</b> [-80-84%]
RCT cases         29% [15-41%] ***         13% [-14-34%]	RCT hospitalization	<b>24%</b> [-5-45%]	<b>-18%</b> [-70-19%]	<b>61%</b> [-83-92%]	<b>16%</b> [-69-58%]
	RCT cases			<b>29%</b> [15-41%] ***	<b>13%</b> [-14-34%]

*Table 2.* Random effects meta-analysis results by treatment stage. Results show the percentage improvement with treatment, the 95% confidence interval, and the number of studies for the stage. \*p<0.05 \*\* p<0.01 \*\*\* p<0.001 \*\*\*\* p<0.001.



*Figure 3.* Results by treatment stage.

### All HCQ COVID-19 studies



	Impro	vement, RR [CI]	Treatment	Control		April 2024
Gautret	66%	0.34 [0.17-0.68] viral+	6/20	14/16		
Esper	64%	0.36 [0.15-0.87] hosp.	8/412	12/224		
Ashraf	68%	0.32 [0.10-1.10] death	10/77	2/5		
Huang (ES)	59%	0.41 [0.26-0.64] viral time	32 (n)	37 (n)		
Guérin	61%	0.39 [0.02-9.06] death	0/20	1/34		
Derwand	79%	0.21 [0.03-1.47] death	1/141	13/377		
Smith (RCT)	64%	0.36 [0.02-7.70] hosp.	0/7	1/9		
Mitjà (RCT)	16%	0.84 [0.35-2.03] hosp.	8/136	11/157		
Skipper (RCT)	37%	0.63 [0.21-1.91] death/hosp	. 5/231	8/234		
Hong	65%	0.35 [0.13-0.72] viral+	42 (n)	48 (n)		
Bernabeu-wittei	94%	0.06[0.01-0.31] death	24/139	37/83		
ru (ES)	80%	0.15[0.03-0.74] death	1//3	238/2,604		
Ly	55%	0.44 [0.20-0.75] death	2/07	29/110		
lþ Horas	06%	0.45 [0.11-1.65] death	2/9/	16/30		
Kirenga	26%	0.74 [0.47-1.17] recovitime	29 (n)	27 (n)		
Sulaiman	64%	0.36 [0.16-0.80] death	7/1 817	54/3 724		
Guisado-Vasco (ES)	67%	0.33 [0.05-1.55] death	2/65	139/542		
Szente Fonseca	64%	0.36 [0.20-0.67] hosp	25/175	89/542		
Cadegiani	81%	0.19 [0.01-3.88] death	0/159	2/1.37		
Simova	94%	0.06 [0.01-0.57] hosp	0/33	2/5		
Omrani (RCT)	12%	0.88 [0.26-2.94] hosp	7/304	4/152		
Agusti	68%	0.32 [0.06-1.67] progression	1 2/87	4/55		
Su	85%	0.15 [0.04-0.57] progression	n n/a	n/a		
Amaravadi (RCT)	60%	0.40 [0.13-1.28] no recov.	3/15	6/12		
Rov	2%	0.98 [0.45-2.20] recovitime	14 (n)	15 (n)		
Mokhtari	70%	0.30 [0.20-0.45] death	27/7.295	287/21.464		
Corradini (ES)	67%	0.33 [0.14-0.78] death	641 (n)	102 (n)		
Million	83%	0.17 [0.06-0.48] death	5/8.315	11/2.114		
Sobnawi (RCT)	52%	0.48 [0.09-2.58] no recov.	2/95	4/92		
Rodrigues (RCT)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-71.6] hosp.	1/42	0/42		
Sawanpanyalert	42%	0.58 [0.18-1.91] progression	n n/a	n/a		
Atipornwan (RCT)	-150%	2.50 [0.10-59.6] progression	n 1/60	0/30		
Chechter	95%	0.05 [0.00-0.96] hosp.	0/60	3/12	-	
Rouamba (ES)	73%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression	1 23/399	4/33		
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT)	73% 1%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death	n 23/399 5/687	4/33 5/682		
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT)	73% 1% -100%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression	n 23/399 5/687 n 2/31	4/33 5/682 1/31		
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod	73% 1% -100% 73%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death	n 23/399 5/687 n 2/31 513 (n)	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n)		
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT)	73% 1% -100% 73% 71%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death	n 23/399 5/687 n 2/31 513 (n) 4/248	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178	PR <del>OTE®T</del>	
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Farly treatment	73% 1% -100% 73% 71%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46]	a 23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178	PROTE®T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Early treatment $Tau^2 = 0.46 I^2 = 71.2\%$ p	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 66%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46]	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1,051/34,981	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% : 66% < 0.0001	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46]	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1,051/34,981	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Early treatment $Tau^2 = 0.46$ , $l^2 = 71.2\%$ , p	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% : 66% < 0.0001 Impro	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46]	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 Treatment 5/10	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1,051/34,981 Control	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Early treatment Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% < 0.0001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 2%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 Treatment 5/10 5/15	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1,051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15	PR <del>OTE®T</del>	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Early treatment Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, I <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanghan (5th	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% : 66% < 0.0001 Impro 38% 29%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [CI] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82	PROTENT	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Early treatment Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, $I^2$ = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (辞	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% < 66% < 0.0001 Impro 38% 29% 80% 57%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [CI] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31	PROTENT	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) Early treatment Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barboca	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% < 0.0001 Impro 38% 29% 80% 57% -147%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25 0] death	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31 2/17	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21	PROTENT	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT)	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% < 0.0001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31 2/17 11/75	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75	PROTE®T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Macganoli	73% 1% 70% 73% 71% 66% < 0.0001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1 77] death	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31 2/17 11/75 39/148	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163	PROTE®T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% < 66% < 0.0001 Impro 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1 03 [0.67-1 57] death	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31 2/17 11/75 39/148 33/114	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% < 0.0001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3% 46%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death	23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31 2/17 11/75 39/148 33/114 322 (n)	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n)	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% 0.0001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3% 46% -203%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death 3.03 [1 11-7 69] viral time	1 23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/15 6/31 2/17 11/75 39/148 33/114 322 (n) 23 (n)	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 2/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n)	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (钟 Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% 20001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3% 46% -203% 55%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death	1 23/399 5/687 2/31 513 (n) 4/248 207/22,697 <i>Treatment</i> 5/10 5/15 5/115 6/31 2/17 11/75 39/148 33/114 322 (n) 23 (n) 27/123	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 2/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43	PROTEST	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡‡ Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% c 66% c 0.0001 Impro 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3% 46% -203% 55% -4%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.</b> 051/34,981 <b>2</b> 1/2/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565	PROTENT	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡‡ Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% 20% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3% 46% 55% -4% 43%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/2</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.</b> 051/34,981 <b>2</b> 1/2/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22	PROTE ®T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡‡ Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 50001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -3% 46% -203% 55%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2 40] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li><i>Treatment</i></li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221	PROTE 8T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 666% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 55% -203% 55% -4% 43% -35% 15%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>26/2811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48	PROTE 8T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 55% -203% 55% 15% -20%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.20 [0.26-0.46] verment, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>26/2811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 2/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89	PROTE 0T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡ Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 55% -203% 55% 15% -20% 60%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.20 [0.26-0.46] verment, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.45-1.76] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.40 [0.22-0.72] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li><i>Treatment</i></li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>.</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502	PROTE 8T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 655% -203% 55% 15% -20% 60% 51%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.20 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.45-1.77] death 1.04 [0.32-0.84] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.40 [0.22-0.72] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>26/2811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34,981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n)	PROTE 0T	66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 46% -203% 55% -4% 43% -35% 15% -20% 60% 51% 55%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 1.35 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.95 [0.74-1.22] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>26/2811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34,981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 46% -203% 55% -4% 43% -35% 15% -20% 60% 51% 55% 32%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 1.35 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.45 [0.74-1.22] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.95 [0.74-1.22] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 1.051/34,981 Control 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 8/4565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n)		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo Hraiech (ICU)	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 46% -203% 55% -4% 43% -35% 15% -20% 60% 51% 55% 32% 65%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 1.35 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.49 [0.28-0.88] death 0.35 [0.08-1.86] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> <li>2/17</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34,981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 8/4565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n) 5/15		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Roy-García (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo Hraiech (ICU)	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 46% -203% 55% -4% 43% -35% 15% -35% 55% 51% 55% 55% 55% 1%	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] vernent, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.45 [0.98-1.82] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.95 [0.74-1.22] death 0.68 [0.08-5.88] death 0.35 [0.08-1.56] death 0.35 [0.08-1.22] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>432/1,914</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>.</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n) 5/15 115/598		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo Hraiech (ICU) Ip Goldman	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -203% 55% -147% 21% 11% -35% 15% -35% 15% 55% -35% 15% 55% 51% 55% 15% 1	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] verment, RR [Cl] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.45 [0.98-1.82] death/ 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.95 [0.74-1.22] death 0.68 [0.08-5.88] death 0.35 [0.08-1.56] death 0.35 [0.08-1.52] death 0.37 [0.40-1.52] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>432/1,914</li> <li>10/109</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34,981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n) 5/15 115/598 34/288		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo Hraiech (ICU) Ip Goldman Huang	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 566% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -203% 55% -147% 21% 60% -55% -35% 15% -35% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 5	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] verment, RR [CI] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.40 [0.22-0.72] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.95 [0.74-1.22] death 0.49 [0.80-1.22] death 0.35 [0.08-1.56] death 0.35 [0.08-1.52] death 0.378 [0.40-1.52] death 0.78 [0.40-1.52] death 0.78 [0.40-1.52] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>432/1,914</li> <li>10/109</li> <li>197 (n)</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34,981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n) 5/15 115/598 34/288 176 (n)		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo Hraiech (ICU) Ip Goldman Huang Kuderer	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 50001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -20% 55% -147% 21% 55% -147% 25% 55% -147% 203% 55% 15% 1	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] verment, RR [CI] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 1.03 [0.45-1.77] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.85 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.40 [0.22-0.72] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.45 [0.98-1.86] death 0.35 [0.8-1.56] death 0.35 [0.8-1.56] death 0.35 [0.8-1.56] death 0.35 [0.8-1.52] death 0.378 [0.40-1.52] death 0.378 [0.40-1.52] death 0.378 [0.40-1.52] death 0.33 [0.19-0.57] viral time 2.34 [1.62-3.21] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li><i>Treatment</i></li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>432/1,914</li> <li>10/109</li> <li>197 (n)</li> <li>45/181</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34,981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n) 5/15 115/598 34/288 176 (n) 76/747		66% lower risk
Rouamba (ES) Avezum (RCT) Rathod Azhar (RCT) <b>Early treatment</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.46, l <sup>2</sup> = 71.2%, p Xia Chen (RCT) Zhong Nanshan (‡. Chen (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Barbosa Tang (RCT) Magagnoli Auld Sánchez-Álvarez Mallat Membrillo de No Geleris Alberici Rosenberg Shabrawishi Mahévas Yu Kim Singh Luo Hraiech (ICU) Ip Goldman Huang Kuderer Rogado	73% 1% -100% 73% 71% 50001 <i>Impro</i> 38% 29% 80% 57% -147% 21% 11% -203% 55% -147% 21% 60% 55% 15% 32% 65% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 55% 15% 1	0.27 [0.09-1.02] progression 0.99 [0.29-3.41] death 2.00 [0.19-20.9] progression 0.27 [0.09-0.83] death 0.29 [0.09-0.90] death 0.34 [0.26-0.46] verment, RR [CI] 0.62 [0.32-1.22] viral+ 0.71 [0.29-1.74] progression 0.20 [0.08-0.52] viral+ 0.43 [0.19-0.97] pneumonia 2.47 [0.24-25.0] death 0.79 [0.38-1.62] viral+ 0.89 [0.45-1.77] death 1.03 [0.67-1.57] death 0.54 [0.34-0.84] death 3.03 [1.11-7.69] viral time 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.04 [0.82-1.32] death/int. 0.57 [0.24-1.13] death 1.35 [0.76-2.40] death 0.45 [0.29-0.71] death 1.35 [0.45-1.62] viral+ 1.20 [0.40-3.30] death 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time 0.95 [0.74-1.22] death 0.35 [0.08-1.56] death 0.35 [0.08-1.56] death 0.35 [0.08-1.52] death 0.33 [0.19-0.57] viral time 2.34 [1.62-3.21] death 0.08 [0.00-0.87] death	<ul> <li>23/399</li> <li>5/687</li> <li>2/31</li> <li>513 (n)</li> <li>4/248</li> <li>207/22,697</li> <li>Treatment</li> <li>5/10</li> <li>5/15</li> <li>5/115</li> <li>6/31</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>11/75</li> <li>39/148</li> <li>33/114</li> <li>322 (n)</li> <li>23 (n)</li> <li>27/123</li> <li>262/811</li> <li>17/72</li> <li>189/735</li> <li>12/45</li> <li>9/84</li> <li>9/48</li> <li>22 (n)</li> <li>104/910</li> <li>19 (n)</li> <li>2/17</li> <li>432/1,914</li> <li>10/109</li> <li>197 (n)</li> <li>45/181</li> <li>1/8</li> </ul>	4/33 5/682 1/31 52 (n) 10/178 <b>1.051/34.981</b> <i>Control</i> 12/15 7/15 17/82 14/31 1/21 14/75 18/163 29/103 53 (n) 11 (n) 21/43 84/565 9/22 28/221 15/48 8/89 238/502 40 (n) 109/910 264 (n) 5/15 115/598 34/288 176 (n) 76/747 7/9		66% lower risk

NEOUVENT 00 (NOT	, ,,,,	1.02 [0.27 1.20]	ucum	72171,001	12010,100	
Wang	6%	0.94 [0.75-1.19]	death	1,866 (n)	5,726 (n)	
Luo	-2%	1.02 [0.39-2.65]	death	11/35	4/13	
Paccoud	11%	0.89 [0.23-3 47]	death	21/38	26/46	
Shidian	-5%	1 05 [0 77-1 33]	death	111/623	830/3 792	
Eaíco-Filho	81%	0.19[0.00-8.66]	viral rate	34 (n)	32 (n)	
Chen (RCT)	20%	0.80 [0.42-1.52]	recov time	18 (n)	12 (n)	
Eontana	50%	0.50 [0.42 1.52]	doath	10 (II) 4/12	2/2	
Pouguet	120/0	0.50 [0.10-1.55]	death	4/1Z	2/3	
Logior	4370 500/	0.37 [0.24-1.30]	death	J/Z/ 25/2 110	23/01	
	110/	0.41 [0.27-0.62]	death	30/3,119	20/010	
Sosa-Garcia (ICU)	-11%	1.11[0.32-3.78]	death	//38	3/18	
Komissarov	-25%	1.25 [0.71-2.21]	viral load	26 (n)	10 (n)	
Mikami	4/%	0.53 [0.41-0.68]	death	5/5/2,0//	231//43	
Martinez-Lopez	33%	0.67 [0.39-1.14]	death	47/148	9/19	
Arshad	51%	0.49 [0.39-0.60]	death	162/1,202	108/409	
An	3%	0.97 [0.57-1.67]	viral+	31 (n)	195 (n)	
Rivera-Izquierdo	19%	0.81 [0.24-2.76]	death	215 (n)	23 (n)	
Chen	-29%	1.29 [0.58-2.86]	viral+	16/28	4/9	<b></b>
Chen (RCT)	24%	0.76 [0.20-2.84]	viral+	4/21	3/12	
Cravedi	-53%	1.53 [0.84-2.80]	death	36/101	10/43	
Lecronier (ICU)	42%	0.58 [0.27-1.24]	death	9/38	9/22	
Trullàs	36%	0.64 [0.39-1.07]	death	20/66	16/34	
Gupta	-6%	1.06 [0.92-1.23]	death	631/1.761	153/454	
Lyngbakken (RCT)	4%	0.96 [0.06-14.6]	death	1/27	1/26	
McGrail	-70%	1 70 [0.00 14:0]	death	1/27	3/42	
Krichnen	-7070	1.70 [0.41-7.07]	death	4/33	5/42	
Rhshhan	20%	0.80 [0.52-1.21]	death	80/144	0/8	
Bernaola	1/%	0.83 [0.77-0.89]	death	236/1,498	28/14/	
Kelly	-143%	2.43 [1.06-5.56]	death	23/82	6/52	
Rivera	-2%	1.02 [0.67-1.53]	death	44/179	59/327	
Cavalcanti (RCT)	16%	0.84 [0.28-2.53]	death	8/331	5/173	
Santos	10%	0.90 [0.24-3.36]	death	8/31	2/7	•
Novartis (RCT)	71%	0.29 [0.01-6.03]	no disch.	0/7	1/5	
D'Arminio Monfo	34%	0.66 [0.39-1.11]	death	53/197	47/92	
Davido	55%	0.45 [0.23-0.89]	int./hosp.	12/80	13/40	
Yu	83%	0.17 [0.03-0.99]	progression	1/231	32/1,291	
Berenguer	18%	0.82 [0.74-0.90]	death	681/2.618	438/1.377	
Kamran	5%	0 95 [0 34-2 69]	progression	11/349	5/151	
Kalligeros	-67%	1.67 [0.29-9.36]	death	36 (n)	72 (n)	
Saleemi	-21%	1 21 [1 00-1 46]	viral time	65 (n)	20 (n)	
Pablos	-126%	2 26 [1 35-3 79]	severe case	172 (n)	56 (n)	
Poomi	-2004	1 20 [0 40-2 76]	dooth	12/144	50 (II) 6/22	
ROUIII	-3070	1.30 [0.40-2.70]	death	13/144	0/32	
Peters	-9%	1.09 [0.81-1.47]	death	419/1,596	53/353	
Pinato	59%	0.41 [0.29-0.58]	death	30/182	181/446	
Dubernet	88%	0.12 [0.02-0.88]	ICU	1/17	9/19	
Gonzalez	27%	0.73 [0.53-1.01]	death	1,246/8,476	341/1,168	
Pasquini (ICU)	16%	0.84 [0.62-1.14]	death	23/33	15/18	
Catteau	32%	0.68 [0.62-0.76]	death	804/4,542	957/3,533	
Di Castelnuovo	30%	0.70 [0.59-0.84]	death	386/2,634	90/817	
Fried	-27%	1.27 [1.18-1.36]	death	1,048/4,232	1,466/7,489	
Albani	18%	0.82 [0.61-1.06]	death	60/211	172/605	
Synolaki	24%	0.76 [0.49-1.18]	death	21/98	60/214	
Alamdari	55%	0.45 [0.25-0.83]	death	54/427	9/32	
Heberto	54%	0.46 [0.19-0.97]	death	139 (n)	115 (n)	
Lauriola	74%	0.27 [0.17-0.41]	death	102/297	35/63	
Ashinyo	33%	0.67 [0.47-0.96]	hosp time	61 (n)	61 (n)	
Serrano	43%	0.57 [0.22.1 10]	death	6/14	6/8	
	-60/	1 06 [0 20 2 00]	death	7/67	6/61	
Shooih:	TU70		ueaul	//0/	0/01	I LAUT
Shoaibi	1.50/	0.05 [0.30-2.90]	de este	COC/E 0.47	0.000/04.404	_
	15%	0.85 [0.79-0.91]	death	686/5,047	3,923/24,404	
Lammers	15% 32%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99]	death death/ICU	686/5,047 30/189	3,923/24,404 101/498	
Lammers Ayerbe	15% 32% 52%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62]	death death/ICU death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou	15% 32% 52% 65%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35]	death death/ICU death ventilation	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66	*
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega	15% 32% 52% 65% 28%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06]	death death/ICU death ventilation death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT)	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50] 0.96 [0.65-1.37]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT)	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50] 0.96 [0.65-1.37] 1.19 [0.89-1.59]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT) Guisado-Vasco	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19% 20%	0.85 [0.30-2.36] 0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50] 0.96 [0.65-1.37] 1.19 [0.89-1.59] 0.80 [0.47-1.26]	death death/ICU death death death death death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947 127/558	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906 14/49	SOLIDARITY
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT) Guisado-Vasco Solh	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19% 20% -18%	0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50] 0.96 [0.65-1.37] 1.19 [0.89-1.59] 0.80 [0.47-1.26] 1.18 [0 93-1 51]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947 127/558 131/265	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906 14/49 134/378	Solidarity
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT) Guisado-Vasco Solh Namendys-S (ICL)	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19% 20% -18% 32%	0.85 [0.30-2.36] 0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50] 0.96 [0.65-1.37] 1.19 [0.89-1.59] 0.80 [0.47-1.26] 1.18 [0.93-1.51] 0.68 [0.38-1.20]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947 127/558 131/265 24/54	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906 14/49 134/378 42/64	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT) Guisado-Vasco Solh Ñamendys-S (ICU) Dubee (RCT)	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19% 20% -18% 32% 46%	0.85 [0.30-2.36] 0.85 [0.79-0.91] 0.68 [0.47-0.99] 0.48 [0.37-0.62] 0.35 [0.09-1.35] 0.72 [0.49-1.06] 1.15 [0.55-2.27] 0.82 [0.76-0.89] 0.37 [0.27-0.50] 0.96 [0.65-1.37] 1.19 [0.89-1.59] 0.80 [0.47-1.26] 1.18 [0.93-1.51] 0.68 [0.38-1.20] 0.54 [0.21-1.42]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947 127/558 131/265 24/54 6/124	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906 14/49 134/378 42/64 11/123	
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT) Guisado-Vasco Solh Ñamendys-S (ICU) Dubee (RCT)	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19% 20% -18% 32% 46% 22%	0.85         [0.30-2.36]           0.85         [0.79-0.91]           0.68         [0.47-0.99]           0.48         [0.37-0.62]           0.35         [0.09-1.35]           0.72         [0.49-1.06]           1.15         [0.55-2.27]           0.82         [0.76-0.89]           0.37         [0.27-0.50]           0.96         [0.65-1.37]           1.19         [0.89-1.59]           0.80         [0.47-1.26]           1.18         [0.93-1.51]           0.68         [0.38-1.20]           0.54         [0.21-1.42]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947 127/558 131/265 24/54 6/124 55 (p)	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906 14/49 134/378 42/64 11/123	SOLIDARITY
Lammers Ayerbe Almazrou Nachega Ader (RCT) Soto-Becerra Aparisi Annie SOLIDARITY (RCT) Guisado-Vasco Solh Ñamendys-S (ICU) Dubee (RCT) Lano Coll	15% 32% 52% 65% 28% -15% 18% 63% 4% -19% 20% -18% 32% 46% 33%	0.85         [0.30-2.36]           0.85         [0.79-0.91]           0.68         [0.47-0.99]           0.48         [0.37-0.62]           0.35         [0.09-1.35]           0.72         [0.49-1.06]           1.15         [0.55-2.27]           0.82         [0.76-0.89]           0.37         [0.27-0.50]           0.96         [0.65-1.37]           1.19         [0.89-1.59]           0.80         [0.47-1.26]           1.18         [0.93-1.51]           0.68         [0.38-1.20]           0.54         [0.21-1.42]           0.67         [0.28-1.31]	death death/ICU death ventilation death death death death death death death death death death death	686/5,047 30/189 237/1,857 3/95 69/630 11/150 346/692 122/605 48/367 104/947 127/558 131/265 24/54 6/124 56 (n) 55 (207	3,923/24,404 101/498 49/162 6/66 28/96 13/149 1,606/2,630 27/49 50/367 84/906 14/49 134/378 42/64 11/123 66 (n) 109/222	SOLIDARITY

Frontera (PSM)	3/%	0.6310.44-0.911	death	121/1.006	424/2.467			
Choi	-22%	1.22 [1.10-1.35]	viral time	701 (n)	701 (n)			
Tehrani	13%	0.87 [0.54-1.40]	death	16/65	54/190	_		
Niwas	29%	0 71 [0 55-0 91]	recov time	12 (n)	17 (n)	_	_	
Lónez	64%	0.36 [0.14-0.89]	progression	5/36	14/36			
Salazar	-37%	1 37 [0 77-2 42]	death	12/92	80/811			
Rodriguez-Nava	-6%	1.06 [0.77-1.56]	death	22/65	79/2/18			-
Maldonado	0104		death	1/11	1/1	_		
	9170	0.09 [0.00-2.70]	death	1/11	1/1	-	_	
Nunez-Gil	8%	0.92 [0.87-0.94]	death	200/080	100/268			_
Self (RCT)	-6%	1.06[0.57-1.87]	death	25/241	25/236	ORCHID -		
Rodriguez	59%	0.41[0.13-1.31]	death	8/39	2/4			
Aguila-Gordo	6/%	0.33 [0.09-1.24]	death	151/346	4///0			
Sheshah	80%	0.20 [0.09-0.45]	death	267 (n)	33 (n)			
Boari	55%	0.45 [0.30-0.68]	death	41/202	25/56		_	
Budhiraja	65%	0.35 [0.24-0.50]	death	69/834	34/142			
Falcone (PSM)	65%	0.35 [0.07-1.73]	death	40/238	30/77			
Qin	34%	0.66 [0.22-2.00]	death	3/43	75/706		•	
Burdick	-59%	1.59 [0.89-2.83]	death	142 (n)	148 (n)			
van Halem	32%	0.68 [0.47-1.00]	death	34/164	47/155			
Rodriguez-Gonzalez	23%	0.77 [0.51-1.17]	death	251/1,148	17/60			
Lambermont	32%	0.68 [0.25-1.87]	death	97/225	14/22		-	
Abdulrahman (PSM)	17%	0.83 [0.26-2.69]	death	5/223	6/223		-	
Aboulenain	-15%	1.15 [0.54-2.48]	death	82 (n)	93 (n)	_		
Cansoni	40%	0.60 [0.29-1.25]	ventilation	12/40	6/12			
Peng	11%	0.80 [0.22 1.20]	progression	29/453	256/3 567			
reny Modrák	E 00/	0.09 [0.02-1.29]	dooth	29/400	105 (p)	_		
Nourak	59%	0.41 [0.18-0.95]	death	108 (1)	105 (1)			
Ozturk	44%	0.56 [0.28-1.13]	death	165/1,127	6/23		_	
Guglielmetti	35%	0.65 [0.33-1.30]	death	181 (n)	37 (n)		-	
Johnston (RCT)	30%	0.70 [0.19-2.54]	hosp.	5/148	4/83			
Alqassieh	18%	0.82 [0.64-1.05]	hosp. time	63 (n)	68 (n)			
Rosenthal	-8%	1.08 [0.98-1.19]	death	n/a	n/a		+	
Bielza	22%	0.78 [0.59-1.05]	death	33/91	249/539			
Tan	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.98]	hosp. time	8 (n)	277 (n)			
Naseem	33%	0.67 [0.30-1.53]	death	77 (n)	1,137 (n)		-	
Orioli	13%	0.87 [0.26-2.94]	death	8/55	3/18			
De Luna	-105%	2.05 [0.29-14.6]	death	15/132	1/18			
Signes-Costa	47%	0.53 [0.37-0.75]	death	4,854 (n)	993 (n)			
Matangila	55%	0.45 [0.07-1.27]	death	25/147	8/13			
Cangiano	73%	0.27 [0.12-0.61]	death	5/33	37/65		_	
Taccone (ICLI)	25%	0.75 [0.58-0.95]	death	449/1 308	183/439		_	
Chari	23%	0.67 [0.37-1.22]	death	8/20	105/433			
Cüpor	3370	0.07 [0.07-1.22]		604 (p)	190/4/0	_	-	
	1 = 0/	0.25 [0.05-1.70]	dooth	10/02	16/105	-	_	
vernaz (PSIVI)	10%	0.85 [0.42-1.70]	death	12/93	10/105			_
Texeira	-/9%	1.79 [0.95-3.38]	death	17/65	14/96		-	
Psevdos	-63%	1.63 [0.55-4.84]	death	17/52	3/15	_		
Mahale	29%	0.71 [0.40-1.28]	death	25/102	11/32			
Sands	-70%	1.70 [1.18-2.42]	death	101/973	56/696			
Lotfy	-25%	1.25 [0.39-3.96]	death	6/99	5/103			
Sarfaraz	-45%	1.45 [0.98-2.15]	death	40/94	27/92		+	
Yegerov	95%	0.0 [0.00-5e+186]	] death	0/23	20/1,049	•		
Li	-40%	1.40 [0.99-1.98]	viral time	18 (n)	19 (n)		-	
Li	50%	0.50 [0.23-1.10]	no disch.	14 (n)	14 (n)			
Di Castelnuovo	40%	0.60 [0.50-0.70]	death	3,270 (n)	1,000 (n)	-	-	
Roig	16%	0.84 [0.49-1.44]	death	33/67	7/12			
Ubaldo (ICU)	18%	0.82 [0.52-1.28]	death	17/25	5/6	_		
Ouedraogo	33%	0.67 [0.28-1.62]	death	397 (n)	59 (n)			
Hernandez-C (RCT)	12%	0.88 [0.51-1.53]	death	106 (n)	108 (n)			
Purwati (RCT)	66%	0.34 [0.26-0.44]	viral+	38/121	111/119	_		
Lora-Tamayo	50%	0.50 [0.44-0.56]	doath	7 102 (n)	1 361 (p)			
Awad	100/0	1 10 [0 94 1 70]	death	56/100	1,301 (II) 27/140			_
Awau	-19%	1.19 [0.64-1.70]	death	11/101	37/140		_	
Lattiback	9% 6.00/	0.91 [0.41-2.00]	ueau) dooth	11/101	11/9Z			
beitran Gon., (RCT)	03%	0.37 [0.08-1.73]	ueath	2/33	0/3/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Kubio-Sanchez	40%	0.60 [0.41-0.88]	severe case	51/161	19/36			
Salvador	33%	0.67 [0.40-1.03]	death	28/121	58/124			
Martin-Vice (ICU)	59%	0.41 [0.05-3.39]	death	37/91	1/1			
Stewart	1%	0.99 [0.73-1.35]	death	66/578	188/1,243			
Stewart	-130%	2.30 [1.49-3.54]	death	32/108	33/256			
Stewart	-9%	1.09 [0.76-1.56]	death	212/1,157	203/1,101			
Stewart	-90%	1.90 [0.91-4.10]	death	46/208	47/1,334			
Stewart	-16%	1.16 [0.90-1.51]	death	428/1,711	123/688			
Stewart	-29%	1.29 [0.96-1.74]	ventilation	48/305	95/1,302		+	
Stewart	-18%	1.18 [0.88-1.58]	death	90/429	141/737			
Barry	99%	0.0 [0.00-1e+05]	death	0/6	91/599			
		-						

Alghamdi	-7%	1.07 [0.61-1.88] death	44/568	15/207	
Mulhem	-28%	1 28 [0 96-1 71] death	435/2 496	81/723	
	2070		100,2,190	00/01/	
Gadhiya	-5%	1.05 [0.51-1.97] death	22/55	33/216	
Reis (RCT)	66%	0.34 [0.01-8.30] death	0/214	1/227	TOGETHER
Corradini	70%	0.30 [0.21-0.41] death	1 439 (n)	274 (n)	
Mahandaa	010/	1 01 [1 01 0 70] death	07/004	115/0.061	
wonandas	-81%	1.81[1.21-2.72] death	27/384	115/2,901	
Réa-Neto (RCT)	-57%	1.57 [0.79-3.13] death	16/53	10/52	
Kokturk	-4%	1.04 [0.10-7.64] death	62/1,382	5/118	
Adhaiani	19%	0.81 [0.62-1.03] death	553 (n)	438 (n)	
	1000		550 (1)	400 (1)	
Haji Agnajani	19%	0.81 [0.62-1.03] death	553 (n)	438 (n)	
Bosaeed (RCT)	4%	0.96 [0.49-1.91] death	14/125	15/129	FACCT
Civiltene (ICU)	3%	0 97 [0 79-1 18] death	69/95	39/52	
Şijincepe (iee)	0.00	0.65 [0.44.0.02] death	110/701	00/200	_ 7
De Rosa	3070	0.03 [0.44-0.93] death	110//31	00/200	
Sammartino (PSM)	-240%	3.40 [1.61-7.40] death	137 (n)	191 (n)	
Smith	27%	0.73 [0.58-0.87] death	19/37	182/218	
Ramírez-García	67%	0 33 [0 22-0 50] death	48/350	22/53	
	0770		40/000	22/00	
Sivapalan (RCT)	92%	0.08 [0.00-11.7] death	1/61	2/56	-HOPAG-COVID
Byakika-Ki (RCT)	0%	1.00 [0.56-1.75] recov. time	36 (n)	29 (n)	
Lagier	32%	0.68 [0.52-0.88] death	93/1.270	146/841	
Singh (DOT)	400/	0 E2 [0 1E 1 22] death	2/20	6/01	
Siliyii (RCT)	4070	0.55 [0.15-1.62] death	3/20	0/21	
Saib (PSM)	-125%	2.25 [0.74-6.85] death/int.	9/52	4/52	
Turrini	10%	0.90 [0.75-1.03] death	103/160	33/45	
Schwartz (PCT)	-133%	2 33 [0 10-56 1] [0]	1/111	0/37	
	-10070	2.00 [0.10-00.1] 100	1/111	0/3/	
Gerlovin	-22%	1.22 [0.91-1.63] death	90/429	141//70	
Taieb	39%	0.61 [0.41-0.92] no disch.	674 (n)	252 (n)	
Jacobs	7%	0 93 [0 69-1 27] death	24/46	86/154	
Deres (OLD	, ,0		2-7,70 F0/000	100/077	
коger (ICU)	U%	1.00 [0.65-1.45] death	53/289	120/677	
Tamura	-299%	3.99 [1.05-15.2] death	25 (n)	163 (n)	
Barrat-Due (RCT)	-120%	2 20 [0 40-10 8] death	4/45	2/48	
Albanalan	500/	1 50 [0.04 5 00] death	- /-	2/10	
Ainamian	-52%	1.52 [U.24-5.23] death	n/a	n/a	
Barra	11%	0.89 [0.24-3.35] death	2/18	81/650	
Alghamdi (ICU)	-39%	1.39 [0.66-2.95] death	29/128	7/43	<b>_</b>
Korruli (ICLI)	504	0.05 [0.52 1.76] death	20/20	2/4	
Karrun (ICO)	370	0.95 [0.52-1.70] death	20/20	5/4	
Alotaibi	-134%	2.33 [0.99-5.49] death	193 (n)	244 (n)	
Çivriz Bozdağ	-399%	4.99 [1.74-14.3] death	35 (n)	140 (n)	e
Uvaen	12%	0.88 [0.77-1.00] viral time	15 (n)	25 (n)	
Monardi	2504	0.65 [0.20,1,07] doath	22/200	10/77	
wenaru	33%	0.05 [0.39-1.07] death	32/200	19///	
Panda (RCT)	48%	0.53 [0.15-1.82] death	3/20	6/21	
Babalola (RCT)	-55%	1.55 [0.88-2.72] no disch.	17/30	11/30	
Atipornwan., (RCT)	56%	0.44 [0.19-1.02] death	7/100	16/100	
Gualielmetti	28%	0 72 [0 48-1 08] death	474 (n)	126 (n)	
	2070		10/56	120(1)	
Saman (RCT)	20%	0.74 [0.36-1.44] death	12/30	15/52	
Cortez	15%	0.85 [0.12-6.27] death	1/25	12/255	
Schmidt (PSM)	-333%	4.33 [2.07-9.04] death	70 (n)	407 (n)	
Calderón	-215%	3 15 [0 40-24 7] death	5/27	1/17	
Caracian Farmaina	1510/	0.51 [1.00 4 40] death	17/111	11/01	
Ferreira	-151%	2.51 [1.09-4.43] death	17/111	11/81	
AbdelGhaffar	100%	0.00 [0.00-0.02] death	0/238	900/3,474	
Tu	17%	0.83 [0.37-1.85] death	6/37	28/143	
Ahaofi	150/	0.95 [0.45 1.62] viral	10/45	15/40	
Alwall	1370	0.85 [0.45-1.02] VIIal+	12/40	10/40	
Lavilla Olleros	36%	U.64 [U.55-U./3] death	2,285/12,772	774/2,149	
Omma	28%	0.72 [0.39-1.33] death	17/213	20/180	
Fernández-Cruz	27%	0.73 [0.34-1.57] death	23/63	4/8	
Albanchali	_0 = 0 / 0	1 35 [0 65 9 77] dooth	20/466	11/245	
Albanylidii	-30%		20/400	11/340	
Beaumont	14%	U.86 [0.39-1.41] death/int.	7/38	88/258	
Hall (ICU)	11%	0.89 [0.69-1.14] death	31/56	280/449	
Rouamba	80%	0 20 [0 10-0 44] death	20/336	24/73	
	60/0		20/000	24/70	
5010	-0%	1.00[U.91-1.23] death	292/590	302/828	
Tsanovska (PSM)	58%	0.42 [0.20-0.90] death	8/70	19/70	
Azaña Gómez	36%	0.64 [0.58-0.72] death	500/1,378	238/421	
Salehi (ICLI)	-14%	1 14 [0 82-1 60] death	53/86	21/39	
	1470		1/40	21/07	
uyaroglu (PSM)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-/1.6] death	1/42	0/42	
Ebongue	43%	0.57 [0.33-0.97] death	93/522	36/58	
AlQahtani (RCT)	24%	0.76 [0.18-3.25] ICU	3/51	4/52	
Hafez	12%	0 88 [0 53-1 43] viral+	40 (n)	1 446 (n)	
Doopoto Doc-L	0.00/		5 (0)		
bassets-Bosch	29%	0.71 [0.30-1.70] Viral time	5 (n)	5 (n)	
Hong (PSM)	25%	U.75 [0.36-1.58] no recov.	15 (n)	15 (n)	
Silva	-46%	1.46 [0.77-2.21] death	21 (n)	374 (n)	
Osawa	29%	0.71 [0.50-1.02] death	25/71	71/144	
Malundo	-0.404	1 24 [0 83-1 97] dooth	20/00	201/1 125	
	2470		20/70	201/1,120	
Lyasnchenko	-48%	1.48[1.30-1.68] death	389/1,419	341/1,837	
Bowen	20%	0.80 [0.68-0.94] death	1,317 (n)	3,314 (n)	
Babayigit	-112%	2.12 [0.65-5.71] ventilation	63/1,378	6/94	
Núñez-Gil (PSM)	53%	0.47 [0.36-0.62] death	581 (n)	581 (n)	
			N 7	N 7	

Go	55%	0.45 [0.22-0.91]	death	n/a	n/a	
Gómez	36%	0.64 [0.58-0.72]	death	500/1,378	238/421	
Assad	60%	0.40 [0.21-0.77]	death	9/72	68/219	<b>_</b>
Bubenek-Tur (ICU)	22%	0.78 [0.64-0.95]	death	n/a	n/a	<b></b>
Alosaimi (PSM)	-400%	5.00 [0.25-101]	death	2/37	0/37	
Higgins (RCT)	-51%	1.51 [0.98-2.29]	death	16/41	107/311	REMAP-CAP
Alshamrani (PSM)	50%	0.50 [0.17-1.30]	death	6/161	50/653	
Delgado	26%	0 74 [0 61-0 90]	death	1 239 (n)	8.399 (n)	
Spivak (RCT)	-73%	1 73 [0 52-5 78]	hosp	7/152	4/150	
	-7370	0.001.001.001	nosp.	1/152	4/150	
Aweimer	40%	0.60 [0.29-1.25]	death .	4/9	104/140	
Но	-890%	9.90[1.17-65.6]	progression	4/91	1/234	
Krishnan	40%	0.60 [0.40-1.10]	death	case control		
Said	78%	0.22 [0.13-0.40]	death	14/435	58/405	
AlQadheeb (ICU)	35%	0.65 [0.51-0.84]	death	37/92	466/756	
Yilgwan	93%	0.07 [0.03-0.14]	death	1,039 (n)	2,423 (n)	-
de Gonzalo (ICU)	38%	0.62 [0.30-1.30]	death	6/32	138/459	
Cárdenas-Jaén	56%	0 44 [0 14-1 24]	severe case	3/42	126/787	
Chamai	2010		dooth	4/00	20/160	
Snamsi	-39%	1.39 [0.52-3.71]	death	4/23	20/160	
Atşin	17%	0.83 [0.51-1.36]	death	15/36	22/44	
Burhan (ICU)	-1%	1.01 [0.88-1.16]	death	84/123	294/436	— <b>—</b>
Meeus	36%	0.64 [0.46-0.88]	death	59/352	916/3,533	
Souza-Silva	-5%	1.05 [0.85-1.31]	death	135/673	128/673	
Mehrizi	26%	0.74 [0.70-0.77]	death	population-ha	ased cohort	
AlShehhi	43%	0.57 [0.41-0.70]	ICU	114/1 460	46/337	
	1070	5.57 [0.41 0.79]		, , , , , , <del>,</del> , 00	10,007	-
Late treatment	20%	0.80 [0.76-0.	84]	20,282/138,024	24,287/147,288	20% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.10, I <sup>2</sup> = 85.0%, p	o < 0.0001			_		
	Impro	ovement, RR [Cl]		Treatment	Control	
Gendelman	8%	0.92 [0.31-2.72]	cases	3/36	1,314/14,484	
Konig	3%	0.97 [0.65-1.46]	hosp.	16/29	29/51	
Cassione	-50%	1 50 [0 34-6 53]	cases	10/127	2/38	<b>_</b>
Macias	26%	0 74 [0 07-8 18]	hosp	1/290	2/432	
Cianfrancesco	2070	0.74 [0.07 0.10]	hoop.	F0/120	2/402	
Giannancesco	3%	0.97 [0.71-1.24]	nosp.	56/130	219/470	
Chatterjee	6/%	0.33 [0.20-0.56]	cases	12/68	206/387	
Bhattacharya	81%	0.19 [0.07-0.53]	cases	4/54	20/52	
Huang	80%	0.20 [0.08-0.52]	hosp.	8 (n)	1,247 (n)	<b>_</b>
Gendebien	4%	0.96 [0.38-2.46]	cases	12/152	6/73	
Ferreira	47%	0.53 [0.39-0.72]	cases	population-ba	ased cohort	<b>_</b>
Zhona	91%	0 09 [0 01-0 94]	cases	7/16	20/27	
Doshois	1704	0.03 [0.27-2.59]	03505	2/27	23/172	
Kadaur	6.00/	0.00 [0.27 2.00]	00303	10/050	15/100	
Kaunur	02%	0.38 [0.15-0.85]	Cases	10/258	15/100	
Khurana	51%	0.49 [0.24-0.98]	cases	6/22	88/159	
Santos	92%	0.08 [0.00-1.16]	death	0/7	10/31	
Singer	-9%	1.09 [0.79-1.51]	cases	55/10,700	104/22,058	
Salvarani	6%	0.94 [0.66-1.34]	cases	population-ba	ased cohort	
Piñana	36%	0.64 [0.37-1.10]	death	n/a	n/a	
Ferri	63%	0.37 [0 16-0 83]	cases	9/994	16/647	
de la Idlesia	-50%	1 50 10 25-8 051	hosp	3/687	2/688	
ue la iglesia	JU70	1.50 [0.23-0.95]	103p.	17/210	11/010	
Lapialia Danta d	-00%	1.00 [0.74-3.28]	Cases	1//319	11/319	
Kentsch	-3%	1.03 [0.80-1.33]	death	population-ba	ased cohort	<b>_</b>
Grau-Pujol (RCT)	11%	0.89 [0.06-14.2]	cases	1/142	1/127	•
Rajasingham (RCT)	50%	0.50 [0.03-7.97]	hosp.	1/989	1/494	COVID PREP -
Gentry	91%	0.09 [0.00-1.52]	death	0/10,703	7/21,406	
Abella (RCT)	5%	0.95 [0.25-3.63]	cases	4/64	4/61	PATCH
Yadav	82%	0,18 [0.04-0 81]	hosp.	2/279	9/221	
Goenka	87%	0 13 [0 02-0 85]	laG+	1/77	115/885	
Arloo	E 00/		dooth	1/20	F/E0	
AIIEO	00%	0.50 [0.06-4.02]	ueatri	1/20	5/50	
Benera	28%	0.72 [0.32-1.24]	cases	//19	1/9/353	
Datta	22%	0.78 [0.42-1.45]	cases	16/146	19/135	
Mathai	90%	0.10 [0.05-0.21]	cases	10/491	22/113	
Revollo (PSM)	23%	0.77 [0.35-1.68]	cases	16/69	65/418	
Jung	59%	0.41 [0.02-9.97]	death	0/649	1/1,417	
Gönenli	30%	0.70 [0.20-2 46]	progression	3/148	12/416	
Hub	_2510/	3 51 [0.26 2.40]	progression	5/8	872/2 707	
Cordtz	-201%	0.76 0.00 0.002	progression	U/U	010/2,191	
Coratz	24%	U./b [U.23-2.52]	nosp.	population-ba	asea conort	
Rangel	25%	0.75 [0.25-2.24]	death	4/50	11/103	
Khoubnasabjafari	17%	0.83 [0.44-1.59]	cases	34/1,436	12/422	
Trefond	-17%	1.17 [0.33-3.54]	death	4/68	12/183	<b>_</b>
Strangfeld	48%	0.52 [0.37-0.71]	death	27/426	124/739	
Fitzgerald	9%	0.91 [0.69-1 21]	cases	65/1.072	200/3.594	
Mahto	2704	0.73 [0.05 1.21]	laG+	9/80	84/600	
	∠/70 2004		iyu i	2/02 16/740	01/0 600	
Dae (PSIVI)	30%	0.70[0.41-1.18]	cases	10//43	91/2,098	
rnam	711%	<u>ы хинн 15-2</u> 791	neath	//14	:5//X	

	2070	0.00 [0.10 2.77] (			0,20			
Vivanco-Hidalgo	-46%	1.46 [0.91-2.34] ł	hosp.	40/6,746	50/13,492			
Dev	26%	0.74 [0.61-0.90]	cases	260 (n)	499 (n)	_	<u> </u>	
Seet (RCT)	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.99] s	symp. case	29/432	64/619			
Alogiani	_8%	1 08 [0 70-1 46]	doath	caso control	0 1/01/2	_		
Alegiani	-070	1.08 [0.79-1.40] (	Jean	Case control	4.000			
Alzahrani	59%	0.41[0.02-9.55] (	death	0/14	1/33			
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)	82%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] s	symp. case	1/62	6/65			
Syed (RCT)	-60%	1.60 [0.63-4.04] s	symp. case	10/48	6/46			
Kamstrup	-44%	1.44 [0.78-2.65] k	hosp.	population-bas	sed cohort			
Korkmaz	820%	0 18 [0 01_3 72]	doath	0/385	2/200			
Rorkinaz	0270	0.10[0.01 0.72] 0	Jean	0/000		_		
Badyai	60%	0.40[0.31-0.50] (	cases	247/017	611/1,4/3		_	
Shaw (PSM)	13%	0.87 [0.80-0.96] (	cases	45 (n)	99 (n)			
Küçükakkaş	-43%	1.43 [0.11-19.2]	ICU	1/7	1/10			
Bhatt	-49%	1.49 [1.05-2.13]	cases	167/731	30/196			
McCullough	52%	0.49[0.27_0.97]	22606	13/101	32/120			_
Niccullough	5270	0.40[0.27-0.07] (	1	5,101	32/120	-		
Patil	66%	0.34 [0.10-1.22] c	death	5,266 (n)	3,946 (n)			
Naggie (RCT)	24%	0.76 [0.51-1.14] s	symp. case	41/683	53/676	HERO-HCQ		
Cordtz	40%	0.60 [0.19-1.87] ł	hosp.	1,170 (n)	1,363 (n)			
Agarwal	95%	0 05 [0 00-3401] k	hosp	0/29	17/455			
Cuilloumo	20/0		hoop.	0/201	2/070		_	
Guillaume	-2.70	1.02 [0.17-0.07] 1	nosp.	2/101	3/2/0		_	
Fung	13%	U.87[U.72-1.05] d	aeath	population-bas	sed cohort	_		
Belmont	79%	0.21 [0.02-2.25] s	symp. case	1/56	2/24			
Samajdar	75%	0.25 [0.14-0.471 @	cases	12/129	29/81			
Ahmed	99%	0 01 [0 00-1 77]	cases	case control				
Doo	110/		20000	16/070	67/1 001			
Rau	11%	U.89 [U.53-1.52] (	Jases	10/2/3	0//1,UZI			
McKinnon (RCT)	2%	U.98 [0.09-10.7] s	symp. case	2/365	1/1/8	WHIP COVID-19	-	
Juneja	-142%	2.42 [0.22-26.6] s	severe case	2/996	1/1,204			
Erden	-150%	2.50 [0.13-48.01 @	death	1/6	0/3			
Ugarte-Gil	44%	0.56 [0.36-0.85]	severe case	665 (n)	230 (n)		_	
Ogarte Oli	4-470			000 (1)	200 (11)			
Opdam	45%	0.55 [0.23-1.30] r	nosp.	case control				
Oztas	-215%	3.15 [0.33-30.1] ł	hosp.	3/317	1/333			
MacFadden	12%	0.88 [0.79-0.97]	cases	n/a	n/a			
Satti	61%	0.39 [0.17-0.86]	cases	10/63	7/17			
Tirupakuzhi (RCT)	-196%	2 96 [0 12-72 3] r	orogression	1/211	0/203	HOPE		
Deelee	0.007	2.90 [0.12 / 2.0] F	progression	1/211	0/200	TIOL		
Raabe	82%	0.18[0.02-1.86] 8	symp. case	1/59	2/21			
Yadav	20%	0.80 [0.70-1.00] s	seropositive	1,255 (n)	969 (n)			
Patel	46%	0.54 [0.36-0.80] (	cases				-	
Polo (RCT)	51%	0.49 [0.00-2.29] s	symp. case	3/224	5/211	EPICOS -		
Becetti	37%	0.63 [0.33-1.20]	nases	26/314	49/386			
Leucere	6000	0.00 [0.00 1.20] 0	daath	20/011	15,000			
Loucera	09%	0.31[0.17-0.57] 0	Jeath	320 (N)	15,048 (1)			
Oku	92%	0.08[0.00-1.2/] c	death	0/14	11/206	-		
Sahebari	56%	0.44 [0.12-0.83] (	cases	10/108	56/368		-	
Obriscă	87%	0.13 [0.02-0.69] (	cases	10/81	5/14			
Isnardi	34%	0.66 [0.33-1.17] (	death	11/361	72/1 554			
Sukumor	200/	0.60[0.06 1.60] 0	20000	anno control	, _, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Sukumai	3070	0.02 [0.23-1.33] (	Jases	case control				
Shahrin	-88%	1.88 [0.91-3.47] c	cases	43/230	11/106			
Shukla	5%	0.95 [0.64-1.34] F	PASC	22/76	184/603		-	
Nasri (RCT)	92%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] s	symp. case	0/70	6/73			
Llanos-Cuen (RCT)	-69%	1.69 [0.41-7 11]	cases	5/36	3/32			
Mathow	200/	0.80 [0.50.5.50] -	death	23 (n)	/11 (n)			
iviatinew	20%		Jean	23 (11)	+1 (1)		-	
Chevalier	35%	U.65 [U.30-1.20] d	aeath	//55	109/535			
Sen	40%	0.60 [0.30-1.10] F	PASC	n/a	n/a	COVAD		
Dulcey	21%	0.79 [0.52-1.20]	cases	322 (n)	645 (n)			
Algatari	89%	0.11 [0.01-1 841 \	ventilation	0/13	5/21			
Finkeletein (DOM)	2104	0.70 [0.60 0.01] /	22000	<i></i>				
Minkeistelli (Polvi)	2170	0.09[0.09-0.91] (						
Klebanov	31%	0.69 [0.22-2.19] (	aeath					
Scirocco	41%	0.59 [0.18-1.90] (	death/int.	183 (n)	444 (n)			
Rabe	29%	0.71 [0.42-1.22] @	cases	24/3,248	30/2,897			
Huang	43%	0.57 [0.30-1.08] F	hosp.	141 (n)	291 (n)			
Salaai	000			2/44	10/22			
Salesi	80%	0.15[0.04-0.64] 8	severe case	2/44	10/33			
Chouhdari (RCT)	80%	U.20 [0.01-4.13] k	hosp.	0/439	2/432			
Liu	39%	0.61 [0.27-1.42] s	severe case	55 (n)	246 (n)			
PrEP	33%	0.67 [0.60-0.74	4]	1,217/59,155	5,483/131,434	•		33% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.15, I <sup>2</sup> = 77.1%, p	< 0.0001							
	Imprc	vement, RR [CI]		Treatment	Control			
Boulware (RCT)	17%	0.83 [0.58-1.18] (	cases	49/414	58/407			
Mitià (PCT)	160%	0 54 [0 16-1 90] ¢	death	4/1 196	8/1 301	BCN-PEP-CoV2		
Ninga (NOT) Delet				10/100	14/70	BONT LE OUVZ		
Polat	5/%	0.43 [0.21-0.88] (	Jases	12/138	14//U		_	
Dhibar	44%	0.56 [0.22-1.41] s	symp. case	6/132	15/185			
Simova	93%	0.07 [0.01-0.57]	cases	0/156	3/48			
Barnabas (RCT)	-4%	1.04 [0.07-16.5] k	hosp.	1/407	1/422	HCQ COVID-19 PFP		
Shahani	100/			0/51	2/60			
SHAUATH	1970	U.OI [U.14-4.0/] S	symp. case	2/01	3/02		-	
(1)=(1= = = (1)(1)(1) <sup>2</sup> )	111/11/							

Unibar (KUT)	∠/%0	U./J [U.4U-1.35	j symp. case	1//5/4	24/394									
PEP	30%	0.70 [0.54-0.	.90]	91/3,068	126/3,089			<	>		30	% lo	wer r	isk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	0.006													
All studies	26%	0.74 [0.70-0.	.77]	21,797/222,944	30,947/316,792				<b>♦</b>		26	% lo	wer r	isk
						0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1	1.25	1.5	1.75	2+
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.12, I <sup>2</sup> = 83.9%	6, p < 0.	0001	Effect extraction (most serious or	) pre-specified utcome, see app	endix)		Favo	ors F	HCQ	Fa	avor	s co	ontr	ol

*Figure 4.* Random effects meta-analysis. This plot shows pooled effects, see the specific outcome analyses for individual outcomes. Analysis validating pooled outcomes for COVID-19 can be found below. Effect extraction is pre-specified, using the most serious outcome reported, see the appendix for details. (ES) indicates the early treatment subset of a study.

### All 253 HCQ COVID-19 mortality results





Pasquini (ICLI)	16%	0.70 [0.00 1.01]	22/22	15/18	
Cattoau	320%	0.64 [0.62-1.14]	20/00	057/3 533	
	30%	0.00 [0.02-0.70]	386/2 634	907/3,000	
Fried	-27%	1 27 [1 18-1 36]	1 0/8// 232	1 466/7 489	
Albani	1.8%	0.82 [0.61-1.06]	60/211	1,400,7,405	
Synolaki	24%	0.02 [0.01 1.00]	21/98	60/214	
Alamdari	55%	0.76 [0.45 1.16]	54/427	9/32	
Heberto	54%	0.46 [0.19-0.97]	139 (n)	115 (n)	
Lauriola	74%	0.27 [0.17-0.41]	102/297	35/63	
Serrano	43%	0.57 [0.28-1.18]	6/14	6/8	
Ulrich (RCT)	-6%	1 06 [0 38-2 98]	7/67	6/61	ТЕАСН
Shoaihi	15%	0.85 [0.79-0.91]	686/5.047	3 923/24 404	
Averbe	52%	0.48 [0.37-0.62]	237/1 857	49/162	
Nachena	28%	0.40 [0.07 0.02]	69/630	28/96	
Ader (RCT)	-15%	1 15 [0 55-2 27]	11/150	13/149	
Soto-Becerra	18%	0.82 [0.76-0.89]	346/692	1 606/2 630	
Anarisi	63%	0.02 [0.70 0.09]	122/605	27/49	
Annie	4%	0.07 [0.27 0.00]	48/367	50/367	
SOLIDARITY (RCT)	-19%	1 19 [0 89-1 59]	104/947	84/906	
Guisado-Vasco	20%	0.80 [0.47-1.26]	127/558	14/49	
Solh	-18%	1 18 [0 93-1 51]	131/265	134/378	
Namendys-S (ICU)	32%	0.68 [0.38-1.20]	24/54	42/64	
Dubee (RCT)	46%	0.54 [0.21-1.42]	6/124	11/123	
Lano	33%	0.67 [0.28-1.31]	56 (n)	66 (n)	
Coll	46%	0.54 [0.41-0.72]	55/307	108/328	
Erontera (PSM)	37%	0.63 [0.44-0.91]	121/1 006	424/2 467	
Tehrani	1.3%	0.87 [0.54-1.40]	16/65	54/190	
Salazar	-37%	1.37 [0.77-2.42]	12/92	80/811	
Rodriguez-Nava	-6%	1.06 [0.77-1.56]	22/65	79/248	
Maldonado	91%		1/11	1/1	
Núñez-Gil	8%	0.92 [0.87-0.94]	200/686	100/268	-
Self (RCT)	-6%	1 06 [0 57-1 87]	25/241	25/236	ORCHID
Rodriquez	59%	0.41 [0.13-1.31]	8/39	20,200	
Áquila-Gordo	67%	0.33 [0.09-1.24]	151/346	47/70	
Sheshah	80%	0.20 [0.09-0.45]	267 (n)	33 (n)	
Boari	55%	0.45 [0.30-0.68]	41/202	25/56	
Budhiraia	65%	0.35 [0.24-0.50]	69/834	34/142	
Falcone (PSM)	65%	0.35 [0.24 0.36]	40/238	30/77	
Oin	34%	0.66 [0.22-2.00]	3/43	75/706	
Burdick	-59%	1 59 [0.89-2 83]	142 (n)	148 (n)	
van Halem	32%	0.68 [0.47-1.00]	24/164	47/155	
van naiem			2/1/ 1/2/1	-//100	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez	23%	0.00 [0.47 1.00]	251/1 1/18	17/60	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez	23%	0.77 [0.51-1.17]	251/1,148 97/225	17/60 1 <i>4/</i> 22	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM)	23% 32%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223	17/60 14/22 6/223	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM)	23% 32% 17%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48]	34/104 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (p)	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák	23% 32% 17% -15%	0.35 [0.47 1.35] 0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n)	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk	23% 32% 17% -15% 59%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1 127	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Gudlielmetti	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.25 \\ 1.15 \\ 0.54 \\ 2.48 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.95 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.28 \\ 1.13 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.$	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n)	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Prosentbal	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8%	0.07 [0.571.1.7] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n)	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77 \ [0.57-1.05] \\ 0.77 \ [0.57-1.17] \\ 0.68 \ [0.25-1.87] \\ 0.83 \ [0.26-2.69] \\ 1.15 \ [0.54-2.48] \\ 0.41 \ [0.18-0.95] \\ 0.41 \ [0.18-0.95] \\ 0.56 \ [0.28-1.13] \\ 0.65 \ [0.33-1.30] \\ 1.08 \ [0.98-1.19] \\ 0.78 \ [0.56-1.05] \end{array}$	34/184 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53]	34/184 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1 137 (n)	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Ocioli	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13%	0.07 [0.57 - 1.05] 0.77 [0.51 - 1.17] 0.68 [0.25 - 1.87] 0.83 [0.26 - 2.69] 1.15 [0.54 - 2.48] 0.41 [0.18 - 0.95] 0.56 [0.28 - 1.13] 0.65 [0.33 - 1.30] 1.08 [0.98 - 1.19] 0.78 [0.59 - 1.05] 0.67 [0.30 - 1.53] 0.87 [0.26 - 2.94]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105%	0.07 [0.57 1.10] 0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6]	34/184 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105% 47%	0.07 [0.57 1.10] 0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4 854 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n)	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matanoila	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105% 47% 55%	0.07 [0.57 1.10] 0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano	23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105% 47% 55% 73%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano	23% 23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1 308	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU)	23% 23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.32-1.22]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM)	22% 23% 32% 17% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% 13% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1 70]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM)	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% 33% -22% 33% 13% 22% 55% 73% 25% 33% 25% 33%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM) Texeira	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% 55% 73% 55% 63%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0 55-4 84]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM) Texeira Psevdos	23% 23% 32% 17% -15% 59% 44% 35% 44% 33% 22% 33% 13% 22% 23% 33% 15% 25% 73% 25% 33% 55% 73% 25% 63% 29%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM) Texeira Psevdos Mahale Sands	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% 22% 33% -05% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% 15% -79% 63% 29%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1 18-2 42]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM) Texeira Psevdos Mahale Sands I otfv	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% 15% -79% -63% 29% -25%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM) Texeira Psevdos Mahale Sands Lotfy	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% -79% -63% 29% -25% -25% -25%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92	
Rodriguez-GonzalezLambermontAbdulrahman (PSM)AboulenainModrákOzturkGuglielmettiRosenthalBielzaNaseemOrioliDe LunaSignes-CostaMatangilaCangianoTaccone (ICU)ChariVernaz (PSM)TexeiraPsevdosMahaleSandsLotfySarfarazYenerov	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -8% 22% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% 15% -79% -63% 29%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+1.86]	34/164 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1 049	
Rodriguez-Gonzalez Lambermont Abdulrahman (PSM) Aboulenain Modrák Ozturk Guglielmetti Rosenthal Bielza Naseem Orioli De Luna Signes-Costa Matangila Cangiano Taccone (ICU) Chari Vernaz (PSM) Texeira Psevdos Mahale Sands Lotfy Sarfaraz Yegerov Di Castelnuovo	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -28% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% -70% -63% 29% -70% -25% -45% 95%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+186] 0.60 [0 50-0 70]	251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23 3,270 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1,049 1,000 (n)	
Rodriguez-GonzalezLambermontAbdulrahman (PSM)AboulenainModrákOzturkGuglielmettiRosenthalBielzaNaseemOrioliDe LunaSignes-CostaMatangilaCangianoTaccone (ICU)ChariVernaz (PSM)TexeiraPsevdosMahaleSandsLotfySarfarazYegerovDi Castelnuovo	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -22% 33% -22% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% -70% -63% 29% -25% -45% 95%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+186] 0.60 [0.50-0.70] 0.84 [0.49-1.44]	34/164 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23 3,270 (n) 33/67	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1,049 1,000 (n) 7/12	
Rodriguez-GonzalezLambermontAbdulrahman (PSM)AboulenainModrákOzturkGuglielmettiRosenthalBielzaNaseemOrioliDe LunaSignes-CostaMatangilaCangianoTaccone (ICU)ChariVernaz (PSM)TexeiraPsevdosMahaleSandsLotfySarfarazYegerovDi CastelnuovoRoigLubaldo (ICLI)	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -25% 33% -22% 33% -105% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% -70% -63% 29% -25% -45% 95% 40%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+186] 0.60 [0.50-0.70] 0.84 [0.49-1.44] 0.82 [0.52-1.28]	34/164 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23 3,270 (n) 33/67 17/25	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1,049 1,000 (n) 7/12 5/6	
Rodriguez-GonzalezLambermontAbdulrahman (PSM)AboulenainModrákOzturkGuglielmettiRosenthalBielzaNaseemOrioliDe LunaSignes-CostaMatangilaCangianoTaccone (ICU)ChariVernaz (PSM)TexeiraPsevdosMahaleSandsLotfySarfarazYegerovDi CastelnuovoRoigUbaldo (ICU)Ouredraogo	23% 23% 32% -15% 59% 44% 35% -25% 33% -22% 33% 47% 55% 47% 55% 47% 25% -70% -25% -25% 40% 16% 18%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.33-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+186] 0.60 [0.50-0.70] 0.84 [0.49-1.44] 0.82 [0.52-1.28] 0.67 [0.28-1.62]	34/164 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23 3,270 (n) 33/67 17/25 397 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1,049 1,000 (n) 7/12 5/6 59 (n)	
Rodriguez-GonzalezLambermontAbdulrahman (PSM)AboulenainModrákOzturkGuglielmettiRosenthalBielzaNaseemOrioliDe LunaSignes-CostaMatangilaCangianoTaccone (ICU)ChariVernaz (PSM)TexeiraPsevdosMahaleSandsLotfySarfarazYegerovDi CastelnuovoRoigUbaldo (ICU)OuedraogoHernandez-C (RCT)	22% 23% 32% 17% -15% 55% 44% 33% 22% 33% 13% -05% 47% 55% 73% 25% 33% 15% -79% -63% 29% -25% 40% 16% 18% 33%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.38-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+186] 0.60 [0.50-0.70] 0.84 [0.49-1.44] 0.82 [0.52-1.28] 0.67 [0.28-1.62] 0.88 [0.51-1.53]	34/164 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23 3,270 (n) 33/67 17/25 397 (n) 106 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1,049 1,000 (n) 7/12 5/6 59 (n) 108 (n)	
Rodriguez-GonzalezLambermontAbdulrahman (PSM)AboulenainModrákOzturkGuglielmettiRosenthalBielzaNaseemOrioliDe LunaSignes-CostaMatangilaCangianoTaccone (ICU)ChariVernaz (PSM)TexeiraPsevdosMahaleSandsLotfySarfarazYegerovDi CastelnuovoRoigUbaldo (ICU)OuedraogoHernandez-C (RCT)	22% 23% 32% 17% -15% 55% 44% 33% 13% -05% 47% 55% 73% 22% 33% 15% -79% -63% 29% -70% -25% 40% 16% 18% 33%	0.77 [0.51-1.17] 0.68 [0.25-1.87] 0.83 [0.26-2.69] 1.15 [0.54-2.48] 0.41 [0.18-0.95] 0.56 [0.28-1.13] 0.65 [0.38-1.30] 1.08 [0.98-1.19] 0.78 [0.59-1.05] 0.67 [0.30-1.53] 0.87 [0.26-2.94] 2.05 [0.29-14.6] 0.53 [0.37-0.75] 0.45 [0.07-1.27] 0.27 [0.12-0.61] 0.75 [0.58-0.95] 0.67 [0.37-1.22] 0.85 [0.42-1.70] 1.79 [0.95-3.38] 1.63 [0.55-4.84] 0.71 [0.40-1.28] 1.70 [1.18-2.42] 1.25 [0.39-3.96] 1.45 [0.98-2.15] 0.0 [0.00-5e+186] 0.60 [0.50-0.70] 0.84 [0.49-1.44] 0.82 [0.52-1.28] 0.67 [0.28-1.62] 0.88 [0.51-1.53] 0.50 [0.44-0.56]	34/164 251/1,148 97/225 5/223 82 (n) 108 (n) 165/1,127 181 (n) n/a 33/91 77 (n) 8/55 15/132 4,854 (n) 25/147 5/33 449/1,308 8/29 12/93 17/65 17/52 25/102 101/973 6/99 40/94 0/23 3,270 (n) 33/67 17/25 397 (n) 106 (n) 7 192 (n)	17/60 14/22 6/223 93 (n) 105 (n) 6/23 37 (n) n/a 249/539 1,137 (n) 3/18 1/18 993 (n) 8/13 37/65 183/439 195/473 16/105 14/96 3/15 11/32 56/696 5/103 27/92 20/1,049 1,000 (n) 7/12 5/6 59 (n) 108 (n) 1,361 (n)	

hund	11197		66/100	97770
Awau	-19%	1.19[0.84-1.70]	00/100	37/140
Lamback	9%	0.91[0.41-2.00]	11/101	11/92
Beltran Gon (RCT)	63%	0.37 [0.08-1.73]	2/33	6/37
Salvador	33%	0.67 [0.40-1.03]	28/121	58/124
Martin-Vice (ICU)	59%	0.41 [0.05-3.39]	37/91	1/1
Stewart	1%	0.99 [0.73-1.35]	66/578	188/1,243
Stewart	-130%	2 30 [1 49-3 54]	32/108	33/256
Stowart	-004	1.00 [0.76-1.56]	212/1157	202/1 10
Stewart	-970	1.09 [0.70-1.30]	212/1,107	203/1,10
Stewart	-90%	1.90[0.91-4.10]	46/208	4//1,334
Stewart	-16%	1.16 [0.90-1.51]	428/1,711	123/688
Stewart	-18%	1.18 [0.88-1.58]	90/429	141/737
Barry	99%	0.0 [0.00-1e+05]	0/6	91/599
Alghamdi	-7%	1.07 [0.61-1.88]	44/568	15/207
Mulhem	-28%	1 28 [0 96-1 71]	435/2 496	81/723
Cadhiva	-504	1.05 [0.50 1.71]	22/55	22/216
	070	0.04 [0.01 0.00]	22/00	1/007
Reis (RCT)	66%	0.34 [0.01-8.30]	0/214	1/22/
Corradini	/0%	0.30 [0.21-0.41]	1,439 (n)	274 (n)
Mohandas	-81%	1.81 [1.21-2.72]	27/384	115/2,96
Réa-Neto (RCT)	-57%	1.57 [0.79-3.13]	16/53	10/52
Kokturk	-4%	1.04 [0.10-7.64]	62/1,382	5/118
Adhaiani	19%	0.81 [0.62-1.03]	553 (n)	438 (n)
Haii Adhaiani	19%	0.81 [0.62-1.03]	553 (n)	438 (n)
	10/	0.06 [0.02 1.00]	14/125	15/100
	470	0.90 [0.49-1.91]	14/120	10/129
Çiyiltepe (ICU)	3%	0.97[0.79-1.18]	69/95	39/52
De Rosa	35%	0.65 [0.44-0.93]	118/731	80/280
Sammartino (PSM)	-240%	3.40 [1.61-7.40]	137 (n)	191 (n)
Smith	27%	0.73 [0.58-0.87]	19/37	182/218
Ramírez-García	67%	0.33 [0.22-0.50]	48/350	22/53
Sivanalan (RCT)	92%	0 08 [0 00-11 7]	1/61	2/56
Logior	22/0	0.00 [0.00 11.7]	02/1 070	1 16/011
	3270	0.00 [0.02-0.00]	93/1,270	140/041
Singh (RCT)	48%	0.53 [0.15-1.82]	3/20	6/21
Turrini	10%	0.90 [0.75-1.03]	103/160	33/45
Gerlovin	-22%	1.22 [0.91-1.63]	90/429	141/770
Jacobs	7%	0.93 [0.69-1.27]	24/46	86/154
Roger (ICU)	0%	1.00 [0.65-1.45]	53/289	120/677
Tamura	-299%	3.99 [1.05-15.2]	25 (n)	163 (n)
Barrat-Due (BCT)	-120%	2 20 [0 40-10 8]	4/45	2//8
Albemien	E 20/	1 52 [0.46 10.0]		2/40
Amamian	-0270	1.52 [0.24-5.25]	11/a	11/d
Barra	11%	0.89 [0.24-3.35]	2/18	81/650
Alghamdi (ICU)	-39%	1.39 [0.66-2.95]	29/128	7/43
Karruli (ICU)	5%	0.95 [0.52-1.76]	20/28	3/4
Alotaibi	-134%	2.33 [0.99-5.49]	193 (n)	244 (n)
Civriz Bozdaă	-399%	4.99 [1.74-14.3]	35 (n)	140 (n)
Menardi	35%	0 65 [0 39-1 07]	32/200	19/77
Dondo (DCT)	400/	0.50 [0.05 1.07]	2/20	6/01
	4070	0.03 [0.10-1.62]	3/20	16/100
Atipornwan (RCT)	56%	0.44 [0.19-1.02]	//100	16/100
Guglielmetti	28%	0.72 [0.48-1.08]	474 (n)	126 (n)
Sarhan (RCT)	26%	0.74 [0.38-1.44]	12/56	15/52
Cortez	15%	0.85 [0.12-6.27]	1/25	12/255
Schmidt (PSM)	-333%	4.33 [2.07-9.04]	70 (n)	407 (n)
Calderón	-215%	3.15 [0.40-24.7]	5/27	1/17
Forroira	-151%	2 51 [1 09-4 43]	17/111	11/81
AbdolChoffor	1000/		0/000	000/2 47
ADUelGhallal	100%	0.00 [0.00-0.02]	0/230	900/3,474
TU	1/%	0.83 [0.37-1.85]	6/37	28/143
Lavilla Olleros	36%	0.64 [0.55-0.73]	2,285/12,772	774/2,149
Omma	28%	0.72 [0.39-1.33]	17/213	20/180
Fernández-Cruz	27%	0.73 [0.34-1.57]	23/63	4/8
Albanghali	-35%	1.35 [0.65-2.77]	20/466	11/345
Hall (ICU)	11%	0.89 [0.69-1.14]	31/56	280/449
Rouamba	80%	0.20 [0.10-0.44]	20/336	24/73
Coto	60/	1.06 [0.10 0.44]	20/000	24/70
5010	-0%	1.06 [0.91-1.23]	292/590	362/828
isanovska (PSM)	58%	0.42 [0.20-0.90]	8//U	19//0
Azaña Gómez	36%	0.64 [0.58-0.72]	500/1,378	238/421
Salehi (ICU)	-14%	1.14 [0.82-1.60]	53/86	21/39
Uyaroğlu (PSM)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-71.6]	1/42	0/42
Ebongue	43%	0.57 [0.33-0.97]	93/522	36/58
Silva	-46%	1 46 [0 77-2 21]	21 (n)	374 (n)
 Osawa	200/		25/71	71/1/4
Usawa	2 2 70		20//1	/ 1/ 144
ivialundo	-24%	1.24 [U.83-1.87]	∠U/9U	201/1,125
Lyashchenko	-48%	1.48 [1.30-1.68]	389/1,419	341/1,83
Bowen	20%	0.80 [0.68-0.94]	1,317 (n)	3,314 (n)
Núñez-Gil (PSM)	53%	0.47 [0.36-0.62]	581 (n)	581 (n)
Go	55%	0.45 [0.22-0.91]	n/a	n/a





Figure 5. Random effects meta-analysis for mortality results only. (ES) indicates the early treatment subset of a study.

## All 65 HCQ COVID-19 hospitalization results

c19hcq.org April 2024

	Impro	vement RR [CI]	Treatment	Control	April 2024
Fapor	6.404	0.26 [0.15 0.97] been	0//10	12/224	
Esper	0470	0.30 [0.15-0.67] Hosp.	0/412	12/224	
Smith (DCT)	0Z70	0.18 [0.07-0.54] Hosp.	4/141	1/0	
Smith (RCT)	04%	0.36 [0.02-7.70] hosp.	0/7	1/9	
Mitja (RCT)	16%	0.84 [0.35-2.03] hosp.	8/136	11/15/	
Skipper (RCT)	49%	U.51 [U.15-1.66] hosp.	4/231	8/234	
lp	37%	0.63 [0.37-0.96] hosp.	21/97	305/970	
Sulaiman	39%	0.61 [0.52-0.73] hosp.	171/1,817	617/3,724	
Szente Fonseca	64%	0.36 [0.20-0.67] hosp.	25/175	89/542	
Cadegiani	98%	0.02 [0.00-0.27] hosp.	0/159	27/137	•
Simova	94%	0.06 [0.01-0.57] hosp.	0/33	2/5	
Omrani (RCT)	12%	0.88 [0.26-2.94] hosp.	7/304	4/152	
Mokhtari	35%	0.65 [0.59-0.71] hosp.	523/7,295	2,382/21,464	
Million	4%	0.96 [0.71-1.29] hosp.	214/8,315	64/2,114	
Rodrigues (RCT)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-71.6] hosp.	1/42	0/42	
Chechter	95%	0.05 [0.00-0.96] hosp.	0/60	3/12	
Avezum (RCT)	23%	0.77 [0.52-1.12] hosp	44/689	57/683	
	2070	0.77 [0.02 1.12] 100p.	1 1/ 00 5	01/000	-
Early treatment	t 41%	0.59 [0.49-0.72]	1,030/19,913	3,640/30,846	41% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05, I <sup>2</sup> = 61.0%, p	< 0.0001				
	Impro	vement, RR [CI]	Treatment	Control	
Kim	51%	0.49 [0.28-0.87] hosp. time	22 (n)	40 (n)	
Cavalcanti (RCT)	-28%	1.28 [0.81-2.03] hosp.	331 (n)	173 (n)	
Ashinvo	33%	0 67 [0 47-0 96] hosp time	61 (n)	61 (n)	
Johnston (RCT)	30%	0 70 [0 19-2 54] hosp	5/148	4/83	
Algossich	1.00%	0.82 [0.64-1.05] hosp. time	63 (n)	4/00 68 (n)	
Aiqassien	1070	0.62 [0.04-1.03] Hosp. time	03 (1)	00 (1)	
Tan Vice (BOND)	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.98] hosp. time	8 (n)	277(n)	
Vernaz (PSM)	-49%	1.49 [1.16-1.92] hosp. time	93 (n)	105 (n)	
Reis (RCT)	24%	0.76 [0.30-1.88] hosp.	8/214	11/227	TOGETHER
Bosaeed (RCT)	-12%	1.12 [0.85-1.49] hosp. time	125 (n)	129 (n)	FACCT
Schwartz (RCT)	-533%	6.33 [0.35-115] hosp.	4/111	0/37	
Sarhan (RCT)	-25%	1.25 [0.99-1.58] hosp. time	56 (n)	52 (n)	
Calderón	-107%	2.07 [1.23-3.51] hosp. time	27 (n)	17 (n)	
Omma	17%	0.83 [0.73-0.95] hosp. time	213 (n)	180 (n)	-
Uyaroğlu (PSM)	10%	0.90 [0.20-4.14] hosp. time	42 (n)	42 (n)	
Hong (PSM)	-13%	1.13 [0.54-2.37] hosp.	15 (n)	15 (n)	
Babavigit	-17%	1.17 [1.00-1.36] hosp. time	852 (n)	63 (n)	<b></b>
Alosaimi (PSM)	43%	0.57 [0.06-5.10] hosp. time	37 (n)	37 (n)	
Alshamrani (PSM)	-3%	1 03 [0 89-1 19] hosp time	161 (n)	653 (n)	
Spivak (RCT)	-73%	1 73 [0 52-5 78] hosp	7/152	4/150	
Souza-Silva	-1.204	1 12 [1 01-1 25] hosp time	673 (p)	4/100 672 (n)	
30uza-3liva	-1270	1.12 [1.01-1.23] Hosp. time	073 (11)	073 (1)	
Late treatment	-2%	1.02 [0.89-1.17]	24/3,404	19/3,082	2% higher risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.04, I <sup>2</sup> = 65.7%, p	= 0.77				
	Impro	vement, RR [Cl]	Treatment	Control	
Konia	3%	0.97 [0.65-1.46] hosp.	16/29	29/51	
Macias	26%	0.74 [0.07-8.18] hosp	1/290	2/432	
Gianfrancesco	3%	0.97 [0.71-1.24] hosp	58/130	219/470	
Uuana	0.00%	0.20 [0.09-0 52] hosp	9 (n)	210/4/0 1.247 (p)	
de la Iglesia	-50%	1 50 [0.25-9 05] hosp	2/697	2/600	
Pajasingham (DOT)	50%	0.50 [0.20 0.90] H08p.	1/000	1//0/	
Najasingnann (KGT) Vadau	00%		1/202 0/070	0/001	
rauav	82%	0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.	2/2/9	9/221	
Coratz	24%	υ./σ[U.23-2.52] hosp.	population-ba	asea conort	
Rangel	22%	0.78[0.50-1.21] hosp.	17/50	45/103	
Trefond	-45%	1.45 [0.89-2.08] hosp.	24/71	53/191	
Vivanco-Hidalgo	-46%	1.46 [0.91-2.34] hosp.	40/6,746	50/13,492	
Alegiani	18%	0.82 [0.69-0.98] hosp.	case control		
Kamstrup	-44%	1.44 [0.78-2.65] hosp.	population-ba	ased cohort	<b></b>
Cordtz	40%	0.60 [0.19-1.87] hosp.	1,170 (n)	1,363 (n)	
Agarwal	95%	0.05 [0.00-3401] hosp.	0/29	17/455	
Guillaume	-2%	1.02 [0.17-6.07] hosp.	2/181	3/278	
Fung	3%	0.97 [0.86-1.09] hosp.	population-ba	ased cohort	
Erden	75%	0.25 [0.04-1.771 hosp.	1/6	2/3	
Opdam	45%	0.55 [0.23-1.30] hosp	case control		
Oztas	-215%	3.15 [0.33-30 11 hosp	3/317	1/333	
Tirupakuzhi (RCT)	52%	0.48 [0.04-5.26] hosp.	1/211	2/203	HOPE
	1.00/	0.30 [0.03 0.20] 103p.	9/17	177/206	
Unu la cali	1 /0/2		2/14	1777200	
IODOROU	12%		02/=10	100/1 551	
Isnardi	12%	0.83 [0.67-1.01] hosp.	83/512	429/1,554	
Mathew	12% 17% 0%	0.83 [0.67-1.01] hosp. 1.00 [0.30-2.70] hosp.	83/512 23 (n)	429/1,554 41 (n)	
Mathew Chevalier	12% 17% 0% 19%	0.83 [0.67-1.01] hosp. 1.00 [0.30-2.70] hosp. 0.81 [0.47-1.25] hosp.	83/512 23 (n) 15/116	429/1,554 41 (n) 180/1,097	

Chouhdari (RCT)	<del>3</del> 0%	0.20 [0.01-4.13] hosp.	0/439	2/432		-					
PrEP	11%	0.89 [0.78-1.01]	276/12,438	1,223/23,645			$\diamond$	> 1	1% lo	wer r	isk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.03, I <sup>2</sup> = 40.6%, p =	0.07 Improv	vement, RR [CI]	Treatment	Control							
Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT)	17% -4%	0.83 [0.41-1.71] hosp. 1.04 [0.07-16.5] hosp.	13/1,196 1/407	17/1,301 1/422	BCN-PEP-C	oV2 D-19 PEF					
PEP	16%	0.84 [0.42-1.69]	14/1,603	18/1,723		<			<u>6% l</u> e	wer r	isk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	0.64										
All studies	15%	0.85 [0.76-0.94]	1,344/37,358	4,900/59,296			$\diamond$	1	5% lo	wer r	isk
				l	0 0.25	0.5	0.75	1 1.25	1.5	1.75	2+
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, I <sup>2</sup> = 72.8%	ő, p = 0.	0013			Favo	rs⊢	ICQ	Favo	ors co	ontro	ol

Figure 6. Random effects meta-analysis for hospitalization results only.

### All 81 HCQ COVID-19 case results

Treatment

3/36

10/127

5/290

12/68

4/54

7/16

3/27

6/22 55/10,700

9/994

42/648

17/319

1/142

58/989

4/64

7/19

17/178

16/146

10/491

16/69 15/649

8/148

34/1,436

65/1,072 16/743

97/6,746

260 (n)

29/432

1/62

10/48

2/395

45 (n)

247/617

167/731

13/101

41/683

6/29

6/181

1/56

12/129

2/365

103/996

16/317 n/a

10/63

11/211

1/59

3/224

26/314

10/108

case control 43/230

10/81

0/70

5/36

322 (n)

case control 16/273

167/5,266

31/10,703

10/258

12/152

	impro	vernent, kk [Ci]	
Gendelman	8%	0.92 [0.31-2.72]	cases
Cassione	-50%	1.50 [0.34-6.53]	cases
Macias	-49%	1.49 [0.44-5.10]	cases
Chattoriee	67%	0.33 [0.20-0.56]	09898
Bhattachanya	010/	0.00 [0.20 0.00]	00000
Bhattacharya	81%	0.19[0.07-0.53]	cases
Gendebien	4%	0.96 [0.38-2.46]	cases
Ferreira	47%	0.53 [0.39-0.72]	cases
Zhong	91%	0.09 [0.01-0.94]	cases
Deshois	17%	0.83 [0.27-2.58]	02000
Kadaaa	6000	0.00 [0.27 2.00]	00303
Kaunur	02%	0.38 [0.15-0.85]	cases
Khurana	51%	0.49 [0.24-0.98]	cases
Singer	-9%	1.09 [0.79-1.51]	cases
Salvarani	6%	0.94 [0.66-1.34]	cases
Forri	63%	0.37 [0.16-0.83]	09868
	4004	1.40 [0.00 0.05]	00303
de la Iglesia	-43%	1.43 [0.90-2.25]	cases
Laplana	-56%	1.56 [0.74-3.28]	cases
Grau-Pujol (RCT)	11%	0.89 [0.06-14.2]	cases
Raiasingham (RCT)	27%	0.73 [0.49-1.08]	cases
Contru	2104	0 70 [0 51 1 42]	00000
Gentry	2170	0.79[0.31-1.42]	Cases
Abella (RCT)	5%	0.95 [0.25-3.63]	cases
Yadav	42%	0.58 [0.34-1.00]	cases
Behera	28%	0.72 [0.32-1.24]	cases
Datta	22%	0 78 [0 42-1 45]	cases
Mathai	0.004		00000
	90%	0.10[0.05-0.21]	Cases
Revollo (PSM)	23%	0.//[0.35-1.68]	cases
Jung	-13%	1.13 [0.57-2.24]	cases
Gönenli	-19%	1.19 [0.55-2.76]	cases
Huh	6%	0.94 [0.53-1.66]	cases
Khaubnaaabiafari	1 70/	0.02 [0.00 1.00]	00303
Knoubhasabjalan	1/%0	0.65 [0.44-1.59]	cases
Fitzgerald	9%	0.91 [0.69-1.21]	cases
Bae (PSM)	30%	0.70 [0.41-1.18]	cases
Vivanco-Hidalgo	-8%	1.08 [0.83-1.44]	cases
Dev	26%	0 74 [0 61-0 90]	cases
Cost (DOT)	2070	0.7 4 [0.01 0.90]	
Seel (RCT)	33%	0.65 [0.43-0.99]	symp. case
	0.001		
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)	82%	0.18 [0.02-1.59]	symp. case
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT)	82% -60%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04]	symp. case symp. case
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup	82% -60% 10%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz	82% -60% 10% 94%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz	82% -60% 10% 94%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26]	symp. case symp. case cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal	82% -60% 10% 94% 60%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM)	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-115]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Nacsci (DCD	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51 1.14]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases symp. case
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases symp. case cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggrwal Guillaume Fung	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 52% 24% -5% -3% 9%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Relmoot	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 52% 24% -5% -3% 9% 24% -3%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Compider	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.24]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 79%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47]	symp. case symp. case cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 79% 75% 99%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -3% -3% 9% 79% 79% 75% 99% 11%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 79% 79% 99% 11% 2%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 75% 99% 75% 99% 11% 2% -6%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1 37]	symp. case symp. case cases cas cas
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Octac	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.62 -0.21]	symp. case symp. case cases cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas ca
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggrwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 99% 11% 2% -6% -40%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.22] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91]	symp. case symp. case cases cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas ca
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -3% 75% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -6% -40% 12%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -3% 79% 79% 79% 79% 79% 11% 2% -6% -40% 12% 61%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi. (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 52% 9% 24% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 75% 99% 11% 2% -6% -6% -40% 12% 61%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% -3% 52% 9% 24% -5% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -40% 12% 61% 14%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.81 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.09-11.81] 0.18 [0.09-11.81]	symp. case symp. case cases cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas ca
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggrwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Rabe	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -40% 12% 61% 61% 2%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.22] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86]	symp. case symp. case cases ca
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 22% -5% -3% 75% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -40% 12% 61% 61% 82% 46%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel Polo (RCT)	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 24% 24% -5% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% 12% 61% 12% 61% 82% 46% 51%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel Polo (RCT) Becetti	82% -60% 10% -49% 60% 13% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% 61% 61% 12% 46% 51% 37%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 1.06 [0.83-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.38 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Agarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel Polo (RCT) Becetti Sahebari	82% -60% 94% 60% -3% 9% 24% -5% 9% 79% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -10% 12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 51% 55%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.84 [0.36-1.95] 0.154 [0.36-1.95] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0 12-0.83]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggrwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel Polo (RCT) Becetti Sahebari	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -10% 12% 61% 12% 61% 14% 82% 46% 51% 37% 56% 9~7	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.49 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.99-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.86] 0.41 [0.20-0.67] 0.41 [0.20-0.67] 0.41 [0.20-0.67] 0.41 [0.20-0.67] 0.42 [0.20-0.67] 0.42 [0.20-0.67] 0.42 [0.20-0.67] 0.44 [0.12-0.86] 0.44 [0.20-0.67] 0.45 [0.20-0	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)Syed (RCT)KamstrupKorkmazBadyalShaw (PSM)BhattMcCulloughPatilNaggie (RCT)AgarwalGuillaumeFungBelmontSamajdarAhmedRaoMcKinnon (RCT)JunejaOztasMacFaddenSattiTirupakuzhi (RCT)RabePatelPolo (RCT)BecettiSahebariObriçcă	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -10% 12% 61% 61% 82% 46% 51% 37% 56% 87%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)Syed (RCT)KamstrupKorkmazBadyalShaw (PSM)BhattMcCulloughPatilNaggie (RCT)AgarwalGuillaumeFungBelmontSamajdarAhmedRaoMcKinnon (RCT)JunejaOztasMacFaddenSattiTirupakuzhi (RCT)RaabePatelPolo (RCT)BecettiSahebariObrişcăSukumar	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% 52% 9% 24% -3% 75% 79% 75% 9% 75% 2% -6% 11% 2% -6% 12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 51% 37% 56% 82% 38%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.62 [0.25-1.53]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)Syed (RCT)KamstrupKorkmazBadyalShaw (PSM)BhattMcCulloughPatilNaggie (RCT)AgarwalGuillaumeFungBelmontSamajdarAhmedRaoMcKinnon (RCT)JunejaOztasSattiTirupakuzhi (RCT)RaabePatelPolo (RCT)BecettiSahebariObrişcăSukumarShahrin	82% -60% 10% -49% -3% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% 61% 61% 61% 82% 46% 51% 37% 56% 87% 38% -88%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 1.06 [0.83-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.36 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-3.120] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.41 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)Syed (RCT)KamstrupKorkmazBadyalShaw (PSM)BhattMcCulloughPatilNaggie (RCT)AgarwalGuillaumeFungBelmontSamajdarAhmedRaoMcKinnon (RCT)JunejaOztasMacFaddenSattiTirupakuzhi (RCT)RaabePatelPolo (RCT)BecettiSahebariObrişcăSukumarShahrinNasri (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 22% -3% 9% 79% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -10% 12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 56% 37% 56% 87% 38% -88% 92%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.54 [0.36-1.95] 0.154 [0.36-1.95] 0.54 [0.36-1.20] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.31-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.08 [0.1-0.76]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggrwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel Polo (RCT) Becetti Sahebari Obrişcă Sukumar Shahrin Nasri (RCT)	82% -60% 10% -49% -3% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 79% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% -12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 37% 51% 37% 56% 87% 38% -88% 92% -60%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 1.68 [0.91-3.71] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7 11]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)Syed (RCT)KamstrupKorkmazBadyalShaw (PSM)BhattMcCulloughPatilNaggie (RCT)AgarwalGuillaumeFungBelmontSamajdarAhmedRaoMcKinnon (RCT)JunejaOztasMacFaddenSattiTirupakuzhi (RCT)RabePatelPolo (RCT)BecettiSahebariObrişcăSukumarShahrinNasri (RCT)Lianos-Cuen (RCT)	82% -60% 94% 60% 13% -49% 24% -5% -3% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 11% 2% -6% 61% 12% 61% 14% 82% 46% 51% 37% 56% 87% 38% -88% 92% -21%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.84 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.08 [0.11-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.1]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT) Syed (RCT) Kamstrup Korkmaz Badyal Shaw (PSM) Bhatt McCullough Patil Naggie (RCT) Aggarwal Guillaume Fung Belmont Samajdar Ahmed Rao McKinnon (RCT) Juneja Oztas MacFadden Satti Tirupakuzhi (RCT) Raabe Patel Polo (RCT) Becetti Sahebari Obrişcă Sukumar Shahrin Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Dulcey	82% -60% 10% 94% 60% 13% -49% 24% -3% 75% 9% 75% 9% 75% 9% 75% 24% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 12% 61% 37% 56% 82% 38% -88% 92% -69% 21%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 0.89 [0.53-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.06 [0.83-1.37] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.88 [0.79-0.97] 0.39 [0.17-0.86] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.80] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.08 [0.11-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.79 [0.52-1.20]	symp. case symp. case cases
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)Syed (RCT)KamstrupKarkmazBadyalShaw (PSM)BhattMcCulloughPatilAgarwal (RCT)AgarwalGuillaumeFungBelmontSamajdarAhmedRaoMcKinnon (RCT)JunejaOztasSattiTirupakuzhi (RCT)RaabePatelPolo (RCT)BecettiSahebariObrişcăSukumarShahrinNasri (RCT)Llanos-Cuen (RCT)DulceyFinkelstein (PSM)	82% -60% -10% -49% -49% 24% -5% -3% -3% -3% -3% -3% -3% -3% -3% -3% -40% 11% 2% -6% -40% 12% -6% -40% 51% 32% 46% 51% 37% 56% 82% -38% -38% -38% -22% -21% 21%	0.18 [0.02-1.59] 1.60 [0.63-4.04] 0.90 [0.76-1.07] 0.06 [0.02-0.26] 0.40 [0.31-0.50] 0.87 [0.80-0.96] 1.49 [1.05-2.13] 0.48 [0.27-0.87] 0.91 [0.71-1.15] 0.76 [0.51-1.14] 1.05 [0.50-2.18] 1.03 [0.34-2.92] 0.91 [0.84-0.98] 0.21 [0.02-2.25] 0.25 [0.14-0.47] 0.01 [0.00-1.77] 1.06 [0.83-1.52] 0.98 [0.09-10.7] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 1.40 [0.67-2.91] 0.86 [0.36-1.95] 0.18 [0.02-1.86] 0.54 [0.36-0.83] 0.49 [0.00-2.29] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.80 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91]	symp. case symp. case cases



Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT)	29% -6% 43%	0.71 [0.42-1.22] cases 1.06 [0.97-1.17] cases 0.57 [0.39-0.86] cases	24/3,248 118/141 36/439	30/2,897 229/291 61/432		-
PrEP	28%	0.72 [0.65-0.80]	1,726/53,838	4,610/102,283	•	28% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.12, I <sup>2</sup> = 84.1%, p Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat Dhibar Simova Barnabas (RCT) Shabani Dhibar (RCT)	< 0.0001 Impro 17% 32% 57% 44% 93% -27% 19% 27%	vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] cases 0.68 [0.34-1.34] cases 0.43 [0.21-0.88] cases 0.56 [0.22-1.41] symp. case 0.07 [0.01-0.57] cases 1.27 [0.79-2.03] cases 0.81 [0.14-4.67] symp. case 0.73 [0.40-1.35] symp. case	Treatment 49/414 29/958 12/138 6/132 0/156 43/353 2/51 17/574	Control 58/407 45/1,042 14/70 15/185 3/48 33/336 3/62 24/594	BCN-PEP-CoV2	
PEP	25%	0.75 [0.57-1.00]	158/2,776	195/2,744	$\sim$	25% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.06, I <sup>2</sup> = 36.9%, p <b>All studies</b>	= 0.053 28%	0.72 [0.65-0.80]	1,884/56,614	4,805/105,027	0 0.25 0.5 0.75	<b>28% lower risk</b> 1 1.25 1.5 1.75 2+
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.11, I <sup>2</sup> = 82.89	%, p < 0.	.0001			Favors HCQ	Favors control

Figure 7. Random effects meta-analysis for case results only.

### All 48 HCQ COVID-19 viral clearance results

0.34 [0.17-0.68] viral+

0.35 [0.13-0.72] viral+

0.04 [0.00-0.71] viral+

1.10 [0.97-1.25] viral+

0.64 [0.49-0.83] viral+

0.97 [0.65-1.44] viral+

0.86 [0.71-1.03] viral+

0.57 [0.33-0.98] viral time

0.41 [0.26-0.64] viral time

Treatment

6/20

32 (n)

42 (n)

0/33

n/a

32/95

29/36

30 (n)

223/295

Control

14/16

37 (n)

48 (n)

98/143

3/5

n/a

32/92

32/34

30 (n)

Improvement, RR [CI]

66%

59%

65%

96%

-10%

36%

14%

43%

3%

Gautret Huang (ES)

Hong

Su

Simova

Omrani (RCT)

Sobngwi (RCT)

Rodrigues (RCT)

Atipornwan.. (RCT)





Figure 8. Random effects meta-analysis for viral clearance results only.

# **Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)**

Figure 9 compares RCT vs. other results. Meta analysis for RCTs is shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11, showing 19% [6-30%] improvement for all RCTs, and 25% [11-37%] improvement when excluding late treatment studies.

Efficacy in	n COVI	D-19 HC	Q studies (	pooled ef	fects)	c19	hcq.org
RCTs	٠	•• • •	s	• • • • • • •	•••	• •	April 2024 •
Non-RCTs	9) (( <b>Q</b> )()(	898 <b>9 () 8</b> 8	D. C. C. D. C.	9369.0380		9 <sup>9</sup> 6),9 6 (0)	: ** •* <b>(0</b> ê
	0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1	1.25	1.5+
			Favors HCC	Q	Fa	avors cor	ntrol

Figure 9. Scatter plot of all effects comparing RCTs to non-RCTs.

### All 61 HCQ COVID-19 RCTs



	· · · · pr · · ~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Grau-Pujol (RCT)	11%	0.89 [0.06-14.2]	cases	1/142	1/127		-		
Rajasingham (RCT)	50%	0.50 [0.03-7.97]	hosp.	1/989	1/494	COVID PREP			
Abella (RCT)	5%	0.95 [0.25-3.63]	cases	4/64	4/61	PATCH			
Seet (RCT)	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.99]	I svmp. case	29/432	64/619		-		
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)	82%	0 18 [0 02-1 59]	l symp case	1/62	6/65				
Sved (RCT)	-60%	1 60 [0.63-4 04]	l symp case	10/48	6/46				
Naggio (PCT)	2.40%	0.76 [0.51_1.14]		11/683	53/676	HERO-HOO -			-
Mallinnan (DCT)	2470	0.70[0.31-1.14]	i symp.case	417003	1/170	MUD COVID 10			
	270	0.96 [0.09-10.7]	symp. case	2/303	1/1/0	WHIP COVID-19	-		
Tirupakuzni (RCT)	-196%	2.96 [0.12-72.3]	progression	1/211	0/203	HUPE			
Polo (RCT)	51%	0.49 [0.00-2.29]	symp. case	3/224	5/211	EPICOS			
Nasri (RCT)	92%	0.08 [0.01-0.76]	symp. case	0//0	6/73	-			
Llanos-Cuen (RCT)	-69%	1.69 [0.41-7.11]	cases	5/36	3/32				
Chouhdari (RCT)	80%	0.20 [0.01-4.13]	hosp.	0/439	2/432				
Treluyer (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		122 (total)		PREP-COVID			
Niriella (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		402 (total)					
Ajili (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		660 (est. total)		COVID-Milit			
Connor (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		374 (est. total)		HERO			
Pellegrini (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		2,250 (est. tota	al)	COVID-SHIELD			
Burney (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		374 (est. total)					
Morales-Ase (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		1,930 (est. tota	al)	PREVICHARM			
James (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		500 (est. total)		PROLIFIC			
Moraes (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		400 (est. total)					
Chauffe (RCT)	unkno	wn, >2 years late		1,700 (est. tota	al)	HCQPreP			
Granados-Mo., (RCT)	unkno	wn. >2 vears late		214 (est. total)	/	ELEVATE			
Nanni (PCT)	unkno	wn >2 yeara lata		2 300 (est tota		PROTECT			
Nahhhiriti	- uninino	WIL -Z VEALS IDLE		2.000 (631, 1010	al /	IINULUI			
White (RCT)	unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late		4.652 (total)	ar <i>)</i>	COPCOV			
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru., (RCT)	unkno unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late		4,652 (total)	ат <i>)</i>	COPCOV			
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT)	unkno unkno 25%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0.	961	4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3.765	152/3.217	COPCOV		259	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b>	unkno unkno 25%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0.	96]	4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765	152/3,217	COPCOV		259	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	unkno unkno 25% 0.023	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0.	96]	4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765	152/3,217	COPCOV		259	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl]	96]	4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment	152/3,217 Control	COPCOV		259	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18]	96]	2,500 (est. total) 4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414	152/3,217 Control 58/407	COPCOV	•	259	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80]	96]   cases   death	4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301	COPCOV		259	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5]	96]   cases   death   hosp.	2,500 (est. total) 4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422	BCN-PEP-CoV2= HCQ COVID-19 f		250	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT)	unkno unkno 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35]	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-CoV2= HCQ_COVID-19 f		25	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT)	unkno unkno 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-Cov2= HCQ-COVID-19 f PEACE		25	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 4,652 (total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-Cov2= HCQ-COVID-19 f PEACE APCC-19		25	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno	wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. verment, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wm, >3 years late wm, >3 years late wm, >2 years late	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-CoV2 HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19		25	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno	wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. verment, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wm, >3 years late wm, >3 years late wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-CoV2 HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19		25	% lower risk
Wahin (KCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno	wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. verment, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wm, >3 years late wm, >3 years late wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-CoV2 HCQ-COVID19 HCQ-COVID19			% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT)	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late <b>0.75 [0.58-0.</b> vement, <i>RR</i> [ <i>Cl</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >1.5 years late	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 <i>Treatment</i> 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total) 582 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	BCN-PEP-CoV2 HCQ-COVID 19 HCQ-COVID 19 HCQ-COVID 19		•	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b>	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 21%	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vernent, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >1.5 years late 0.79 [0.59-1.	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 <i>Treatment</i> 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 500 (est. total) 500 (est. total) 502 (est. total) 502 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724	BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID-19 f PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19		250	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, <i>RR</i> [ <i>C</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >1.5 years lat 0.79 [0.59-1.	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 <i>Treatment</i> 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 500 (est. total) 582 (est. total) 582 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724	BCN-PEP-CoV2 HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19		25°	% lower risk
Waith (KCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. vement, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >1.5 years lat 0.79 [0.59-1.	96] cases death hosp. symp. case te	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total) 582 (est. total) 582 (est. total)	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724	BCN-PEP-Cov2 HCQ-COVID 19 F PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID 19		25°	% lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = <b>All studies</b>	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	wm, >2 years late wm, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. verment, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wm, >3 years late wm, >2 years late wm, >1.5 years lat 0.79 [0.59-1.	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case te 06]	2,500 (est. tota) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total) 522 (est. total) 71/2,591 965/13,350	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724	BCN-PEP-CoV2 HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19		25°	% lower risk % lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = <b>All studies</b>	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	<ul> <li>wm, &gt;2 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;2 years late</li> <li>0.75 [0.58-0.</li> <li>verment, RR [CI]</li> <li>0.83 [0.58-1.18]</li> <li>0.54 [0.16-1.80]</li> <li>1.04 [0.07-16.5]</li> <li>0.73 [0.40-1.35]</li> <li>wm, &gt;3 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;2 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;1.5 years late</li> <li>0.79 [0.59-1.</li> <li>0.81 [0.70-0.</li> </ul>	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case te 06]	2,500 (est. tota) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total) 500 (est. total) 52 (est. total) 71/2,591 965/13,350	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724	BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19		25°	% lower risk % lower risk
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, $l^2$ = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, $l^2$ = 0.0%, p = <b>All studies</b>	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 Impro 17% 46% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	<ul> <li>wm, &gt;2 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;2 years late</li> <li>0.75 [0.58-0.</li> <li>verment, RR [Cl]</li> <li>0.83 [0.58-1.18]</li> <li>0.54 [0.16-1.80]</li> <li>1.04 [0.07-16.5]</li> <li>0.73 [0.40-1.35]</li> <li>wm, &gt;3 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;3 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;2 years late</li> <li>wm, &gt;1.5 years late</li> <li>0.79 [0.59-1.</li> <li>0.81 [0.70-0.</li> </ul>	96]   cases   death   hosp.   symp. case te 06] 94]	2,500 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 <i>Treatment</i> 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total) 500 (est. total) 522 (est. total) 99(5/13,350	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724 1,587/14,201	BCN-PEP-Co-V2- HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19		25°	% lower risk % lower risk % lower risk 1.5 1.75 2+
White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT) <b>PEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = <b>All studies</b>	unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	<pre>wm, &gt;2 years late wm, &gt;2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0. verment, RR [Cl] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wm, &gt;3 years late wm, &gt;3 years late wm, &gt;2 years late wm, &gt;2 years late wm, &gt;2 years late wm, &gt;2 years late wm, &gt;1.5 years late 0.79 [0.59-1. 0.81 [0.70-0.</pre>	96]  cases death death hosp. symp. case  te  06]  94]  Effect extraction (most spring of a	2,500 (est. tota) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total) 93 (est. total) 336 (est. total) 129 (total) 500 (est. total) 520 (est. total) 71/2,591 965/13,350 pre-specified	152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594 91/2,724 1,587/14,201	BCN-PEP-Co-V2 HCQ COVID-19 F PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19 0 0.25 0.5 Eavors		25°	% lower risk % lower risk % lower risk 1.5 1.75 2+

Figure 10. Random effects meta-analysis for RCTs.

# HCQ COVID-19 early treatment and prophylaxis RCTs



	impro			nearment	00111101		
Smith (RCT)	64%	0.36 [0.02-7.70]	hosp.	0/7	1/9		
Mitjà (RCT)	16%	0.84 [0.35-2.03]	hosp.	8/136	11/157		
Skipper (RCT)	37%	0.63 [0.21-1.91]	death/hosp.	5/231	8/234		
Omrani (RCT)	12%	0.88 [0.26-2.94]	hosp	7/304	4/152		
Amaravadi (PCT)	60%	0.00 [0.20 2.94]	noop.	2/15	6/12		
	50070	0.40 [0.13-1.20]	1016000	3/15	0/12		
Sobrigwi (RCT)	52%	0.48 [0.09-2.58]	no recov.	2/95	4/92		
Rodrigues (RCT)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-71.6]	hosp.	1/42	0/42		
Atipornwan (RCT)	-150%	2.50 [0.10-59.6]	progression	1/60	0/30		
Avezum (RCT)	1%	0.99 [0.29-3.41]	death	5/687	5/682		
Roy-García (RCT)	-100%	2.00 [0.19-20.9]	progression	2/31	1/31		
Azhar (RCT)	71%	0.29 [0.09-0.90]	death	4/248	10/178	PROTENT	
Kim (RCT)	unkno	wn. >4 vears late		65 (total)			
Butler (RCT)	unkno	wn >3 vears late		400 (est total)		PRINCIPLE	
Sanwar (PCT)	unkno	wn >3 yoare late		137 (total)		DECISE	
Sarwar (ROT)	unkno	wh, > 0 years late		221 (total)		DUVTCOVID 10	
SUW (RCT)	unkno	will, >5 years late		231 (total)		PHTICOVID-19	
Okasha (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		IUU (est. total)			
Gül (RCT)	unkno	wn, >3 years late		1,120 (total)			
Kara (RCT)	unkno	wn, >2 years late		1,008 (total)			
Abayomi (RCT)	unkno	wn, >2 years late		800 (est. total)		LACCTT	
Aston (RCT)	unkno	wn, >2 years late		1,550 (est. tota	al)	HyAzOUT	
Pineda (RCT)	unkno	wn, >2 years late		132 (est. total)		AMBUCOV	
Genton (RCT)	unkno	wn, >1 vear late		800 (est. total)		PROLIFIC	
conton (rior)	arnaro	init, i your lato					
Early treatment	34%	0.66 [0.44-1.0	01]	38/1,856	50/1,619		34% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	0.053						
· · · · · · / P	Impro	vement RR [^ ]		Treatment	Control		
0	110			1/140	1/107		
Grau-Pujol (RCT)	11%	0.89 [0.06-14.2]	cases	1/142	1/127		
Rajasingham (RCT)	50%	0.50 [0.03-7.97]	hosp.	1/989	1/494	COVID PREP -	
Abella (RCT)	5%	0.95 [0.25-3.63]	cases	4/64	4/61	PATCH	
Seet (RCT)	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.99]	symp. case	29/432	64/619		
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)	82%	0.18 [0.02-1.59]	symp. case	1/62	6/65		
Sved (RCT)	-60%	1.60 [0.63-4.04]	symp. case	10/48	6/46		
Naggie (RCT)	24%	0 76 [0 51-1 14]	symp case	41/683	53/676	HERO-HCO	
McKinnon (PCT)	2%		symp. case	2/365	1/178		
Tirunaluzhi (DCT)	270	0.96 [0.09-10.7]	symp. case	2/303	0/202		
Tirupakuzni (RCT)	-196%	2.96 [0.12-72.3]	progression	1/211	0/203	HUPE	
Polo (RCT)	51%	0.49 [0.00-2.29]	symp, case	3/1/1	5/211		
			-)	3/224	0/211	LF1003 -	
Nasri (RCT)	92%	0.08 [0.01-0.76]	symp. case	0/70	6/73		
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT)	92% -69%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11]	symp. case cases	0/70 5/36	6/73 3/32		
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT)	92% -69% 80%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13]	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439	6/73 3/32 2/432		
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID	e
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Aiili (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	5/224 0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit	e
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO	e
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Palleorini (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2 250 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD	<b>-</b>
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD	<b>_</b>
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	3/224       0/70       5/36       0/439       122 (total)       402 (total)       660 (est. total)       374 (est. total)       2,250 (est. total)       374 (est. total)       374 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD	e
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM	e
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         500 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC	e
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC	<b>_</b>
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 1,8 (total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late	symp. case cases hosp.	0/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 22%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0.5]	symp. case cases hosp.	0/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         98/3,765	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) al)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	- 25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) FrEP Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00. J <sup>2</sup> = 0.0% p =	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 25%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	symp. case cases hosp. 96]	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) block	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	- 25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 0.023	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	symp. case cases hosp.	0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 402 (total) 402 (total) 374 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) 152/3,217	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	- 25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 25% 25%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	symp. case cases hosp.	0/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) bl 152/3,217 Control	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	- 25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 125%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	symp. case cases hosp. 96] cases	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         4,00 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> <i>Control</i> 58/407	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	pymp. case cases hosp.	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> <i>Control</i> 58/407 8/1,301	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46% -4%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	Symp. case cases hosp.          Ø6]         cases death hosp.	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. tota         374 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ-COVID-19 PEP	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) PrEP Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46% -4% 27%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years lat	Symp. case cases hosp.	5/224 0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574	<ul> <li>3/32</li> <li>3/32</li> <li>2/432</li> <li>al)</li> <li>al)</li> <li>al)</li> <li><b>152/3,217</b></li> <li>Control</li> <li>58/407</li> <li>8/1,301</li> <li>1/422</li> <li>24/594</li> </ul>	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ-COVID-19 PEP	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) PrEP Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 25% 0.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >3 (0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late	26] cases death hosp. cases symp. case	5/224 0/70 5/36 0/439 122 (total) 402 (total) 660 (est. total) 374 (est. total) 2,250 (est. total) 1,930 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 400 (est. total) 1,700 (est. total) 2,300 (est. total) 118 (total) 98/3,765 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 1/407 17/574 125 (total)	<ul> <li>a)</li> <li>b)</li> <li>b)</li> <li>c)</li> &lt;</ul>	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID-19 PEP PEACE	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) PrEP Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 10.023 <i>Impro</i> 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0.9] verment, <i>RR [CI]</i> 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 years late wn, >3 years late	96]	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est total)	(7) 6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) 152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID 19 PEP PEACE APCC-19	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) PrEP Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 10,023 Impro 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late 0.75 [0.58-0.5] vement, <i>RR</i> [ <i>CI</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late	P6]	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         4,00 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         93 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> <i>Control</i> 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP CoV2- HCQ COVID 19 PEP PEACE APCC-19	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Mite (RCT) Baulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Borrie (RCT) Borrie (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46% 27% unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wement, <i>RR</i> [ <i>Cl</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late	26] cases death hosp. cases death hosp. symp. case	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         336 (est. total)         132 (totat)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) bl 152/3,217 Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID 19 PEP PEACE APCC-19	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Mitig (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Boulware (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wrment, <i>RR [CI]</i> 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >2 years late	26] cases death hosp. cases death hosp. symp. case	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         4,930 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         136 (est. total)         129 (total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> <i>Control</i> 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID-19 PEP PEACE APCC-19	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Mitia (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 25% ///////////////////////////////////	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >3 (0.40-1.35] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late	26] cases death hosp. cases death hosp. symp. case	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         336 (est. total)         129 (total)         500 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> <i>Control</i> 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ COVID 19 PEP PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Mitia (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >1.5 years late	Polytowney in the symp. case cases hosp.       Polytowney in the symp. case cases death hosp. symp. case	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         336 (est. total)         29 (total)         500 (est. total)         326 (est. total)         326 (est. total)         520 (est. total)         520 (est. total)         582 (est. total)	(7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP CoV2- HCQ COVID 19 PEP PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID 19	25% lower risk
Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Chouhdari (RCT) Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Morales (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT) Morales (RCT) PrEP Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Barnabas (RCT) Dhibar (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT)	92% -69% 80% unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno unkno 17% 46% -4% 27% unkno unkno unkno unkno 21%	0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >3 years late wn, >2 years late wn, >1.5 years late wn, >1.5 years late	Point of the symp. case cases hosp.          Point of the symp. case cases death hosp. symp. case         e	3/224         0/70         5/36         0/439         122 (total)         402 (total)         660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)         400 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)         118 (total)         98/3,765         Treatment         49/414         4/1,196         1/407         17/574         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         336 (est. total)         336 (est. total)         500 (est. total)         500 (est. total)         125 (total)         93 (est. total)         500 (est. total)         500 (est. total)         52 (est. total)         52 (est. total)         542 (est. total)	6/73 3/32 2/432 al) al) al) <b>152/3,217</b> Control 58/407 8/1,301 1/422 24/594	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV BCN-PEP-CoV2- HCQ-COVID 19 HCQ-COVID 19	25% lower risk

Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = 0.11

All studies	25%	0.75 [0.63-0.	89]	207/8,212	293/7,560				$\diamond$		25	% lo	wer ri	isk
						 0	0.25	0.5	0.75	 1	1.25	1.5	1.75	2+
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%	p = 0.0	0099	Effect extraction (most serious ou	i pre-specified utcome, see app	endix)		Favo	ors l	HCQ	F	avor	S CO	ontro	ol

#### Figure 10. Random effects meta-analysis for RCTs excluding late treatment studies.

HCQ COVID-	-19 early treatme	nt and prophy	laxis RCT mortality re	sults c19hcq.org
Avezum (RCT) Azhar (RCT) Kim (RCT) Butler (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Sow (RCT) Okasha (RCT) Gül (RCT) Kara (RCT) Abayomi (RCT) Aston (RCT) Pineda (RCT) Genton (RCT)	Improvement, RR [CI] 1% 0.99 [0.29-3.41] 71% 0.29 [0.09-0.90] unknown, >4 years late unknown, >3 years late unknown, >2 years late unknown, >1 year late	Treatment       Control         5/687       5/682         4/248       10/178         65 (total)       400 (est. total)         137 (total)       137 (total)         231 (total)       100 (est. total)         100 (est. total)       1,120 (total)         1,008 (total)       400 (est. total)         1,008 (total)       1,550 (est. total)         1,550 (est. total)       132 (est. total)         800 (est. total)       132 (est. total)	PROTECT PRINCIPLE PRECISE PHYTCOVID-19 LACCTT HyAZOUT AMBUCOV PROLIFIC	April 2024
Early treatment	48% 0.52 [0.15-1.76]	9/935 15/860		48% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.40, I <sup>2</sup> = 52.1%, p =	0.3			
Treluyer (RCT) Niriella (RCT) Ajili (RCT) Connor (RCT) Pellegrini (RCT) Burney (RCT) Morales-Ase (RCT) James (RCT) Moraes (RCT) Chauffe (RCT) Granados-Mo (RCT) Nanni (RCT) White (RCT) Gagneux-Bru (RCT)	Improvement, RR [CI] unknown, >3 years late unknown, >2 years late	Treatment         Control           122 (total)         122 (total)           402 (total)         1           660 (est. total)         374 (est. total)           374 (est. total)         2,250 (est. total)           374 (est. total)         1,930 (est. total)           500 (est. total)         400 (est. total)           1,700 (est. total)         1,700 (est. total)           2,300 (est. total)         2,300 (est. total)           4,652 (total)         118 (total)	PREP-COVID COVID-Milit HERO COVID-SHIELD PREVICHARM PROLIFIC HCQPreP ELEVATE PROTECT COPCOV	
PrEP	no mortality results repor	ted		
Mitjà (RCT) Sarwar (RCT) Abu-Helalah (RCT) Borrie (RCT) González (RCT) Al Ansari (RCT) Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT)	Improvement, RR [CI] 46% 0.54 [0.16-1.80] unknown, >3 years late unknown, >3 years late unknown, >2 years late unknown, >2 years late unknown, >2 years late unknown, >1.5 years late 46% 0.54 [0.16-1.80]	Treatment       Control         4/1,196       8/1,301         125 (total)       8/1,301         93 (est. total)       336 (est. total)         129 (total)       500 (est. total)         500 (est. total)       582 (est. total)         582 (est. total)       8/1,300	BCN-PEP-GoV2 PEACE APCC-19 HCQ-COVID19	46% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = 0	0.32			
All studies	48% 0.52 [0.26-1.05]	13/2,131 23/2,10	51 0 0.25 0.5 0.75 ·	<b>48% lower risk</b>
$T_{211}^2 = 0.02 I^2 - 4.504$	n = 0.069		Favors HCO	Favors control
iau - 0.02, 1 = 4.5%,	h – 0.00a		i avoi o rioq	

*Figure 12.* Random effects meta-analysis for RCT mortality results excluding late treatment.

HCQ COVID-	-19 e	arly treatmen	t and p	orophylax	is RCT ho	ospitalization result	s c19hcq.org
	Impro	vement PR [CI]		Treatment	Control		April 2024
Smith (DOT)	6 10/	0.26 [0.02 7 70] bos		0/7	1/0	_	
Mitià (PCT)	16%	0.30 [0.02-7.70] Hos	p.	0/7 8/136	1/9		
Skinner (RCT)	49%	0.51 [0.15-1.66] hos	p. sn	4/231	8/234		
Omrani (RCT)	12%	0.88 [0.26-2.94] hos	sp.	7/304	4/152		
Rodriques (RCT)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-71.6] hos	sp.	1/42	0/42		
Avezum (RCT)	23%	0.77 [0.52-1 12] hos	sp.	44/689	57/683		
Kim (RCT)	unkno	own. >4 vears late	. 1	65 (total)	0.,000	_	
Butler (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		400 (est. total	)	PRINCIPLE	
Sarwar (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		137 (total)	,	PRECISE	
Sow (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		231 (total)		PHYTCOVID-19	
Okasha (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		100 (est. total	)		
Gül (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		1,120 (total)			
Kara (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		1,008 (total)			
Abayomi (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		800 (est. total	)	LACCTT	
Aston (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		1,550 (est. tot	tal)	HyAzOUT	
Pineda (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		132 (est. total	)	AMBUCOV	
Genton (RCT)	unkno	own, >1 year late		800 (est. total	)	PROLIFIC	
Early treatment	24%	0.76 [0.55-1.05]		64/1,409	81/1,277	$\langle \rangle$	24% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	0.096						
	Impro	ovement, RR [Cl]		Treatment	Control		
Rajasingham (RCT)	50%	0.50 [0.03-7.97] hos	sp.	1/989	1/494	COVID PREP	
Tirupakuzhi (RCT)	52%	0.48 [0.04-5.26] hos	sp.	1/211	2/203	НОРЕ	
Chouhdari (RCT)	80%	0.20 [0.01-4.13] hos	sp.	0/439	2/432		
Treluyer (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		122 (total)		PREP-COVID	
Niriella (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		402 (total)			
Ajili (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		660 (est. total	)	COVID-Milit	
Connor (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		374 (est. total	)	HERO	
Pellegrini (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		2,250 (est. to	tal)	COVID-SHIELD	
Burney (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		374 (est. total	)		
Morales-Ase (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		1,930 (est. to	tal)	PREVICHARM	
James (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		500 (est. total	)	PROLIFIC	
Moraes (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		400 (est. total	)		
Chauffe (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		1,700 (est. to	tal)	HCQPreP	
Granados-Mo (RCT)	) unkno	own, >2 years late		214 (est. total	)	ELEVATE	
Nanni (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		2,300 (est. to1	al)	PROTECT	
White (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		4,652 (total)		COPCOV	
Gagneux-Bru (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		118 (total)			
PrEP	61%	0.39 [0.08-1.83]		2/1,639	5/1,129		61% lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	0.23						
	Impro	ovement, RR [Cl]		Treatment	Control		
Mitjà (RCT)	17%	0.83 [0.41-1.71] hos	sp.	13/1,196	17/1,301	BCN-PEP-C <del>oV2</del>	
Barnabas (RCT)	-4%	1.04 [0.07-16.5] hos	sp.	1/407	1/422	HCQ COVID-19 PEP -	
Sarwar (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		125 (total)		PEACE	
Abu-Helalah (RCT)	unkno	own, >3 years late		93 (est. total)		APCC-19	
Borrie (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		336 (est. total	)		
González (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		129 (total)			
Al Ansari (RCT)	unkno	own, >2 years late		500 (est. total	)	HCQ-COVID19	
Ghanem-Zoubi (RCT)	) unkno	own, >1.5 years late		582 (est. total	)		
PEP	16%	0.84 [0.42-1.69]		14/1,603	18/1,723		16%-lower risk
Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p =	0.64						
All studies	24%	0.76 [0.57-1.01]		80/4,651	104/4,129	$\langle \rangle$	24% lower risk
		. [					
						0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1	1.25 1.5 1.75 2+
							avore control

Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = 0.057

Favors HCQ Favors control

*Figure 13.* Random effects meta-analysis for RCT hospitalization results excluding late treatment.

### All 17 HCQ COVID-19 RCT case results



Figure 14. Random effects meta-analysis for RCT case results.

RCTs have many potential biases. RCTs help to make study groups more similar and can provide a higher level of evidence, however they are subject to many biases Jadad, and analysis of double-blind RCTs has identified extreme levels of bias Gotzsche. For COVID-19, the overhead may delay treatment, dramatically compromising efficacy; they may encourage monotherapy for simplicity at the cost of efficacy which may rely on combined or synergistic effects; the participants that sign up may not reflect real world usage or the population that benefits most in terms of age, comorbidities, severity of illness, or other factors; standard of care may be compromised and unable to evolve quickly based on emerging research for new diseases; errors may be made in randomization and medication delivery; and investigators may have hidden agendas or vested interests influencing design, operation, analysis, reporting, and the potential for fraud. All of these biases have been observed with COVID-19 RCTs. There is no guarantee that a specific RCT provides a higher level of evidence.

**Conflicts of interest for COVID-19 RCTs.** RCTs are expensive and many RCTs are funded by pharmaceutical companies or interests closely aligned with pharmaceutical companies. For COVID-19, this creates an incentive to show efficacy for patented commercial products, and an incentive to show a lack of efficacy for inexpensive treatments. The bias is expected to be significant, for example *Als-Nielsen et al.* analyzed 370 RCTs from Cochrane reviews, showing that trials funded by for-profit organizations were 5 times more likely to recommend the experimental drug compared with those funded by nonprofit organizations. For COVID-19, some major philanthropic organizations are largely funded by investments with extreme conflicts of interest for and against specific COVID-19 interventions.

**RCTs for novel acute diseases requiring rapid treatment.** High quality RCTs for novel acute diseases are more challenging, with increased ethical issues due to the urgency of treatment, increased risk due to enrollment delays, and more difficult design with a rapidly evolving evidence base. For COVID-19, the most common site of initial infection is the upper respiratory tract. Immediate treatment is likely to be most successful and may prevent or slow progression to other parts of the body. For a non-prophylaxis RCT, it makes sense to provide treatment in advance and instruct patients to use it immediately on symptoms, just as some governments have done by providing medication kits in advance. Unfortunately, no RCTs have been done in this way. Every treatment RCT to date involves delayed treatment. Among the 69 treatments we have analyzed, 63% of RCTs involve very late treatment 5+ days after onset. No non-prophylaxis COVID-19 RCTs match the potential real-world use of early treatments. They may more accurately represent results for treatments that require visiting a medical facility, e.g., those requiring intravenous administration.

**RCT bias for widely available treatments.** RCTs have a bias against finding an effect for interventions that are widely available — patients that believe they need the intervention are more likely to decline participation and take the intervention. RCTs for hydroxychloroquine are more likely to enroll low-risk participants that do not need treatment to recover, making the results less applicable to clinical practice. This bias is likely to be greater for widely known treatments, and may be greater when the risk of a serious outcome is overstated. This bias does not apply to the typical pharmaceutical trial of a new drug that is otherwise unavailable.

**Non-RCT studies have been shown to be reliable.** Evidence shows that non-RCT studies can also provide reliable results. *Concato et al.* found that well-designed observational studies do not systematically overestimate the magnitude of the effects of treatment compared to RCTs. *Anglemyer et al.* summarized reviews comparing RCTs to observational studies and found little evidence for significant differences in effect estimates. *Lee et al.* showed that only 14% of the guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America were based on RCTs. Evaluation of studies relies on an understanding of the study and potential biases. Limitations in an RCT can outweigh the benefits, for example excessive dosages, excessive treatment delays, or Internet survey bias may have a greater effect on results. Ethical issues may also prevent running RCTs for known effective treatments. For more on issues with RCTs see *Deaton*, *Nichol*.

Using all studies identifies efficacy 6+ months faster (7+ months for low-cost treatments). Currently, 44 of the treatments we analyze show statistically significant efficacy or harm, defined as  $\geq$ 10% decreased risk or >0% increased risk from  $\geq$ 3 studies. Of these, 28 have been confirmed in RCTs, with a mean delay of 5.7 months. When considering only low cost treatments, 23 have been confirmed with a delay of 6.9 months. For the 16 unconfirmed treatments, 3 have zero RCTs to date. The point estimates for the remaining 13 are all consistent with the overall results (benefit or harm), with 10 showing >20%. The only treatments showing >10% efficacy for all studies, but <10% for RCTs are sotrovimab and aspirin.

**Summary.** We need to evaluate each trial on its own merits. RCTs for a given medication and disease may be more reliable, however they may also be less reliable. For off-patent medications, very high conflict of interest trials may be more likely to be RCTs, and more likely to be large trials that dominate meta analyses.

### **Exclusions**

Many meta-analyses for HCQ have been written, most of which have become obselete due to the continuing stream of more recent studies. More recent analyses with positive conclusions include *IHU Marseille* which considers significant bias from an understanding of each trial, and *García-Albéniz, Ladapo, Prodromos* which focus on early or prophylactic use studies.

Meta analyses reporting negative conclusions focus on late treatment studies, tend to disregard treatment delay, tend to follow formulaic evaluations which overlook major issues with various studies, and end up with weighting disproportionate to a reasoned analysis of each study's contribution. For example, *Axfors* assigns 87% weight to a single trial, the RECOVERY trial *RECOVERY Collaborative Group*, thereby producing the same result. However, the RECOVERY trial may be the most biased of the studies they included, due to the excessive dosage used, close to the level shown to be very dangerous in *Borba* (OR 2.8), and with extremely sick late stage patients (60% requiring oxygen, 17% ventilation/ECMO, and a very high mortality rate in both arms). There is little reason to suggest that the results from this trial are applicable to more typical dosages or to earlier treatment (10/22: the second version of this study released 10/22 assigns 74% to RECOVERY and 15% to SOLIDARITY *SOLIDARITY Trial Consortium*, which is the only other trial using a similar excessive dosage).

We include all studies in the main analysis, however there are major issues with several studies that could significantly alter the results. Here, we present an analysis excluding studies with significant issues, including indication of significant unadjusted group differences or confouding by indication, extremely late stage usage >14 days post symptoms or >50% on oxygen at baseline, very minimal detail provided, excessive dosages which have been shown to be dangerous, significant issues with adjustments that could reasonably make substantial differences, and reliance on PCR which may be inaccurate and less indicative of severity than symptoms. The aim here is not to exclude studies on technicalities, but to exclude studies that clearly have major issues that may significantly change the outcome. We welcome feedback on improvements or corrections to this. The studies excluded are as follows, and the resulting forest plot is shown in Figure 15.

Aboulenain, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication possible.

Ader, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.

Afşin, unadjusted results with no group details.

Alamdari, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Albanghali, unadjusted results with no group details; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

*Albani*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

Alghamdi, unadjusted results with no group details; very late stage, ICU patients.

Alghamdi (B), confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.

*Alhamlan*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

Alqatari, unadjusted results with no group details.

AlShehhi, unadjusted results with no group details.

Alwafi, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Annie, confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.

Aparisi, unadjusted results with no group details.

Assad, unadjusted results with no group details; confounding by time possible, propensity to use HCQ changed significantly during the study period.

*Awad*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Azaña Gómez, unadjusted results with no group details.

Barbosa, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Barra, unadjusted results with no group details.

Bielza, unadjusted results with no group details.

Boari, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Bosaeed*, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.

Budhiraja, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Cassione, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Chari, unadjusted results with no group details.

Chechter, unadjusted results with no group details.

Choi, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Coll, unadjusted results with no group details.

Cortez, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Cravedi*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Cárdenas-Jaén, unadjusted for baseline differences with no group details.

de Gonzalo-Calvo, unadjusted results with no group details.

de la Iglesia, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

De Luna, unadjusted results with no group details; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Erden, unadjusted results with no group details.

Fernández-Cruz, unadjusted results with no group details.

Fitzgerald, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.

Fried, excessive unadjusted differences between groups; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Fung, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

*Gadhiya*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

*Gautret*, excessive unadjusted differences between groups; results only for PCR status which may be significantly different to symptoms.

Geleris, significant issues found with adjustments.

Gendebien, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.

Gendelman, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Gianfrancesco, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.

Goldman, unadjusted results with no group details.

Guillaume, statistical analysis shows significant mismatch with prior research, potential overfitting.

*Gupta*, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.

Gómez, unadjusted results with no group details.

Hall, unadjusted results with no group details.

Ho, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Hraiech, very late stage, ICU patients.

Huang, significant unadjusted confounding possible.

Huh, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Izoulet, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

*Jacobs*, unadjusted results with no group details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

Juneja, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Kamran, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Kamstrup, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Karruli, unadjusted results with no group details.

Kelly, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

*Konig*, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients; unadjusted results with no group details.

Krishnan, unadjusted results with no group details.

Kuderer, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Küçükakkaş, minimal details of groups provided.

*Lamback*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

Laplana, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

*Lecronier*, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.

*Lotfy*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Luo, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Lyashchenko, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Macias, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.

Mahale, unadjusted results with no group details.

Mahto, unadjusted results with no group details.

Maldonado, treatment or control group size extremely small.

Malundo, unadjusted results with no group details.

Martin-Vicente, unadjusted results with no group details; treatment or control group size extremely small.

Martinez-Lopez, unadjusted results with no group details.

McGrail, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Menardi, excessive unadjusted differences between groups; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Mitchell, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

*Mohandas*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; unadjusted results with no group details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

*Mulhem*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

Niwas, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

*Oztas*, not adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients; excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Pasquini, unadjusted results with no group details.

Patel, unadjusted results with no group details.

Peters, excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

*Psevdos*, unadjusted results with no group details; no treatment details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Qin, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Ramírez-García*, excessive unadjusted differences between groups; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Rangel, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Rao, unadjusted results with minimal group details.

RECOVERY Collaborative Group, excessive dosage in late stage patients, results do not apply to typical dosages.

*Rentsch*, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients; medication adherence unknown and may significantly change results.

Rodriguez, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Rodriguez-Nava*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; excessive unadjusted differences between groups; unadjusted results with no group details.

*Roger*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

*Roig*, unadjusted results with no group details.

Roomi, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Rosenthal, confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.

*Roy*, no serious outcomes reported and fast recovery in treatment and control groups, there is little room for a treatment to improve results.

Rubio-Sánchez, unadjusted results with no group details.

Saib, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Said, unadjusted results with no group details.

Salazar, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; unadjusted results with no group details.

Saleemi, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Salehi, unadjusted results with no group details.

Salesi, unadjusted results with no group details.

Salvarani, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Samajdar, minimal details provided; unadjusted results with no group details; results may be significantly affected by survey bias.

*Sammartino*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

*Sands*, includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Santos, unadjusted results with no group details.

Santos, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Sarfaraz*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; significant unadjusted confounding possible; unadjusted results with no group details.

Sarhan, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline; significant unadjusted differences between groups.

Satti, unadjusted results with no group details.

Sbidian, significant issues found with adjustments.

Schmidt, confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.

Shamsi, unadjusted results with no group details.

Shoaibi, unadjusted results with no group details.

Singer, not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.

Singh, confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.

Smith, immortal time bias may significantly affect results.

Solh, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

*SOLIDARITY Trial Consortium*, excessive dosage in late stage patients, results do not apply to typical dosages; very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.

*Sosa-García*, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

*Soto*, unadjusted results with no group details; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time possible due to significant changes in SOC and treatment propensity near the start of the pandemic.

*Soto-Becerra*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Souza-Silva*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; authors discussion of prior research exhibits strong bias, raising concern for bias in analysis.

*Stewart*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Stewart (B)*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Stewart (C)*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Stewart (D)*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Stewart (E)*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Stewart (F)*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.
*Stewart (G)*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.

*Tamura*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

Tehrani, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; unadjusted results with no group details.

*Texeira*, unadjusted results with no group details; no treatment details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

*Trefond*, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients; significant unadjusted confounding possible; excessive unadjusted differences between groups.

Tu, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Ubaldo*, substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; very late stage, ICU patients; unadjusted results with no group details.

Ulrich, very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.

*Vernaz*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.

Vivanco-Hidalgo, not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.

Wang (C), confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.

Xia, minimal details provided.

Yegerov, unadjusted results with no group details.

*Çivriz Bozdağ*, substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.

*Çiyiltepe*, treatment group only includes patients where treatment failed resulting in ICU admission.

## HCQ COVID-19 studies after exclusions

Rivera-Izquierdo

10%

0 81 [0 91-9 76] death

215(n)

22 (n)



c19hcq.org

Chen	-20%	1 29 [0 58-2 86]	viral+	16/28	20 (II) 1/9	
Chen (RCT)	2/%	0.76 [0.20-2.84]	viral+	10/20	3/12	
Trullàs	36%	0.70 [0.20 2.04]	death	20/66	16/34	
Lyngbakken (RCT)	4%	0.04 [0.05 1.07]	death	1/27	1/26	
Bernaola	17%	0.90 [0.00 14:0]	death	236/1 498	28/147	
Rivera	-2%	1 02 [0 67-1 53]	death	<i>44/</i> 179	59/327	
Cavalcanti (RCT)	16%	0.84 [0.28-2.53]	death	8/331	5/173	
Novartis (RCT)	71%	0.04 [0.20 2.00]	no disch	0/331	1/5	
D'Arminio Monfo	3/1%	0.66 [0.39-1.11]	death	53/197	175	
Davido	55%	0.00 [0.39-1.11]	int /hosp	12/20	47/92	
Vu	0.00/	0.45 [0.25-0.09]	nnt./nosp.	12/00	22/1 201	-
Tu	1 00/	0.17 [0.03-0.99]	progression	1/231	32/1,291 400/1 077	
Kelligeree	670/	1.67 [0.74-0.90]	death	26 (2)	430/1,377	
Railigeros	-07%	1.67 [0.29-9.36]	death	30 (1)	72 (II) 56 (m)	
Pablos	-126%	2.20[1.35-3.79]	severe case	172 (n)	56 (n)	
Pinato	59%	0.41 [0.29-0.58]	death	30/182	181/440	
Dubernet	88%	0.12[0.02-0.88]		1/1/	9/19	
Gonzalez	27%	0.73[0.53-1.01]	death	1,246/8,476	341/1,168	
Catteau	32%	0.68 [0.62-0.76]	death	804/4,542	95//3,533	
Di Castelnuovo	30%	0.70[0.59-0.84]	death	386/2,634	90/817	
Synolaki	24%	0.76 [0.49-1.18]	death	21/98	60/214	
Heberto	54%	0.46 [0.19-0.97]	death	139 (n)	115 (n)	
Lauriola	74%	0.27 [0.17-0.41]	death	102/297	35/63	
Ashinyo	33%	0.67 [0.47-0.96]	hosp. time	61 (n)	61 (n)	
Serrano	43%	0.57 [0.28-1.18]	death	6/14	6/8	
Lammers	32%	0.68 [0.47-0.99]	death/ICU	30/189	101/498	
Ayerbe	52%	0.48 [0.37-0.62]	death	237/1,857	49/162	
Almazrou	65%	0.35 [0.09-1.35]	ventilation	3/95	6/66	
Nachega	28%	0.72 [0.49-1.06]	death	69/630	28/96	
Guisado-Vasco	20%	0.80 [0.47-1.26]	death	127/558	14/49	
Ñamendys-S (ICU)	32%	0.68 [0.38-1.20]	death	24/54	42/64	
Dubee (RCT)	46%	0.54 [0.21-1.42]	death	6/124	11/123	HYCOVID
Lano	33%	0.67 [0.28-1.31]	death	56 (n)	66 (n)	
Frontera (PSM)	37%	0.63 [0.44-0.91]	death	121/1,006	424/2,467	
López	64%	0.36 [0.14-0.89]	progression	5/36	14/36	
Núñez-Gil	8%	0.92 [0.87-0.94]	death	200/686	100/268	
Self (RCT)	-6%	1 06 [0 57-1 87]	death	25/241	25/236	
Áquila-Gordo	67%	0.33 [0.09-1.24]	death	151/346	47/70	
Sheshah	80%	0.20 [0.09-0.45]	death	267 (n)	33 (n)	
Falcone (PSM)	65%	0.35 [0.07-1.73]	death	40/238	30/77	
Burdick	-59%	1 59 [0.89-2 83]	death	142 (n)	148 (n)	
van Halem	3.2%	0.68 [0.47-1.00]	death	34/164	17/155	
Podriguoz-Conzoloz	2204	0.00 [0.47 1.00]	death	251/1 1/0	47/100	
Lombormont	2370		death	231/1,140	17/00	
Abdulrahman (DSM)	170/	0.00 [0.25-1.07]	death	977223	6/000	
Abuullalinali (PSivi)	1/70	0.60 [0.20-2.09]	ueatil	3/223	0/223	
Capson	40%	0.00 [0.29-1.25]	ventilation	12/40	0/12	
Peng	11%	0.89 [0.62-1.29]	progression	29/453	200/3,007	
Nourak	59%	0.41 [0.18-0.95]	death	108 (1)	105 (1)	
Ozturk	44%	0.56 [0.28-1.13]	death	165/1,12/	6/23	
Guglielmetti	35%	0.65 [0.33-1.30]	death	181 (n)	37 (n)	
Johnston (RCT)	30%	0.70[0.19-2.54]	hosp.	5/148	4/83	
Alqassien	18%	0.82 [0.64-1.05]	hosp. time	63 (n)	68 (n)	
lan	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.98]	hosp. time	8 (n)	277 (n)	
Naseem	33%	0.67 [0.30-1.53]	death	77 (n)	1,137 (n)	
Orioli	13%	0.87 [0.26-2.94]	death	8/55	3/18	
Signes-Costa	47%	0.53 [0.37-0.75]	death	4,854 (n)	993 (n)	
Matangila	55%	0.45 [0.07-1.27]	death	25/147	8/13	
Cangiano	73%	0.27 [0.12-0.61]	death	5/33	37/65	
Taccone (ICU)	25%	0.75 [0.58-0.95]	death	449/1,308	183/439	
Güner	77%	0.23 [0.03-1.76]	ICU	604 (n)	100 (n)	
Li	-40%	1.40 [0.99-1.98]	viral time	18 (n)	19 (n)	
Li	50%	0.50 [0.23-1.10]	no disch.	14 (n)	14 (n)	
Di Castelnuovo	40%	0.60 [0.50-0.70]	death	3,270 (n)	1,000 (n)	
Ouedraogo	33%	0.67 [0.28-1.62]	death	397 (n)	59 (n)	
Hernandez-C (RCT)	12%	0.88 [0.51-1.53]	death	106 (n)	108 (n)	
Purwati (RCT)	66%	0.34 [0.26-0.44]	viral+	38/121	111/119	
Lora-Tamayo	50%	0.50 [0.44-0.56]	death	7,192 (n)	1,361 (n)	-
Beltran Gon (RCT)	63%	0.37 [0.08-1.73]	death	2/33	6/37	
Salvador	33%	0.67 [0.40-1.03]	death	28/121	58/124	
Barry	99%	0.0 [0.00-1e+05]	death	0/6	91/599	
Reis (RCT)	66%	0.34 [0.01-8.30]	death	0/214	1/227	TOGETHER
Corradini	700/	0.00.00.01.0.411	dooth	1 420 (5)	274 (n)	
	/0%	0.30 [0.21-0.411	ueatri	1,439 (11)	2/4(11)	
Réa-Neto (RCT)	70% -57%	1.57 [0.79-3.13]	death	1,439 (11) 16/53	10/52	

Application9980.091	Kokturk	-4%	1.U4[U.1U-/.64] C	death	62/1,382	5/118	
Hey AppleHey AppleHey AppleHey AppleHey AppleBioquardCOSCOS (DO 100-117)dealYACOS (DO 100-117)dealYAALapirCOSCOS (DO 100-100)dealYAYAAALapirCOS (DO 100-100)dealYAYAAALapirCOS (DO 100-100)dealYAYAAMarthowCOS (DO 100-100)dealYAYAANameCOS (DO 100-100)dealYAYAANameYACOS (DO 100-100)dealYAYAANameYASON (DO 100-100)dealYAYAANameYAYAYAYAYAYAANameYAYAYAYAYAYAANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAYANameYAYAYAYAYAYAName<	Aghajani	19%	0.81 [0.62-1.03] c	death	553 (n)	438 (n)	
DefinitionSingle (AD)Single (AD)	Haji Aghajani	19%	0.81 [0.62-1.03] c	death	553 (n)	438 (n)	<b>_</b>
Special (NCT)         Q 20         Q 80         Q 100         D 100 <thd 100<="" th="">         D 100         <thd 100<="" th=""></thd></thd>	De Rosa	35%	0 65 [0 44-0 93] c	death	118/731	80/280	
anglationQm <t< td=""><td>Sivanalan (PCT)</td><td>0.20%</td><td></td><td>doath</td><td>1/61</td><td>2/56</td><td></td></t<>	Sivanalan (PCT)	0.20%		doath	1/61	2/56	
pippinekur, Lic, D         O         I, Al (2007, 10)         etc. Al (2007)         I 456/41           Light         105         0.50 (2075, 100)         0.50 (2075, 100)         0.50 (2075, 100)           Sterich         105         0.50 (2075, 100)         0.50 (2075, 100)         0.50 (2075, 100)           Sterich         220 (2071, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Sterich         1200         220 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Sterich         1200         220 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Uppm         1200         220 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Uppm         1200         220 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Uppm         1200         220 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Geldenic         1300         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Geldenic         1300         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Geldenic         1300         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)         0.50 (2074, 100)           Geldenic		9270	1.00[0.00-11.7] 0		1/01	2/30	HOFAC-COVID
Lagier       379       L63 (112-4, 124)       MA1.700       L42/141         Tarrin       107       150 (112-5)       100 (111)       0070       0071         Tarrin       107       150 (112-5)       100 (111)       0072       33245         Deckom       223 (112-001)       10.001 (100)       004/25       141.770         Deckom       223 (112-001)       10.001 (100)       004/25       141.770         Deckom       233 (109-6.00)       200 (100)       244 (1)	Byakika-Ki (RCT)	0%	1.00[0.56-1.75]	ecov. time	36 (n)	29 (n)	
Singh (R)         449         A 38 (10.15 - 1.82) deal         701         671           Carlovin (C)         1.80         230 (10.96.11) [C)         1711         007100         3342           Carlovin (C)         1.80         230 (10.96.11) [C)         1711         007100         3342           DamSLau (C)         1.80         220 (10.14.30 dasth         574 (10.252 (10.14.30 dasth         574 (10.252 (10.14.30 dasth         574 (10.252 (10.14.30) dasth         574 (10.152 (10.14.30) dasth         574 (10.152 (10.14.30) dasth         574 (10.152 (10.14.30) dasth         577 (10.154 (10.14.30) dasth         577 (10.15	Lagier	32%	0.68 [0.52-0.88] c	death	93/1,270	146/841	
Turnin         1000         1000 / 100         30445           Cerborn         2210         0.210 / 1.63         0.402 / 1.010         0.412 / 1.000           Cerborn         2210         0.210 / 1.63         0.402 / 0.400         0.410 / 1.000           Barrat-Duc(KT)         162         2210 / 1.63         0.410 / 0.400         0.410 / 0.400           Dynamic         124         288 / 0.071 / 0.01         0.410 / 0.000         0.410 / 0.000           Upgen         124         288 / 0.071 / 0.01         0.410 / 0.000         0.410 / 0.000         0.410 / 0.000           Dynamic (RU)         445         130 / 0.000         1100 / 0.000         1100 / 0.000         0.0000           Dynamic (RU)         4450         0.001 / 0.000 / 0.000         0.001 / 0.000 / 0.0	Singh (RCT)	48%	0.53 [0.15-1.82] c	death	3/20	6/21	
Schweitz         9479         938         038         0440         14170           Taek         939         161         041         039         041         04170           Taek         930         161         041         021        021         021 <th< td=""><td>Turrini</td><td>10%</td><td>0.90 [0.75-1.03] c</td><td>death</td><td>103/160</td><td>33/45</td><td></td></th<>	Turrini	10%	0.90 [0.75-1.03] c	death	103/160	33/45	
Orderson         929         921 [01] 1.42] each         904/20         11/70           Braue Dev (201)         197         220 [04-0] 1.82] each         4/45         2/45           Braue Dev (201)         197         220 [04-0] 1.82] each         4/45         2/46           Moral Dev (201)         197         283 [077-100] valatime         15/00         2/60           Moral Dev (201)         9/81         558 [01.74-100] each         17/00         11/10           Bebblos (201)         9/81         558 [01.74-100] each         17/00         11/10           Guiderson         9/81         558 [01.74-40] each         17/00         11/10           Guiderson         1970         551 [01.94-40] each         17/01         11/10           Guiderson         1970         551 [01.94-40] each         17/11         11/11           Bausanto         1970         550 [01.94-40] each         17/21         2/01.92           Bausanto         1970         550 [01.94-10] each         17/21         2/01.92         1/01.92           Bausanto         1970         570 [01.36-10] each         17/11         1/12.92         1/12.92         1/12.92         1/12.92         1/12.92         1/12.92         1/12.92         1/12.92 <td< td=""><td>Schwartz (RCT)</td><td>-133%</td><td>2 33 [0 10-56 1]</td><td>СШ</td><td>1/111</td><td>0/37</td><td></td></td<>	Schwartz (RCT)	-133%	2 33 [0 10-56 1]	СШ	1/111	0/37	
Carthon         Carthon         Carthon         Control         Control <t< td=""><td>Corlovin</td><td>0.00/</td><td>1.00[0.10.00.1]</td><td>dooth</td><td>00/420</td><td>1 41 /770</td><td></td></t<>	Corlovin	0.00/	1.00[0.10.00.1]	dooth	00/420	1 41 /770	
Inen         Start Low (CT)         Value (U,U,U,U,U) Bl doain         b / 4 (s)         222 (b)           Sum Cobor (CT)         402         238 (0.955-33) death         139 (s)         244 (s)           Jonath         -138         238 (0.955-33) death         136 (s)         244 (s)           Panca (CT)         408         238 (0.955-33) death         120 (s)         67 (s)           Panca (CT)         408         238 (0.955-33) death         720 (s)         67 (s)           Algorman, RCT)         608         45 (1.958-22) no diach         720 (s)         170 (s)           Algorman, RCT         608         64 (1.950-22) adam         627 (s)         171 (s)         171 (s)           Calderian         718         13.16 (u,A) 2.17 (d) death         172 (s)         171 (s)         171 (s)           AldelAndraft         109         508         641 (s) 50.07 (d) death         172 (s)         271 (s)         171 (s)           AldelAndraft         109         508         142 (2.00-24) death         172 (s)         271 (s)         171 (s)           Calderian         118         12.02 (s) 1.0 -44 (s)         12.0 (s) 1.0 -44 (s)         12.0 (s) 1.0 -44 (s)         12.0 (s) 1.0 -44 (s)           Calcorian         278         13.0 (s) 1.0 (s) 1.0 (s	Genovin	-22%	1.22[0.91-1.03] C	Jeath	90/429	141///0	
Barnet-Dec (RCI)         129         2.20 (D.40-10.6) death         4.445         2.248           Macabiai         129         2.83 (D.77-100) volume         15 (n)         25 (n)	laieb	39%	0.61 [0.41-0.92] r	no disch.	6/4 (n)	252 (n)	
Abctabil19801980244 (w)Urgan1988.88 (0.771.00)19615(m)25 (n)Pinda (RCT)4805.38 (0.151.1.82)6.42117.30317.303Alportmon, (RCI)5615.57 (0.884.1.63)Action17.30317.304Alportmon, (RCI)5611.52 (0.441.01)1.72 (0.441.01)1.72 (0.411.01)1.72 (0.411.01)Calaken17031.171.111.173.11.72 (0.411.01)1.72 (0.411.01)AbcdeCaffar10040.001 (0.004.02)6.641.012.22 (0.211.01)7.742.1AbcdeCaffar10040.001 (0.004.02)6.641.017.7838.82 (0.911.01)Basamort2860.72 (0.341.1.3)1.713.17.744.9Roumbol3060.201.01.04.416.0101.742.04.742Linacoska (75%)3.500 (0.157.1.6)1.640.017.744Basamort2780.500.013.740.01Basamort2780.500.015.00Holag2797.714.1	Barrat-Due (RCT)	-120%	2.20 [0.40-10.8] c	death	4/45	2/48	
Uppend pondu/GCTUppend (GCT)U	Alotaibi	-134%	2.33 [0.99-5.49] c	death	193 (n)	244 (n)	
Partial (PC)         48 (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b	Uvaen	12%	0 88 [0 77-1 00] v	/iral time	15 (n)	25 (n)	
num (pr.)         erg (p. num (p. num))         erg (p. num)         erg (p. num)         erg (p. num)           Attornwan, (W)         646         of 410, 191, 127         erg (p. num)         1750         1150           Attornwan, (W)         646         of 410, 191, 127         erg (p. num)         1750         1150           Callbard         211         0.221, 104, 438         desh         522         1171           Add(chaffar         1000         0.071, 105, 073         desh         522, 171         738         8228           Braumont         238         0.021, 101, 0443         desh         1773         201, 102         1774           Braumont         399         0.20, 101, 0443         desh         1722         0.718         202, 101           Userg (PSM)         228         0.20, 110, 0443         desh         1723         201, 100           Basactis (PCM)         244         0.09         352, 356, 355         356         351, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 1	Panda (PCT)	1004	0.52 [0.15_1.02] c	doath	3/20	6/01	
Bacaba (k1)         ess (bis-2/2)         for adm         17/30         17/30         17/30           Gaginelinetti         28%         0.72 (0.84-100)         0.66 (0.94-1)         0.71 (0.100)         126 (0.100)           Gaginelinetti         28%         0.72 (0.84-100)         0.66 (0.94-1)         0.71 (0.100)         0.71 (0.1		4070	1.55[0.131.02] 0		17/00	0/21	
Algoringent       600       0.44 (0.19 1.02) death       71.00       10.100         Calceron       2080       0.72 (0.24-0.16) death       57.27       11.7         Calceron       2080       3.15 (0.44-0.41) death       57.27       11.7         AnderChartar       1000       0.00 (0.00 0.02) death       0.238       9006.474         Calceron       2580       0.72 (0.29-1.33) death       17.711       11.94         Addertant       1060       0.00 (0.00 0.02) death       0.238       9006.474         Calmanoka       650       0.42 (0.29-1.33) death       17.7113       20.180         Beamont       150       0.62 (0.23-0.92) death       17.28       20.782         Fishoreka (FSM)       2000 (0.13-11.0) death       17.42       0.42	Babalola (RCT)	-55%	1.55 [0.88-2.72] Г	no discn.	17/30	11/30	
Baglelenti         289         315         0.22         0.43         0.52         0.17           Ferreira         199         2.51         0.09         0.00	Atipornwan (RCT)	56%	0.44 [0.19-1.02] c	death	7/100	16/100	
Calderol       219       315 [0.40-24.7] death       527       1.77         Ferreiro       139       251 [0.40-24.3] death       1271 11       118         AddelSaffar       1090       0.00 [0.00-0.02] death       2280-277       742-390         Corma       280       0.72 [0.391-33] death       177113       20/180         Beaumon       280       0.20 [0.100-4.4] death       7.783       80/258         Beaumon       280       0.20 [0.100-4.4] death       7.783       80/258         Beaumon       280       0.20 [0.100-4.4] death       7.783       80/258         Caldram (FC)       280       0.20 [0.100-4.4] death       1.422       24/23         Daradju (FSM)       580       0.20 [0.100-4.4] death       1.422       24/24         Longing (FSM)       590       0.71 [0.33-0.97] death       9.70       7.71         Sassets Bach       0.71 [0.36-1.58] no recov.       15 (n)       15 (n)       15 (n)         Sassets Bach       0.77 [0.36-1.62] death       2.71 (n)       7.714       4.714         Bavarjot       1.78       0.71 (0.36-1.62] death       1.37 (n)       3.714 (n)       4.714       4.714         Cassets Bach       0.71 (0.36-6.72] death       1.37 (n)	Guglielmetti	28%	0.72 [0.48-1.08] c	death	474 (n)	126 (n)	
Fund         15%         251         100-4.43         damb         17/11         17/81           LavidGN967         1500         0.0010.002         damb         0228         900.47/4           LavidGN967         288         0.64 (0.550.73) dech         2287.777         747.240	Calderón	-215%	3.15 [0.40-24.7] c	death	5/27	1/17	
Abdsforthr         100 m         0.00 (0.00.002) death         023 m         900 472           Lawilla Olleros         366         0.64 (0.55.0.73) death         2.3871.277         7742.19           Dorma         0.36 (0.259.1.3) death         1.7213         20110	Ferreira	-151%	2 51 [1 09-4 43] c	death	17/111	11/81	
Alcelerentaria         Duty         Description         Description         Description           Alcelerentaria         20%         0.72 (0.30 1.33) death         177 (73)         20,718 (73)           Bournont         2%         0.72 (0.30 1.33) death         177 (73)         20,718 (73)           Rouarnott         5%         0.42 (0.20 -0.90) death         238/02 (73)	AbdelCheffer	1000/		death	0/000	000/0 474	
Laviie Uleries         30:6         U.64 (L5-0L-3) death         228/12/72         774-219           Beaumant         146         0.85 (0.391-13) death/fvt.         77.88         820/258           Graumaba         156         0.22 (0.10-0.44) death/fvt.         77.88         820/258           Javangbi (PSM)         556         0.42 (0.20-0.00) death         87/0         10/70           Javangbi (PSM)         0.36         0.07 (0.13-3.52) (UU         357.1         4/52           Lefatz         157         0.363 (0.35.1-32) viral         40 (n)         1.446 (n)           Bassets Boach         276         0.73 (0.30-1.33) norreach         21 (n)         374 (n)           Grave         256         0.71 (0.30-1.70) viral time         5 (n)		100%	0.00 [0.00-0.02] C	Jean	U/238	900/3,4/4	
Omma         296         0.72 (0.39 + 1.33)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.41)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.39 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.60 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)         0.61 (0.51 + 1.51)	Lavilla Olleros	36%	U.64 [0.55-0.73] c	death	2,285/12,772	/74/2,149	
Beauront         14%         0.86 (0.391-41) desh'nt.         7.78 /r         8.828 /r           Brauanto (PSM)         640         0.2010-0.44 desh'n         207.36         247.3           Isanoska (PSM)         648         3.00 (0.13-3.16) desh'n         9.522         3458           Dyarojlu (PSM)         248         3.00 (0.13-3.51) for (0.13-3.51) desh'n         9.522         3458           Allahtar (PCT)         244         0.75 (0.13-3.51) for (0.53-1.4) viral time         5 (n)         5 (n)           Bassets Bosch         256         0.75 (0.53-1.4) viral time         5 (n)         5 (n)         5 (n)           Gaava         256         0.75 (0.53-1.12) desh         2 (n)         374 (n)         3.34 (n)           Bowen         268         0.47 (0.26-0.21) desh         2 (n)         374 (n)         3.34 (n)           Bowen         268         0.47 (0.26-0.21) desh         2 (n)         374 (n)         3.34 (n)           Bowen (PSM)         539         0.47 (0.26-0.21) desh         531 (n)         <	Omma	28%	0.72 [0.39-1.33] c	death	17/213	20/180	
Bounds         89         0.20 (01 10-0.4) death         20236         2473           Tsanovska (PSM)         56%         0.42 (0.20-00) death         870         1970           Unagdiu (PSM)         40%         0.57 (0.33-0.37) death         94622         3663           Alghtani (RCM)         24%         0.76 (0.33-0.37) death         94522         3663           Bassets-Back         0.57 (0.33-0.37) death         94522         3663           Bassets-Back         0.57 (0.33-0.73) death         94522         3663           Bassets-Back         0.30 (0.15.7) 1.33 (death         457         457           Bassets-Back         0.710 (0.51-1.32) death         21 (n)         374 (n)           Bowen         20%         0.710 (0.50-1.24) death         237 (n)         7174.4           Bowen         20%         0.80 (0.56-0.34) death         1.317 (n)         3.314 (n)           Babarjai         1.718 (0.20-0.52) death         51 (n)         51 (n)         51 (n)           Go         55%         0.46 (0.25-11) death         717 (n)         839 (n)           Subardia (Tar)         1.73 (0.20-0.21 death         1.23 (n)         1.23 (n)           Subardia (Tar)         1.31 (n)         51 (n)         50 (n)      <	Beaumont	14%	0.86 [0.39-1.411 c	death/int.	7/38	88/258	
Taranovska (PSM)         Taranovska (PSM)         Taranovska (PSM)         Taranovska (PSM)           Uyanojlu (PSM)         2009         3.00 [0.13-71.5] death         1/42         0.42           Debongue         3.00 [0.13-71.5] death         9/52 22         3/58           AlQahtani (RCT)         24%         0.76 [0.18-3.25] (CU         3/51         4/52           Hafez         128 [0.33-1.43] with 40 (n)         1.442         0.446 (n)           Bassets-Boach         29%         0.71 [0.30-1.70) with time         5 (n)         5 (n)           Sina         -466         1.46 [0.77-2.21] death         2 1 (n)         374 (n)           Deswen         29%         0.71 [0.30-1.70] with time         5 (n)         5 (n)           Sinhez-Gil (PSM)         53%         0.47 (0.36-0.62] death         5 (n)         5 (n)           Oce         55%         0.46 [0.22-0.01] death         1.317 (n)         7.1744           Boaying (PSM)         50%         0.50 [0.26-0.22] death         16/41         107.311           Alshamman (PSM)         50%         0.60 [0.25-7.81] host         5 (n)         9.49           Jebenek-Tur. (DVD-77)         1.51 [0.38-1.63] death         5 /10 (n)         2.472 (n)           Juashamman (PSM)         0.68	Rouamba	80%	0.20 [0 10-0 441 c	death	20/336	24/73	
Nature (Yeak)         One         Out         Out        Out <thout< th=""> <t< td=""><td></td><td>500/</td><td></td><td>dooth</td><td>20,000</td><td>10/70</td><td></td></t<></thout<>		500/		dooth	20,000	10/70	
Oyanogi (rysh)         2008         3.00 (0.11/s -1/.01) death         1/42         0/42           Bongue         436         0.57 (0.33-0.57) death         952         36.58           AlQahan (RCT)         246         0.67 (0.18-3.25) (1.01)         35.1         4552           Bassets-Bosch         296         0.71 (0.30-1.70) viral time         5 (n)         5 (n)           Bassets-Bosch         296         0.71 (0.30-1.70) viral time         5 (n)         374 (n)           Bassets-Bosch         296         0.71 (0.30-1.70) viral time         5 (n)         374 (n)           Slave         -0.75 (0.26-1.58) no recox         15 (n)         137 (n)         374 (n)           Babayojt         1126         2.12 (0.55-7.11) veritilation         531 (n)         0.31 (n)           Go         556         0.45 (0.22-0.91) death         n/a         n/a           Alsamaria (PSM)         0.90         0.22-1.02) death         1641         107/311           Bubene/Tur. (PCM)         138 (0.22-0.91) death         1641         107/311           Alsamaria (PSM)         0.90         0.201-1.52) death         590         10.41/10           Alsamaria (PSM)         0.80 (0.24-0.10) death         1.239 (n)         8.399 (n)           Alga	i sanovska (PSIVI)	ებ%	0.42 [0.20-0.90] C	Jean	0//0	19/70	
Ebong         438         0.57 (0.33-0.97) death         99.522         3658           Hafez         124         0.76 (0.18-3.25) (0.10         361         452           Hafez         128         0.87 (0.18-3.25) (0.11         137 (n)         136 (n)           Bassets-Bocch         298         0.71 (0.30-1.70) wiral time         5 (n)         5 (n)           Bassets-Bocch         298         0.71 (0.30-1.02) death         27 (n)         137 (n)         3374 (n)           Gaswa         298         0.71 (0.36-1.58) no recov         15 (n)         137 (n)         3374 (n)           Babay(t)         1178         212 (0.56-571) wenth         573         674         n/a           Bowen         208         0.47 (0.36-6.62) death         n/a         n/a         n/a           Babay(t)         1178         126 (0.56-571) wenth         n/a         n/a         n/a           Co         558         0.45 (0.517-1.30) death         n/a         n/a         n/a           Geback-Tur. (CV)         228         0.78 (0.64-0.93) death         1.79 (0.79)         3372         4050           Geback-Surp         0.50 (0.17-1.30) death         2.79 (0.47)         3372         4050         410 (1.00         410 (1.00 </td <td>Uyaroğlu (PSM)</td> <td>-200%</td> <td>3.00 [0.13-71.6] c</td> <td>death</td> <td>1/42</td> <td>0/42</td> <td></td>	Uyaroğlu (PSM)	-200%	3.00 [0.13-71.6] c	death	1/42	0/42	
AlQaharah (RCT)       249       0.75 [0.13-2.2]       C/U       4/52         Hafar       129       0.88 [0.53-1.43]       (n)       1.446 (n)         Bassets-Bosch       299       0.71 [0.30-1.70]       viral time       5 (n)       5 (n)         Hong (PSM)       295       0.73 [0.30-1.70]       viral time       5 (n)       15 (n)         Silva       406       1.460 (n)       1.446 (n)       374 (n)         Osava       296       0.71 [0.50-1.02]       eeth       1.71 (n)       3.314 (n)         Bowen       200       0.80 (0.640-94)       637,178       6.94         Niñez-GIPSM       539       0.47 [0.26-0.29]       631,171       581 (n)       581 (n)         Gos       559       0.45 [0.22-0.91]       631,478       694       107         Alosaini (PSM)       400       0.50 [0.25-101]       641.41       107.811         Alosaini (PSM)       509       0.51 [0.94 eza)       641.41       107.111         Alsharmani (PSM)       0.50 [0.171-130]       6ath       7.92       4.150         Aveimer       409       1.061 [0.90]       6ath       7.92       4.150         Meriza       1.01 [0.88-116]       6ath       7.92	Ebongue	43%	0.57 [0.33-0.97] c	death	93/522	36/58	
Hafez       12%       0.88 (0.53-1.43) viral+       00 (n)       1.446 (n)         Bassets-Bosch       29%       0.71 (0.30-1.70) viral imo       5 (n)       5 (n)         Silva       40%       1.46 (0.7.2.2.1) death       2 (n)       374 (n)         Silva       40%       0.87 (0.56-1.5.8) no recov.       15 (n)       5 (n)         Solva       29%       0.71 (0.50-1.02) death       2.57 (0.711/44         Bowen       29%       0.71 (0.50-1.02) death       63/1.378       6/94         Nuñac-Gi (RVM)       53%       0.47 (0.36-0.62) death       63/1.378       6/94         Albenek-Tur. (V)       22%       0.78 (0.64-0.95) death       n/a       n/a         Albenek-Tur. (V)       29%       0.71 (0.61-0.90) death       1.611       107/811       Albener (N)         Albener (RV)       50%       0.50 (0.17-1.30) death       1.239 (n)       8.399 (n)       7/92       4.660756         Algadneek (U)       19%       0.660 (0.29-1.28) death       1.037 (n)       8.391 (n)       5.33         Mehrizi       29%       0.71 (0.70-0.77) death       1.037 (n)       8.33 (n)       4.660756         Yigwan       0.89 (0.01-94) (n)       0.831 (n)       0.831 (n)       4.242 (n)       4.660756 <td>AlQahtani (RCT)</td> <td>24%</td> <td>0.76 [0.18-3.25] 10</td> <td>CU</td> <td>3/51</td> <td>4/52</td> <td></td>	AlQahtani (RCT)	24%	0.76 [0.18-3.25] 10	CU	3/51	4/52	
Basester-Bosch       296       0.71 [0.30-1.70]       viral time       5 (n)       5 (n)       5 (n)         Hong (PSM)       256       0.73 [0.36-1.58]       no recov.       15 (n)       15 (n)         Silva       4466       1.64 (0.77-22)       death       2 (n)       374 (n)         Babayigi       1129       121 [0.56-5.71]       vertile       2 (n)       374 (n)         Bobayigi       1129       121 [0.56-5.71]       vertile       581 (n)       581 (n)         Go       559       0.45 (0.22-0.91]       death       7.87       0.73         Bubench-Tur. (ICU)       226       0.73 (0.64-0.95]       death       7.87       0.73         Bubench-Tur. (ICU)       226       0.73 (0.64-0.95]       death       7.87       0.73         Alosaimi (PSM)       4095       5.00 (0.25-101]       death       2.37       0.67         Spivak (RCT)       759       1.73 (0.52-578)       hosp.       7.152       4.150         Aveimer       4095       0.66 (0.29-1.73)       10.73 (0.70       7.752       4.166 /75         Spivak (RCT)       7.95       1.73 (0.52-578)       hosp.       7.152       4.166 /75         Melandu (CU)       156       0.6	Hafez	12%	0 88 [0 53-1 43] v	/iral+	40 (n)	1 446 (n)	
Dasket         259         0.7 [0.36-158] no recov.         15 (n)         15 (n)         15 (n)           Silva         40%         1.46 [0.77-2.21] death         21 (n)         374 (n)           Gaswa         29%         0.71 [0.36-15.8] no recov.         15 (n)         15 (n)           Mone         20%         0.80 [0.68-0.94] death         1.317 (n)         3.314 (n)           Babayigit         1128         2.12 (0.65-5.71) ventilation         63/1.378         6/94           Mone         Col         25%         0.47 (0.36-0.62) death         581 (n)         581 (n)           Go         S5%         0.47 (0.36-0.62) death         581 (n)         581 (n)         581 (n)           Bubenek-Tur. (PSM)         500         1.50 (0.98-2.29) death         16/11         50/53           Bubenek-Tur. (PSM)         500         0.50 (0.17-1.30) death         6/161         50/553           Delgado         26%         0.74 (0.61-0.90) death         1.239 (n)         8.39 (n)           Alshamran (PSM)         506 (0.51-0.84) death         37.92         4/66/756           Yilgwan         93%         0.07 (0.03.71) death         9/12.32         29/43.333           Mehrizi         20%         0.65 (0.51-0.84) death         1.03779	Passets Posch	2004		viral time	5 (n)	5 (n)	
Hong (2sky)         2.5         0.5         0.6         15         (1)         (1)		2970	0.71[0.30-1.70] V	niai time	3(1)	3(1)	
Silva       46%       1.46 (0.77.2.21) death       21 (n)       374 (n)         Osawa       296       0.80 (0.86.9.44) death       1.317 (n)       3.314 (n)         Babayigit       112%       2.12 (0.65.7.1) writilation       637.378       694         Niñez-Gil (PSM)       53%       0.47 (0.36-0.62) death       r/a       n/a         Muñez-Gil (PSM)       53%       0.47 (0.36-0.62) death       n/a       n/a         Alosaimi (PSM)       4695       5.00 (0.25-101) death       n/a       n/a       n/a         Alosaimi (PSM)       4695       5.00 (0.25-101) death       1641       107.711       REMAP-CAP         Alosaimi (PSM)       50%       0.50 (0.171-3.0) death       1.72.9 (n)       8.399 (n)          Spivak (RCT)       -73%       1.73 (0.52-5.78) hosp.       7.152       4150          Alogacheb (ICU)       35%       0.65 (0.1-0.34) death       1.939 (n)       2.423 (n)          Kirshnan       40%       0.60 (0.40-1.10) death       5.032       9.4163.33           Meuzi       35%       0.66 (1.65-0.73)       10.377.12       9.41263.83           Meuzi       36%       0.61 (0.4088) death </td <td>Hong (PSIVI)</td> <td>25%</td> <td>U./5[U.36-1.58] r</td> <td>no recov.</td> <td>15 (n)</td> <td>15 (n)</td> <td></td>	Hong (PSIVI)	25%	U./5[U.36-1.58] r	no recov.	15 (n)	15 (n)	
Osawa       29%       0.71 [0.50.1.0.2) death       25/71       71/144         Bowen       20%       0.80 [0.680.294] death       1.317 (n)       3.314 (n)         Babayigit       112%       21.2 [0.655.71] ventilation       63/1.378       6/94         Niñez-GII (PSM)       53%       0.47 [0.36.0.62] death       581 (n)       581 (n)       581 (n)         Go       55%       0.47 [0.36.0.62] death       r/a       r/a       r/a         Plubenek-Tur. (ICU)       22%       0.78 [0.64.0.95] death       r/a       r/a         Higgins (RCT)       51%       1.51 [0.992.29] death       1.64/1       107/311         Higgins (RCT)       7%       0.30 [0.17.1.30] death       1.23 (n)       8.399 (n)         Sphak (RCT)       7%       0.30 [0.21.2.5] death       4750         Aweimer       40%       0.60 [0.40-1.10] death       1.23 (n)       8.399 (n)         Richanci (CU)       35%       0.65 [0.51-0.84] death       37.92       466/756         Yilgwan       93%       0.07 (10.30-1.14] death       1.03 (n)       2.423 (n)         Burthan (CU)       15%       0.65 [0.51-0.73]       rease control       37.92       466/756         Surha (CU)       95%       0.62 [0.65-0.73	Silva	-46%	1.46 [0.77-2.21] c	death	21 (n)	374 (n)	
Browen         29%         0.80 [0.680.0.94] death         1.317 (n)         3.314 (n)           Babaiyigi         1.22 [0.655.71] verilation         63/1.378         694           Niñez-GI (PSM)         53%         0.47 [0.36-0.62] death         r/s         n/a           Go         55%         0.47 [0.36-0.62] death         r/a         n/a         n/a           Bubenek-Tur. (PSM)         200         220 [0.65-5.07] death         n/a         n/a         n/a           Alosaimi (PSM)         409         500 [0.25-101] death         2/37         0/37         REMAP-CAP           Alosaimi (PSM)         50%         500 [0.17-1:0] death         1.239 (n)         8.399 (n)         REMAP-CAP           Alsharmari (PSM)         50%         505 [0.51-7.8] hosp.         7/152         4/150         Alosain           Spikak (RCT)         -7%         1.73 [0.52-5.78] hosp.         7/152         4/160            Spikak (RCT)         50%         0.60 [0.49-1.10] death         1.039 (n)         2.423 (n)            Burhan (CU)         1%         10 [0.89-1.16] death         8/123         2/4/456            Yigwan         2%         0.66 [0.65-0.73]         10.279/1.28         9/4/28.85         <	Osawa	29%	0.71 [0.50-1.02] c	death	25/71	71/144	
Babayigit       -1128       2.12       0.65-5.71       ventilation       63/1.378       6/94         Nuñez-Cill (PSM)       539       0.47       10.36-0.62       death       581 (n)       581 (n)         Bubenek-Tuz. (ICU)       22%       0.78       0.64-0.95       death       n/a       n/a         Alosaimi (PSM)       400%       500       0.25:1011       death       1.64:1       107/311         Alosaimi (PSM)       50%       0.50       0.510       1.51       0.982-20;21       death       1.64:1       107/311         Alosaimi (PSM)       50%       0.50       0.510,17-1.30       death       1.64:1       107/311         Alshammani (PSM)       50%       0.50       0.510,17-1.30       death       1.239 (n)       8.399 (n)         Sylvak (RCT)       -73%       1.73       1.052-5.73       hesp.       7.152       4/150         Alvacheeb (ICU)       35%       0.65       0.510-84       death       3.792       466756         Yilgwan       93%       0.07       10.83-1.61       death       59/352       9163.533         Menizi       26%       0.64       0.650-0.73       10.7371.285       9.41263355       32% lower risk	Bowen	20%	0.80 [0.68-0.94] c	death	1,317 (n)	3,314 (n)	
Data ying is a bit of ploated in the set of th	Bahavinit	-112%	2 12 [0 65-5 71] v	entilation	63/1 378	6/94	
Numerical (rssin)       358       0.47 (0.500.02)       0.43 (0.200.02)       0.43 (0.200.02)         Go       55%       0.45 (0.220.02)       0.45 (0.220.02)       0.45 (0.220.02)         Bubenek-Tur. (CU)       22%       0.78 (0.640.05)       death       n'a       n'	Núñoz Cil (DOM)	E 20/	0.47[0.36[0.62]	dooth	E01 (p)	EQ1 (n)	
Go       55%       U.46 [U.221031] death       na       <	Nullez-Gil (PSIVI)	0070	0.47 [0.30-0.02] (	Jeath	561 (11)	561 (1)	
Bubenek-Tur. (10U) 22% 0.78 (0.64-0.95) death n/a n/a n/a Aloeami (PSM) 400% 5.00 (0.25-101) death 2/37 0/37 REMAP-CAP Higgins (RCH) 45% 0.50 (0.17-1.30) death 6/161 50/653 Belgado 26% 0.41 (0.61-0.90) death 1,239 (n) 8,399 (n) Avenime 40% 0.60 (0.29-1.25) death 4/9 104/140 Krishnan 40% 0.60 (0.29-1.25) death 4/9 104/140 Alcadnee (ICU) 35% 0.65 (0.51-0.84) death 1,039 (n) 2,423 (n) Burhan (ICU) 4% 0.01 (0.39-1.14) death 1,039 (n) 2,423 (n) Burhan (ICU) 4% 0.01 (0.39-1.14) death 1,039 (n) 2,423 (n) Burhan (ICU) 4% 0.01 (0.39-1.14) death 1,039 (n) 2,423 (n) Burhan (ICU) 4% 0.01 (0.39-0.14) death 1,039 (n) 2,423 (n) Burhan (ICU) 4% 0.01 (0.39-0.14) death 1,039 (n) 2,423 (n) Burhan (ICU) 4% 0.01 (0.39-0.17) death 84/123 294/436 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.46-0.88) death 59/552 9+16/3,533 Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.74 ( <sup>2</sup> -7.6%, p-0.000) Treatment 20% 0.58 (0.55-0.73) 10.7370/128 9+126.885 Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.74 ( <sup>2</sup> -7.6%, p-0.000) Treatment 20% 0.33 (0.20-0.56) cases 12/68 206/387 Photachary 81% 0.19 (0.07-0.53) cases 4/54 20/52 Ferreira 47% 0.53 (0.39-0.72) cases population-based cohort Thorpowernet, RR (C) Chatterje C7% 0.33 (0.20-0.56) cases 12/68 206/387 Photachary 81% 0.19 (0.07-0.53) cases 4/54 20/52 Ferreira 47% 0.53 (0.39-0.72) cases population-based cohort Photo-100 (0.01-0.34) cases 7/16 20/27 Photois 17% 0.83 (0.27-2.88) cases 3/27 2/3/72 Photois 17% 0.83 (0.27-2.88) cases 3/27 2/3/72 Kadnur 61% 0.49 (0.24-0.88] cases 10/258 15/100 Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.39-0.72) cases 9/994 16/647 Ferri 63% 0.37 (0.16-0.83) cases 9/994 16/647 Ferri 64% 0.38 (0.15-0.85) cases 1/42 1/27 Beblois 17% 0.89 (0.03-797) hosp. 1/98 1/444 Ferri 64% 0.38 (0.15-0.85) cases 1/42 Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.25-0.35) cases 1/42 Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.25-0.35) cases 1/42 Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.25-0.35) cases 1/42 Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.05-0.58) cases 1/42 Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.05-0.12) death 7/a r/a Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.05-0.25) death 7/a r/a Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.05-0.25) death 7/a r/a Ferreira 47% 0.59 (0.05-0.25) cases 1/42 Ferreira 47% 0.59	Go	55%	0.45 [0.22-0.91] c	death	n/a	n/a	
Alosaimi (PSM)       -0078       5.00 [0.25-101]       death       2.37       0/37         Higgins (RCT)       51%       1.51 [0.98-2.29]       death       1.6/41       107/311         Alshamrani (PSM)       50%       0.50 [0.17-1.30]       death       1.29 (n)       8.399 (n)         Spivak (RCT)       -73%       1.73 [0.52-5.78]       hosp.       7/152       4/150         Aweiner       40%       0.60 [0.24-1.2]       death       3792       4667756         AlQadheeb (ICU)       35%       0.56 [0.51-0.84]       death       59/32       916/3.533         Metwizi       36%       0.40 [0.46-0.88]       death       59/32.91 [0.37.33	Bubenek-Tur (ICU)	22%	0.78 [0.64-0.95] c	death	n/a	n/a	
Higgins (RCT)       51%       1.51 [0.99-2.29] death       16/41       107.311       REMAP-CAP         Alshamrani (PSM)       50%       0.50 [0.17-1.30] death       6/161       50/653         Delgado       26%       0.74 [0.61-0.90] death       1.239 (n)       8.399 (n)         Spivak (RCT)       -73%       1.73 [0.52-5.78] hosp.       7/152       4/150         Aweiner       40%       0.60 [0.29-1.25] death       4/9       10/4140         Algadheeb (ICU)       35%       0.65 [0.51-0.84] death       37/92       466/756         Miryan       93%       0.07 [0.03-0.14] death       1.039 (n)       2,423 (n)         Burhan (ICU)       -110 [0.88-1.16] death       84/123       29/4/36.         Meeus       36%       0.64 [0.46-0.88] death       59/352       916/3.533         Mehrizi       26%       0.74 [0.70-0.77] death       population-based cohort       Image: angle	Alosaimi (PSM)	-400%	5.00 [0.25-101] c	death	2/37	0/37	
Alshammin (PSM) 50% 0.50 (0.17-1.30) death 6/161 50/653 Delgado 26% 0.74 (0.61-0.90) death 1.29 (n) 8.399 (n) Spivak (RCT) -73% 1.73 (0.52-5.78) hosp. 7/152 4/150 Aweimer 40% 0.60 (0.29-1.25) death 4/9 104/140 AlQadheeb (ICU) 35% 0.65 (0.51-0.84) death 37/92 466/756 Yilgwan 93% 0.71 (0.30-0.14) death 37/92 466/756 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.46-0.88) death 59/352 9/14/363 533 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.46-0.88) death 59/352 9/4436 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.46-0.88) death 59/352 9/4426 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.46-0.88) death 59/352 9/4426 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.46-0.88) death 59/352 9/4426 Meeus 36% 0.64 (0.771) death population-based cohort <b>B</b> <b>Late treatment 32%</b> 0.68 (0.65-0.73) 10.7379/128 9/4426 Bhattacharya 81% 0.19 (0.07-0.53) cases 12/58 206/387 Bhattacharya 81% 0.39 (0.20-0.56) cases 12/58 206/387 Bhattacharya 81% 0.39 (0.27-2.58) cases 7/16 20/27 Desbois 17% 0.83 (0.27-2.58) cases 7/16 20/27 Desbois 17% 0.83 (0.27-2.58) cases 10/258 15/100 Khurana 51% 0.49 (0.24-0.98) cases 6/22 88/159 Piñana 36% 0.64 (0.37-1.10) death r/a r/a Grau-Pujol (RCT) 11% 0.89 (0.06-14.2) cases 11/42 11/127 Geathy 0.53 (0.37-0.77) hosp. 11/99 11/944 Grau-Pujol (RCT) 11% 0.89 (0.06-14.2) cases 11/122 11/127 Geathy 0.55 (0.35-0.77) hosp. 11/99 11/944 Abella (RCT) 5% 0.95 (0.25-3.63) cases 4/64 4/61 PATCH Yadav 82% 0.18 (0.10-0.81) hosp. 2/279 9/221 Genthy 91% 0.09 (0.00-15.21) death 11/20 5/50 Dehera 28% 0.72 (0.32-1.24) cases 7/19 179/353	Higgins (RCT)	-51%	1.51 [0.98-2.29] c	death	16/41	107/311	REMAP-CAP
John Mill (2017)       2016       0.36       0.74       0.61       0.09       0.63       0.74       0.61       0.93       0.74       0.61       0.93       0.74       0.61       0.93       0.74       0.61       0.99       0.74       0.61       0.99       0.74       0.61       0.69       0.69       0.69       0.69       0.69       0.69       0.69       0.69       0.60       0.70       0.70       0.70       0.70       0.70       0.70       0.70       0.70       0.7	Alshamrani (PSM)	50%	0.50 [0.17-1.30] c	death	6/161	50/653	
Delgadod       20%       0.74 (D010-0.57) (D010)       71/52       4/150         Aweimer       40%       0.60 (D.29-1.25) death       4/9       104/140         Krishnan       40%       0.60 (D.40-1.10) death       case control         AlQacheeb (ICU)       35%       0.65 (D.51-0.10-0.84) death       37/92       466/756         Yilgwan       93%       0.07 (D.03-0.14) death       1,039 (n)       2,423 (n)         Burhan (ICU)       -1%       1.01 (D.88-1.16) death       84/123       29/4/36         Meeus       36%       0.64 (D.46-0.88) death       59/352       9/6/3,533         Mehrizi       26%       0.74 (D.70-0.77) death       population-based cohort         Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, P <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p < 0.0001	Dolgado	260/		doath	1,220 (n)	9 200 (p)	
Sprak (RC1)73% 13 (15.25-7.8] hosp. //152 4/150 Aweimer 40% 0.60 [0.29-1.25] death 4/9 104/140 case control AlQadheeb (ICU) 35% 0.65 [0.51-0.84] death 37/92 466/756 Yilgwan 93% 0.07 [0.03-0.14] death 37/92 466/756 Burhan (ICU) -1% 1.01 [0.88-1.16] death 84/123 294/436 Meeus 36% 0.64 [0.46-0.88] death 59/352 916/3,533 Mehrizi 26% 0.74 [0.70-0.77] death population-based cohort Improvement, RE [CI] Treatment Control Chatterjee 67% 0.33 [0.20-0.56] cases 12/68 206/387 Bhattacharya 81% 0.19 [0.07-0.53] cases 4/54 20/52 Ferreira 47% 0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases population-based cohort Zhong 91% 0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases 7/16 20/27 Bebatis 17% 0.48 [0.27-2.58] cases 3/27 23/172 Kadnur 62% 0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases 10/258 15/100 Khurana 51% 0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases 6/22 8/8/159 Piñana 51% 0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases 11/42 1/127 Rajasingham (RCT) 5% 0.50 [0.03-77] hosp. 1/48 11/22 Rajasingham (RCT) 5% 0.50 [0.03-77] hosp. 1/48 Alfa 2/27 99/221 Ardeu 82% 0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp. 2/279 9/221 Ardeu 82% 0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp. 2/279 9/221 Ardeu 82% 0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp. 2/279 9/221 Ardeu 82% 0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp. 2/279 9/221 Ardeo 50% 0.50 [0.06-4.02] death 1/20 5/50 Behera 28% 0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases 7/19 179/353		20%	0.74[0.01-0.90] (	Jeath	1,239 (11)	0,399 (11)	
Aweimer40%0.60 [0.29-1.25] death4/9104/140Krishnan40%0.60 [0.40-1.10] deathcase controlKrishnan40%0.60 [0.40-1.10] death37/92466/756Yilgwan93%0.07 [0.03-0.14] death1,039 (n)2,423 (n)Burhan (ICU)-1%1.01 [0.88-1.16] death84/123294/436Meeus36%0.64 [0.46-0.88] death59/352916/3,533Mehrizi26%0.74 [0.70-0.77] deathpopulation-based cohortImprovement, <i>RR [CI]</i> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, f <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p < 0.0001	Spivak (RCT)	-/3%	1.73[0.52-5.78] h	nosp.	//152	4/150	
Krishnan       40%       0.60 [0.40-1.10] death       case control         AlQadheeb (ICU)       35%       0.65 [0.51-0.84] death       37/92       466/756         Yilgwan       93%       0.07 [0.03-0.14] death       1,039 (n)       2,423 (n)         Burhan (ICU)       1%       1.01 [0.881.16] death       84/123       294/436         Meeus       36%       0.64 [0.46-0.88] death       59/352       916/3,533         Mehrizi       26%       0.74 [0.70-0.77] death       population-based cohort       32% lower risk         Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, I <sup>2</sup> = 76.9%, p < 0.0001	Aweimer	40%	0.60 [0.29-1.25] c	death	4/9	104/140	
AlQadheeb (ICU)       35%       0.65 [0.51-0.84] death       37.92       466/756         Yilgwan       93%       0.07 [0.03-0.14] death       1,039 (n)       2,423 (n)         Burhan (ICU)       -1%       1.01 [0.88-1.16] death       59/352       91/67,553         Mehrizi       26%       0.74 [0.70-0.77] death       population-based cohort       •         Late treatment       32%       0.65 [0.65-0.73]       10.73791.28       9.41263.855       •       32% lower risk         Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, l <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p < 0.001	Krishnan	40%	0.60 [0.40-1.10] c	death	case control		
Yilgwan       93%       0.07 [0.03-0.14] death       1,039 (n)       2,423 (n)         Burhan (ICU)       -1%       1.01 [0.88-1.16] death       84/123       294/436         Meeus       36%       0.64 [0.46-0.88] death       59/352       916/3,533         population-based cohort       population-based cohort       Improvement, RR [CI]       Improvement, RR [CI]         Improvement, RR [CI]       Treatment       Control         Chatterjee       67%       0.33 [0.20-0.56] cases       12/68       206/387         Bhattacharya       81%       0.19 [0.07-0.33] cases       4/54       20/52         Ferreira       47%       0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases       population-based cohort         Zhong       91%       0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases       7/16       20/27         Desbois       17%       0.33 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       1/28       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       63%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494       Covid PREP       -         Gentry       91%       0.99 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10.703       7/21,406       <	AlOadheeb (ICU)	35%	0.65 [0.51-0.84] c	death	37/92	466/756	
Ingration       1000       1000       100000       100000       100000       100000       100000       100000       100000       1000000       1000000       1000000       10000000       100000000       1000000000       1000000000000       1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Vilawan	0.50%		death	1 (139 (n)	2 423 (n)	-
burner (tc0)-1%I.01 [0.88+1.19] death $84/123$ $294/436$ Meeus36%0.64 [0.46-0.88] death59/352916/3,533Mehrizi26%0.74 [0.70-0.77] deathpopulation-based cohortLate treatment32%0.68 [0.65-0.73]10.73791.289.41263.855 $\checkmark$ 32% lower riskTau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, l <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p < 0.0001	Durker (IOL)	10/	1.01 [0.00 1.14] (	acutii acath	04/100	2,723 (1)	
Meeus36%0.64 [0.46-0.88] death59/352916/3,533Mehrizi26%0.74 [0.70-0.77] deathpopulation-based cohortImage: 0.000 (Control Control C	burnan (ICU)	-1%	1.UI[U.88-1.16] C	Jean	04/123	294/430	
Mehrizi       26% $0.74 [0.70-0.77]$ death       population-based cohort       Image: Cohort       S2%       S2	Meeus	36%	U.64 [0.46-0.88] c	death	59/352	916/3,533	
Late treatment       32%       0.68 [0.65-0.73]       10.73791.285       9.41263.855       A       32% lower risk         Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, l <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p < 0.0001	Mehrizi	26%	0.74 [0.70-0.77] c	death	population-bas	ed cohort	
Late treatment       32%       0.03 [0.05-0.73]       10.73/91.285       32% lower risk         Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, l <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p < 0.0001	Loto transferraret	0.004		21	10 707 01 005	0.410/00.005	
Tau² = 0.07, $l^2$ = 76.8%, $p < 0.0001$ TreatmentControlChatterjee67%0.33 [0.20-0.56] cases12/68206/387Bhattacharya81%0.19 [0.07-0.53] cases4/5420/52Ferreira47%0.53 [0.39-0.72] casespopulation-based cohortZhong91%0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases7/1620/27Desbois17%0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases3/2723/172Kadnur62%0.83 [0.15-0.85] cases10/25815/100Khurana51%0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases6/2288/159Piñana36%0.64 [0.37-1.10] deathn/an/aFerri63%0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases1/1421/127Grau-Pujol (RCT)11%0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases1/1421/127Gentry91%0.09 [0.01-52] death0/10,7037/21.406Abella (RCT)5%0.55 [0.25-3.63] cases4/644/61Yadav82%0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.2/2799/221Goenka87%0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+1/77115/885Arleo50%0.50 [0.06-4.02] death1/205/50Behera28%0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases7/19179/353	Late treatment	32%	0.08 [0.65-0.73	2]	10,737/91,285	9,412/63,855	→ 32% lower risk
Improvement, RR [CI]         Treatment         Control           Chatterjee         67%         0.33 [0.20-0.56] cases         12/68         206/387           Bhattacharya         81%         0.19 [0.07-0.53] cases         4/54         20/52           Ferreira         47%         0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases         population-based cohort           Zhong         91%         0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases         7/16         20/27           Desbois         17%         0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases         3/27         23/172           Kadnur         62%         0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases         10/258         15/100           Khurana         51%         0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases         6/22         88/159           Piñana         36%         0.64 [0.37-1.10] death         n/a         n/a           Ferri         63%         0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases         9/994         16/647           Grau-Pujol (RCT)         11%         0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases         1/142         1/127           Rajasingham (RCT)         50%         0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.         1/989         1/494           Gentry         91%         0.09 [0.00-1.52] death         0/10,703         7/21.406           Abella (RCT)         5%         0.50 [0.26-3.63] cases	Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07, I <sup>2</sup> = 76.8%, p	< 0.0001					
Chatterjee       67%       0.33 [0.20-0.56] cases       12/68       206/387         Bhattacharya       81%       0.19 [0.07-0.53] cases       4/54       20/52         Ferreira       47%       0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases       population-based cohort         Zhong       91%       0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases       7/16       20/27         Desbois       17%       0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221		Impro	vement_RR_FCI1		Treatment	Control	
Unatterjee       6/%       0.33 [0.20-0.50] cases       12/68       206/387         Bhattacharya       81%       0.19 [0.07-0.53] cases       4/54       20/52         Ferreira       47%       0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases       population-based cohort         Zhong       91%       0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases       7/16       20/27         Desbois       17%       0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494       COVID PREP -         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221	Ob etter die e	(70)			10/00	000/007	
Bhattacharya       81%       0.19 [0.07-0.53] cases       4/54       20/52         Ferreira       47%       0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases       population-based cohort         Zhong       91%       0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases       7/16       20/27         Desbois       17%       0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50         Behera       28%       <	Chatterjee	6/%	บ.33 [U.2U-U.56] - C	Cases	12/68	206/387	
Ferreira       47%       0.53 [0.39-0.72] cases       population-based cohort         Zhong       91%       0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases       7/16       20/27         Desbois       17%       0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.99 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] IgG+       1/77       115/885         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353	Bhattacharya	81%	0.19 [0.07-0.53] c	cases	4/54	20/52	
Zhong       91%       0.09 [0.01-0.94] cases       7/16       20/27         Desbois       17%       0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/94       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353	Ferreira	47%	0.53 [0.39-0.72] c	cases	population-bas	ed cohort	
Desbois       17%       0.83 [0.27-2.58] cases       3/27       23/172         Kadnur       62%       0.38 [0.15-0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494       COVID PREP         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -       -	Zhong	91%	0.09 [0.01-0.94]	cases	7/16	20/27	-
Kadhur       62%       0.38 [0.15* 2.66] 6465       672       26/17       26/17         Kadhur       62%       0.38 [0.15* 0.85] cases       10/258       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24* 0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37* 1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16* 0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06* 14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03* 7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494       COVID PREP         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00* 1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25* 3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04* 0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02* 0.85] IgG+       1/77       115/885       -       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06* 4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32* 1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -       -	Deshois	17%	0.83 [0.27-2.58]	Cases	3/27	23/172	
Natural       0.2%       0.3% [0.15°0.05] cases       10/28       15/100         Khurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       -         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494       COVID PREP         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -	Kadaur	600/	0.30[0.15 0.05] -	22000	10/250	15/100	
Knurana       51%       0.49 [0.24-0.98] cases       6/22       88/159         Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221	Raunul	UZ%	0.30 [0.13-0.85] C	Ja585	10/200	10/100	
Piñana       36%       0.64 [0.37-1.10] death       n/a       n/a         Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353	Khurana	51%	U.49 [U.24-0.98] c	cases	6/22	88/159	
Ferri       63%       0.37 [0.16-0.83] cases       9/994       16/647         Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -	Piñana	36%	0.64 [0.37-1.10] c	death	n/a	n/a	
Grau-Pujol (RCT)       11%       0.89 [0.06-14.2] cases       1/142       1/127         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [0.03-7.97] hosp.       1/989       1/494       COVID PREP         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -	Ferri	63%	0.37 [0.16-0.83] c	cases	9/994	16/647	
Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [cho 1 AL2] base       1/182       1/12         Rajasingham (RCT)       50%       0.50 [cho 1 AL2] base       1/989       1/494       COVID PREP         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406       -         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] lgG+       1/77       115/885       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -	Grau-Puiol (RCT)	11%	0.89 [0 06-14 21 0	cases	1/142	1/127	
Adjassingham (ACT)       35%       0.30 [0.037/37] http://insp.       1/365       1/494       COVID PREF         Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       7/21,406       -         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] IgG+       1/77       115/885         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353		50%		2000	1/020	1//0/	
Gentry       91%       0.09 [0.00-1.52] death       0/10,703       //21,406         Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221       -         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] IgG+       1/77       115/885       -         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50       -         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353       -		01070	0.00 [0.03-7.97] [	iusp.	1/202	1/424	
Abella (RCT)       5%       0.95 [0.25-3.63] cases       4/64       4/61       PATCH         Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221	Gentry	91%	0.09 [0.00-1.52] c	death	0/10,703	//21,406	
Yadav       82%       0.18 [0.04-0.81] hosp.       2/279       9/221         Goenka       87%       0.13 [0.02-0.85] IgG+       1/77       115/885         Arleo       50%       0.50 [0.06-4.02] death       1/20       5/50         Behera       28%       0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases       7/19       179/353	Abella (RCT)	5%	0.95 [0.25-3.63] c	cases	4/64	4/61	PATCH
Goenka         87%         0.13 [0.02-0.85] IgG+         1/77         115/885           Arleo         50%         0.50 [0.06-4.02] death         1/20         5/50           Behera         28%         0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases         7/19         179/353	Yadav	82%	0.18 [0.04-0.81] h	nosp.	2/279	9/221	
Arleo     50%     0.50 [0.06-4.02] death     1/20     5/50       Behera     28%     0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases     7/19     179/353	Goenka	87%	0.13 [0.02-0 85]	aG+	1/77	115/885	
Behera         28%         0.72 [0.32-1.24] cases         7/19         179/353	Arleo	5004	0.50 [0.06_4.00]		1/20	5/50	
Benera 28% U.72 [U.32-1.24] Cases 7/19 179/353	Alleu	00%		Jedui	1/20	170/050	
	Denera	∠ర%	U.72[U.32-1.24] C	Jases	//19	1/9/303	

Datta	22%	0.78 [0.42-1.45]	cases	16/146	19/135	
Mathai	90%	0.10 [0.05-0.21]	cases	10/491	22/113	-=
Revollo (PSM)	23%	0.77 [0.35-1.68]	cases	16/69	65/418	
Jung	59%	0.41 [0.02-9.97]	death	0/649	1/1,41/	
Cordtz	30% 24%	0.70 [0.20-2.40]	hosp	onulation-bas	rz/410	
Khoubnasabiafari	17%	0.83 [0.44-1.59]	cases	34/1.436	12/422	
Strangfeld	48%	0.52 [0.37-0.71]	death	27/426	124/739	_ <b>_</b>
Bae (PSM)	30%	0.70 [0.41-1.18]	cases	16/743	91/2,698	
Pham	20%	0.80 [0.15-2.79]	death	2/14	5/28	
Dev	26%	0.74 [0.61-0.90]	cases	260 (n)	499 (n)	
Seet (RCT)	35%	0.65 [0.43-0.99]	symp. case	29/432	64/619	
Alegiani	-8%	1.08 [0.79-1.46]	death	case control	1 (2.2	
Alzahranı	59%	0.41 [0.02-9.55]	death	0/14	1/33	
Rojas-Serrano (RCT)	82%	1 60 [0.62-4.04]	symp. case	1/02	6/46	
Korkmaz	82%	0.18 [0.03-4.04]	death	n/385	2/299	
Badval	60%	0.40 [0.31-0.50]	cases	247/617	611/1.473	
Shaw (PSM)	13%	0.87 [0.80-0.96]	cases	45 (n)	99 (n)	
Bhatt	-49%	1.49 [1.05-2.13]	cases	167/731	30/196	
McCullough	52%	0.48 [0.27-0.87]	cases	13/101	32/120	<b>_</b>
Patil	66%	0.34 [0.10-1.22]	death	5,266 (n)	3,946 (n)	
Naggie (RCT)	24%	0.76 [0.51-1.14]	symp. case	41/683	53/676	HERO-HCQ
Cordtz	40%	0.60 [0.19-1.87]	hosp.	1,170 (n)	1,363 (n)	
Agarwal	95%	0.05 [0.00-3401]	hosp.	0/29	1//455	
Abmed	79%	0.21 [0.02-2.25]	symp. case	1/50 case control	2/24	
McKinnon (RCT)	2%	0.98 [0.09-10.7]	symp case	2/365	1/178	WHIP COVID-19
Ugarte-Gil	44%	0.56 [0.36-0.85]	severe case	665 (n)	230 (n)	
Opdam	45%	0.55 [0.23-1.30]	hosp.	case control	200 ()	
MacFadden	12%	0.88 [0.79-0.97]	cases	n/a	n/a	
Tirupakuzhi (RCT)	-196%	2.96 [0.12-72.3]	progression	1/211	0/203	HOPE
Raabe	82%	0.18 [0.02-1.86]	symp. case	1/59	2/21	
Yadav	20%	0.80 [0.70-1.00]	seropositive	1,255 (n)	969 (n)	
Polo (RCT)	51%	n 49 [n nn-2 29]	evmn caea	3/22/	E 10 4 4	EDICOS
D with	070/	0.49 [0.00 2.29]	symp. case	0/224	5/211	LF1003 -
Becetti	37%	0.63 [0.33-1.20]	cases	26/314	5/211 49/386	
Becetti Loucera	37% 69% 92%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27]	cases death	26/314 320 (n)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sabebari	37% 69% 92% 56%	0.43 [0.00 2.25] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83]	cases death death cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obriscă	37% 69% 92% 56% 87%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69]	cases death death cases cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17]	cases death death cases cases death	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53]	cases death death cases cases death cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases pASC	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 22 (c)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10]	cases death death cases cases cases PASC cases cases cases cases pASC cases cases pASC cases cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.98 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20]	cases death death cases cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n)	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91]	cases death death cases cases death cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n)	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19]	cases death death cases cases cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases death	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n)	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90]	cases death death cases cases cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases cases death death PASC	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n)	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22]	symp. dase cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 4444 (n) 30/2,897	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% 5% 92% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.1.41]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases cases cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases death death PASC cases cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas ca	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 4444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 20120	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 29%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27.1.42]	symp. dase cases death cases cases death cases cases pASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases cases death death pASC cases cas cases cas cas cases cas cas cas cases cases cas cas cas cases cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas cas ca	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (c)	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 4444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n)	
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases death death pASC cases cases cases cases cases death death pASC cases cases cases cases cases death death pASC cases cases cases cases cases death death cases cas cas cas cas cas	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.08 [0.01-0.76] 1.69 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.60]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases pASC symp. case cases death death death death/int. cases c c cases cas c	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 4444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -8% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.67 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.60]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 Treatment	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 4444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 55% 92% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 41% 20% 17%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.41-7.11] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6] vement, RR [CI] 0.83 [0.58-1.18]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases cases cases death PASC cases cases death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 Treatment 49/414	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 Control 58/407	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrișcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -09% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 41% 20% 17% 46%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.69-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6] vement, <i>RR</i> [ <i>CI</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases death death PASC cases cas	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2.430/67,773 Control 58/407 8/1,301	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p · Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -09% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 41% 20% 57% 57%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.59-0.91] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6] verment, <i>RR</i> [ <i>CI</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 0.43 [0.21-0.88]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases pASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases cases cases death death pASC cases c c c cases c c c	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 12/138	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 Control 58/407 8/1,301 14/70	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = $0.14$ , $l^2$ = $78.0\%$ , p Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat Dhibar	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 41% 57% 46% 57% 46% 57%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6] verment, <i>RR [CI]</i> 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 0.43 [0.21-0.88] 0.56 [0.22-1.41]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases cases death death PASC cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 12/138 6/132	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 Control 58/407 8/1,301 14/70 15/185	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = $0.14$ , $l^2$ = $78.0\%$ , p · Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat Dhibar Simova Barendez (PCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 41% 57% 44% 57% 44% 93%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.60] verment, <i>RR</i> [ <i>CI</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 0.43 [0.21-0.88] 0.56 [0.22-1.41] 0.07 [0.01-0.57] 1.04 [0.27-0.57]	cases death death cases cases death cases cases PASC symp. case cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases cases cases death death PASC cases	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 886/36,608 7rreatment 49/414 4/1,196 12/138 6/132 0/156	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 Control 58/407 8/1,301 14/70 15/185 3/48 1422	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, $l^2$ = 78.0%, p Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat Dhibar Simova Barnabas (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 39% 41% 60.0001 17% 46% 57% 57% 93%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6] verment, <i>RR</i> [ <i>CI</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 0.43 [0.21-0.88] 0.56 [0.22-1.41] 0.07 [0.01-0.57] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.81 [0.14.4.67]	cases death death cases cases cases cases cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death/int. cases cas	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 866/36,608 7reatment 49/414 4/1,196 12/138 6/132 0/156 1/407 2/51	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 Control 58/407 8/1,301 14/70 15/185 3/48 1/422 3/62	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p · Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat Dhibar (RCT) Simova Barnabas (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 34% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 57% 44% 93% -4% 19% 27%	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.66 [0.30-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.551-0.6] vernent, <i>RR</i> [ <i>CI</i> ] 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 0.43 [0.21-0.88] 0.56 [0.22-1.41] 0.07 [0.01-0.57] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.81 [0.14-4.67] 0.73 [0.40-1.35]	cases death death cases cases cases cases cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death/int. cases hosp. severe case <b>57</b>	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 183 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 Treatment 49/414 4/1,196 12/138 6/132 0/156 1/407 2/51 17/574	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 Control 58/407 8/1,301 14/70 15/185 3/48 1/422 3/62 2/4594	COVAD
Becetti Loucera Oku Sahebari Obrişcă Isnardi Sukumar Shahrin Shukla Nasri (RCT) Llanos-Cuen (RCT) Mathew Chevalier Sen Dulcey Finkelstein (PSM) Klebanov Scirocco Rabe Huang Chouhdari (RCT) Liu <b>PrEP</b> Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14, l <sup>2</sup> = 78.0%, p - Boulware (RCT) Mitjà (RCT) Polat Dhibar Simova Barnabas (RCT) Shabani Dhibar (RCT)	37% 69% 92% 56% 87% 38% -88% 5% 92% -69% 20% 35% 40% 21% 21% 21% 21% 31% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 43% 80% 39% 41% 29% 44% 93% 44% 39% 41% 27% 57% 30% 44% 33% 41% 29% 44% 20% 33% 41% 20% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 56% 84% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20	0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.63 [0.33-1.20] 0.31 [0.17-0.57] 0.08 [0.00-1.27] 0.44 [0.12-0.83] 0.13 [0.02-0.69] 0.66 [0.33-1.17] 0.62 [0.25-1.53] 1.88 [0.91-3.47] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.95 [0.64-1.34] 0.80 [0.20-3.20] 0.65 [0.30-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.60 [0.30-1.10] 0.79 [0.52-1.20] 0.69 [0.22-2.19] 0.59 [0.18-1.90] 0.71 [0.42-1.22] 0.57 [0.30-1.08] 0.20 [0.01-4.13] 0.61 [0.27-1.42] 0.59 [0.51-0.6] verment, <i>RR [CI]</i> 0.83 [0.58-1.18] 0.54 [0.16-1.80] 0.43 [0.21-0.88] 0.56 [0.22-1.41] 0.07 [0.01-0.57] 1.04 [0.07-16.5] 0.81 [0.14-4.67] 0.73 [0.40-1.35] 0.70 [0.54-0.6]	ases cases death death cases cases death cases pASC symp. case cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death PASC cases cases death death pASC cases cases cases death death pASC cases cases cases cases death death pASC cases case	26/314 320 (n) 0/14 10/108 10/81 11/361 case control 43/230 22/76 0/70 5/36 23 (n) 7/55 n/a 322 (n) 833 (n) 24/3,248 141 (n) 0/439 55 (n) 866/36,608 7 <i>Treatment</i> 49/414 4/1,196 12/138 6/132 0/156 1/407 2/51 17/574 91/3 068	5/211 49/386 15,648 (n) 11/206 56/368 5/14 72/1,554 11/106 184/603 6/73 3/32 41 (n) 109/535 n/a 645 (n) 444 (n) 30/2,897 291 (n) 2/432 246 (n) 2,430/67,773 <b>Control</b> 58/407 8/1,301 14/70 15/185 3/48 1/422 3/62 24/594 126/3 089	COVAD

All studies 💦 🗧	37%	0.63
-----------------	-----	------

% 0.63 [0.59-0.66]

11,895/153,564 13,002/169,655

37% lower risk

1.25 1.5 1.75 2+

Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.10, I<sup>2</sup> = 78.6%, p < 0.0001

Effect extraction pre-specified (most serious outcome, see appendix) Favors HCQ Favors control

0.25

0.5 0.75

*Figure 15.* Random effects meta-analysis excluding studies with significant issues. Effect extraction is pre-specified, using the most serious outcome reported, see the appendix for details. Analysis validating pooled outcomes for COVID-19 can be found below. (ES) indicates the early treatment subset of a study.

# **Heterogeneity**

Heterogeneity in COVID-19 studies arises from many factors including:

**Treatment delay.** The time between infection or the onset of symptoms and treatment may critically affect how well a treatment works. For example an antiviral may be very effective when used early but may not be effective in late stage disease, and may even be harmful. Oseltamivir, for example, is generally only considered effective for influenza when used within 0-36 or 0-48 hours <sup>McLean, Treanor</sup>. Baloxavir studies for influenza also show that treatment delay is critical *— Ikematsu et al.* report an 86% reduction in cases for post-exposure prophylaxis, *Hayden et al.* show a 33 hour reduction in the time to alleviation of symptoms for treatment within 24 hours and a reduction of 13 hours for treatment within 24-48 hours, and *Kumar et al.* report only 2.5 hours improvement for inpatient treatment.

Treatment delay	Result
Post-exposure prophylaxis	86% fewer cases Ikematsu
<24 hours	-33 hours symptoms Hayden
24-48 hours	-13 hours symptoms Hayden
Inpatients	-2.5 hours to improvement Kumar

*Table 3.* Studies of baloxavir for influenza show that early treatment is more effective.

Figure 16 shows a mixed-effects meta-regression of efficacy as a function of treatment delay in HCQ COVID-19 studies, showing that efficacy declines rapidly with treatment delay. Early treatment is critical for COVID-19.



*Figure 16.* Early treatment is more effective. Meta-regression showing efficacy as a function of treatment delay in COVID-19 HCQ studies.

**Patient demographics.** Details of the patient population including age and comorbidities may critically affect how well a treatment works. For example, many COVID-19 studies with relatively young low-comorbidity patients show all patients recovering quickly with or without treatment. In such cases, there is little room for an effective treatment to improve results, for example as in *López-Medina et al.* 

**Variants.** Efficacy may depend critically on the distribution of SARS-CoV-2 variants encountered by patients. Risk varies significantly across variants *Korves*, for example the Gamma variant shows significantly different characteristics *Faria, Karita, Nonaka, Zavascki*. Different mechanisms of action may be more or less effective depending on variants, for example the degree to which TMPRSS2 contributes to viral entry can differ across variants *Peacock, Willett*.

Regimen. Effectiveness may depend strongly on the dosage and treatment regimen.

**Other treatments.** The use of other treatments may significantly affect outcomes, including supplements, other medications, or other interventions such as prone positioning. Treatments may be synergistic <sup>Alsaidi</sup>, <sup>Andreani</sup>, <sup>De Forni,</sup> <sup>Fiaschi</sup>, <sup>Jeffreys</sup>, <sup>Jitobaom</sup>, <sup>Jitobaom</sup>, <sup>B</sup>, <sup>Ostrov</sup>, <sup>Said</sup>, <sup>B</sup>, <sup>Thairu</sup>, <sup>Wan</sup>, therefore efficacy may depend strongly on combined treatments.

**Medication quality.** The quality of medications may vary significantly between manufacturers and production batches, which may significantly affect efficacy and safety. *Williams et al.* analyze ivermectin from 11 different sources, showing highly variable antiparasitic efficacy across different manufacturers. *Xu et al.* analyze a treatment from two different manufacturers, showing 9 different impurities, with significantly different concentrations for each manufacturer.

Effect measured. Across all studies there is a strong association between different outcomes, for example improved recovery is strongly associated with lower mortality. However, efficacy may differ depending on the effect measured, for example a treatment may be more effective against secondary complications and have minimal effect on viral clearance.

**Meta analysis.** The distribution of studies will alter the outcome of a meta analysis. Consider a simplified example where everything is equal except for the treatment delay, and effectiveness decreases to zero or below with increasing delay. If there are many studies using very late treatment, the outcome may be negative, even though early treatment is very effective. All meta analyses combine heterogeneous studies, varying in population, variants, and potentially all factors above, and therefore may obscure efficacy by including studies where treatment is less effective. Generally, we expect the estimated effect size from meta analysis to be less than that for the optimal case. Looking at all studies is

valuable for providing an overview of all research, important to avoid cherry-picking, and informative when a positive result is found despite combining less-optimal situations. However, the resulting estimate does not apply to specific cases such as early treatment in high-risk populations. While we present results for all studies, we also present treatment time and individual outcome analyses, which may be more informative for specific use cases.

HCQ studies vary widely in all the factors above. We find a significant effect based on treatment delay. Early treatment shows consistently positive results, while late treatment results are very mixed. Closer analysis may identify factors related to efficacy among this group, for example treatment may be more effective in certain populations, or more fine-grained analysis of treatment delay may identify a point after which treatment is ineffective.

# **Pooled Effects**

**Combining studies is required.** For COVID-19, delay in clinical results translates into additional death and morbidity, as well as additional economic and societal damage. Combining the results of studies reporting different outcomes is required. There may be no mortality in a trial with low-risk patients, however a reduction in severity or improved viral clearance may translate into lower mortality in a high-risk population. Different studies may report lower severity, improved recovery, and lower mortality, and the significance may be very high when combining the results. "*The studies reported different outcomes*" is not a good reason for disregarding results.

Specific outcome and pooled analyses. We present both specific outcome and pooled analyses. In order to combine the results of studies reporting different outcomes we use the most serious outcome reported in each study, based on the thesis that improvement in the most serious outcome provides comparable measures of efficacy for a treatment. A critical advantage of this approach is simplicity and transparency. There are many other ways to combine evidence for different outcomes, along with additional evidence such as dose-response relationships, however these increase complexity.

**Using more information**. Another way to view pooled analysis is that we are using more of the available information. Logically we should, and do, use additional information. For example dose-response and treatment delay-response relationships provide significant additional evidence of efficacy that is considered when reviewing the evidence for a treatment.

**Ethical and practical issues limit high-risk trials.** Trials with high-risk patients may be restricted due to ethics for treatments that are known or expected to be effective, and they increase difficulty for recruiting. Using less severe outcomes as a proxy for more serious outcomes allows faster collection of evidence.

**Improvement across outcomes.** For many COVID-19 treatments, a reduction in mortality logically follows from a reduction in hospitalization, which follows from a reduction in symptomatic cases, which follows from a reduction in PCR positivity. We can directly test this for COVID-19.

Validating pooled outcome analysis for COVID-19. Analysis of the the association between different outcomes across studies from all 69 treatments we cover confirms the validity of pooled outcome analysis for COVID-19. Figure 17 shows that lower hospitalization is very strongly associated with lower mortality (p < 0.000000000001). Similarly, Figure 18 shows that improved recovery is very strongly associated with lower mortality (p < 0.000000000001). Considering the extremes, *Singh (B) et al.* show an association between viral clearance and hospitalization or death, with p = 0.003 after excluding one large outlier from a mutagenic treatment, and based on 44 RCTs including 52,384 patients. Figure 19 shows that improved viral clearance is strongly associated with fewer serious outcomes. The association is very similar to *Singh (B) et al.*, with higher confidence due to the larger number of studies. As with *Singh (B) et al.*, the confidence increases when excluding the outlier treatment, from p = 0.0000045 to p = 0.0000000067.



*Figure 17.* Lower hospitalization is associated with lower mortality, supporting pooled outcome analysis.



*Figure 18.* Improved recovery is associated with lower mortality, supporting pooled outcome analysis.



Figure 17. Improved viral clearance is associated with fewer serious outcomes, supporting pooled outcome analysis.

Pooled outcomes identify efficacy 4 months faster (6 months for RCTs). Currently, 44 of the treatments we analyze show statistically significant efficacy or harm, defined as ≥10% decreased risk or >0% increased risk from ≥3 studies. 85% of these have been confirmed with one or more specific outcomes, with a mean delay of 3.7 months. When restricting to RCTs only, 54% of treatments showing statistically significant efficacy/harm with pooled effects have been confirmed with one or more specific outcomes, with a mean delay of 5.8 months. Figure 20 shows when treatments were found effective during the pandemic. Pooled outcomes often resulted in earlier detection of efficacy.



Figure 20. The time when studies showed that treatments were effective, defined as statistically significant improvement of ≥10% from ≥3 studies. Pooled results typically show efficacy earlier than specific outcome results. Results from all studies often shows efficacy much earlier than when restricting to RCTs. Results reflect conditions as used in trials to date, these depend on the population treated, treatment delay, and treatment regimen.

Limitations. Pooled analysis could hide efficacy, for example a treatment that is beneficial for late stage patients but has no effect on viral clearance may show no efficacy if most studies only examine viral clearance. In practice, it is rare for a non-antiviral treatment to report viral clearance and to not report clinical outcomes; and in practice other sources of heterogeneity such as difference in treatment delay is more likely to hide efficacy.

**Summary.** Analysis validates the use of pooled effects and shows significantly faster detection of efficacy on average. However, as with all meta analyses, it is important to review the different studies included. We also present individual outcome analyses, which may be more informative for specific use cases.

#### **Discussion**

**Publication bias.** Publication of clinical trials is often biased based on conflicts of interest. One way to examine potential bias is to compare prospective and retrospective studies. Prospective trials that involve significant effort are more likely to be published regardless of the result, while retrospective studies are more likely to exhibit bias. For example, researchers may perform preliminary analysis with minimal effort and the results may influence their decision to continue. Retrospective studies also provide more opportunities for the specifics of data extraction and adjustments to influence results.

For HCQ, 78.0% of prospective studies report positive effects, compared to 72.6% of retrospective studies, suggesting a bias toward publishing negative results. Prospective studies show 33% [23-41%] improvement in meta analysis, compared to 26% [22-29%] for retrospective studies. Figure 21 shows a scatter plot of results for prospective and retrospective studies.



Figure 21. Prospective vs. retrospective studies. The diamonds show the results of random effects meta-analysis.

Figure 22 shows the results by region of the world, for all regions that have > 5 studies. Studies from North America are 2.4 times more likely to report negative results than studies from the rest of the world combined, 47.8% vs. 19.9%, two-tailed *z* test -5.34, p = 0.0000000942. *Berry* performed an independent analysis which also showed bias toward negative results for US-based research.



Figure 22. Percentage of studies reporting positive effects by region.

The lack of bias towards positive results is not surprising. Both negative and positive results are very important given the use of HCQ for COVID-19 around the world, evidence of which can be found in the studies analyzed here, government protocols, and news reports, e.g., *AFP*, *AfricaFeeds*, *Africanews*, *Afrik.com*, *Al Arabia*, *Al-bab*, *Anadolu Agency*, *Anadolu Agency* (*B*), *Archyde*, *Barron's*, *Barron's* (*B*), *BBC*, *Belayneh*, *A.*, *Bianet*, *CBS News*, *Challenge*, *Dr. Goldin*, *Efecto Cocuyo*, *Expats.cz*, *Face* 2 *Face Africa*, *Filipova*, *France* 24, *France* 24 (*B*), *Franceinfo*, *Global Times*, *Government* of *China*, *Government* of *India*, *Government* of *Venezuela*, *GulfInsider*, *Le Nouvel Afrik*, *LifeSiteNews*, *Medical World Nigeria*, *Medical Xpress*, *Medical Xpress* (*B*), *Middle East Eye*, *Ministerstva Zdravotnictví*, *Ministry* of *Health* of *Ukraine*, *Ministry* of *Health* of *Ukraine* (*B*), *Morocco World News*, *Mosaique Guinee*, *Nigeria News World*, *NPR News*, *Oneindia*, *Pan African Medical Journal*, *Parola*, *Pilot News*, *PledgeTimes*, *Pleno*.*News*, *Q Costa Rica*, *Rathi*, *Russian Government*, *Russian Government* (*B*), *Teller Report*, *The Africa Report*, *The Australian*, *The BL*, *The East African*, *The Guardian*, *The Indian Express*, *The Moscow Times*, *The North Africa Post*, *The Tico Times*, *Ukrinform*, *Vanguard*, *Voice* of *America*.

HCQ treatment became highly politicized and widely restricted. In many cases, physicians recommending treatment based on clinical evidence lost employment, licenses, and careers. There is a strong bias towards publishing negative results, with negative RCTs receiving priority handling at top journals, and scientists reporting difficulty publishing positive results *Boulware, Meeus, Meneguesso*. *Meeus*, for example, report that their paper with 4,000 patients reporting favourable outcomes for HCQ+AZ was rejected without peer review from the editors of four different journals.

News organizations show a similar bias. Although 309 studies show positive results, The New York Times, for example, has only written articles for studies that claim HCQ is not effective *The New York Times*, *The New York Times* (*B*), *The New York Times* (*C*). As of September 10, 2020, The New York Times still claims that there is clear evidence that HCQ is not effective for COVID-19 *The New York Times* (*D*). As of October 9, 2020, the United States National Institutes of Health recommends against HCQ for both hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients *United States National Institutes of Health*.

**Over 50% of early treatment and prophylaxis RCTs have not reported results.** 38 HCQ RCTs have not reported their results, with results missing for 50% of early treatment RCTs and 54% of prophylaxis RCTs, compared to 18% for late treatment RCTs. This is consistent with the higher prevalence of positive studies for early treatment and prophylaxis, and bias against publishing positive results.



Figure 23. Many RCTs have not reported their results, mostly those for early treatment and prophylaxis.

The RCTs with missing results are shown in the RCT forest plots, and do not include 65 RCTs that report terminating prior to enrolling 30 patients. The missing trials report a total of 25,399 patients, with 12 trials having actual enrollment of 8,139, and the remainder only reporting estimated numbers. Most trials are known to have started enrollment, while several may have been terminated early. A few trials may have been terminated before enrollment started. This analysis is based on the US clinicaltrials.gov registry. There may be additional missing RCTs not registered in the US. *Fincham et al.* found 70% of 187 HCQ trials had not reported results as of October 2022. Their analysis includes additional trials that were not registered in clinicaltrials.gov.

Unpublished results are unethical. Future patients are deprived of the ability to make informed decisions. Moreover, RCT participants make a potentially lethal sacrifice for the good of humanity. For existing medications with known efficacy and safety data, patients forego the best treatment choice based on current data. For COVID-19, they know that they may die, depending on their random assignment.

The reasons for lack of publication differ, and may be out of control of the authors. Some RCTs were submitted for publication, but have been caught in journal politicization (authors should release preprints in this case). Others may be held due to decisions of associated organizations, or decisions of only a subset of authors. Most missing RCTs have associations with organizations and/or physicians that restricted HCQ - publication would highlight their liability. Note that in many cases, trials may have been started prior to the extreme politicization.

**Physician case series results.** Table 4 shows the reported results of physicians that use early treatments for COVID-19, compared to the results for a non-treating physician (this physician reportedly prescribed early treatment for themself, but not for patients *medicospelavidacovid19.com.br*). The treatments used vary between physicians. Almost all report using ivermectin and/or HCQ, and most use additional treatments in combination. These results are subject to selection and ascertainment bias and more accurate analysis requires details of the patient populations and followup, however results are consistently better across many teams, and consistent with the extensive controlled trial evidence that shows a significant reduction in risk with many early treatments, and improved results with the use of multiple treatments in combination.

LATE TREATMENT						
Physician / Team	Location	Patients	Hospitalization		Mortality	
Dr. David Uip <sup>(*)</sup>	Brazil	2,200	38.6% (850)	Ref.	2.5% (54)	Ref.
EA	ARLY TREATME	ENT - 39 pł	iysicians/teams			
Physician / Team	Location	Patients	Hospitalization	Improvement	Mortality	Improvement
Dr. Roberto Alfonso Accinelli 0/360 deaths for treatment within 3 days	Peru	1,265			0.6% (7)	77.5%
Dr. Mohammed Tarek Alam patients up to 84 years old	Bangladesh	100			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Oluwagbenga Alonge	Nigeria	310			0.0% (0)	100.0%
<b>Dr. Raja Bhattacharya</b> up to 88yo, 81% comorbidities	India	148			1.4% (2)	44.9%
Dr. Flavio Cadegiani	Brazil	3,450	0.1% (4)	99.7%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Alessandro Capucci	Italy	350	4.6% (16)	88.2%		
Dr. Shankara Chetty	South Africa	8,000			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Deborah Chisholm	USA	100			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Ryan Cole	USA	400	0.0% (0)	100.0%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Marco Cosentino vs. 3-3.8% mortality during period; earlier treatment better	Italy	392	<b>6.4%</b> (25)	83.5%	0.3% (1)	89.6%
Dr. Jeff Davis	USA	6,000			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Dhanajay	India	500			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Bryan Tyson & Dr. George Fareed	USA	20,000	0.0% (6)	99.9%	0.0% (4)	99.2%
Dr. Raphael Furtado	Brazil	170	0.6% (1)	98.5%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Heather Gessling	USA	1,500			0.1% (1)	97.3%
Dr. Ellen Guimarães	Brazil	500	1.6% (8)	95.9%	0.4% (2)	83.7%
Dr. Syed Haider	USA	4,000	0.1% (5)	99.7%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Mark Hancock	USA	24			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Sabine Hazan	USA	1,000			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Mollie James	USA	3,500	1.1% (40)	97.0%	0.0% (1)	98.8%
Dr. Roberta Lacerda	Brazil	550	1.5% (8)	96.2%	0.4% (2)	85.2%
Dr. Katarina Lindley	USA	100	5.0% (5)	87.1%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Ben Marble	USA	150,000			0.0% (4)	99.9%
Dr. Edimilson Migowski	Brazil	2,000	0.3% (7)	99.1%	0.1% (2)	95.9%
Dr. Abdulrahman Mohana	Saudi Arabia	2,733			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Carlos Nigro	Brazil	5,000	0.9% (45)	97.7%	0.5% (23)	81.3%
Dr. Benoit Ochs	Luxembourg	800			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Ortore	Italy	240	1.2% (3)	96.8%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Valerio Pascua one death for a patient presenting on the 5th day in need of supplemental oxygen	Honduras	415	<b>6.3%</b> (26)	83.8%	0.2% (1)	90.2%
Dr. Sebastian Pop	Romania	300			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Brian Proctor	USA	869	2.3% (20)	94.0%	0.2% (2)	90.6%

Dr. Anastacio Queiroz	Brazil	700			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Didier Raoult	France	8,315	<b>2.6%</b> (214)	93.3%	0.1% (5)	97.6%
Dr. Karin Ried up to 99yo, 73% comorbidities, av. age 63	Turkey	237			0.4% (1)	82.8%
<b>Dr. Roman Rozencwaig</b> patients up to 86 years old	Canada	80			0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Vipul Shah	India	8,000			0.1% (5)	97.5%
Dr. Silvestre Sobrinho	Brazil	116	8.6% (10)	77.7%	0.0% (0)	100.0%
Dr. Unknown	Brazil	957	1.7% (16)	95.7%	0.2% (2)	91.5%
Dr. Vladimir Zelenko	USA	2,200	0.5% (12)	98.6%	0.1% (2)	96.3%
Mean improvement with early treatment protocols		237,521	Hospitalization	94.1%	Mortality	94.7%

*Table 4.* Physician results with early treatment protocols compared to no early treatment. <sup>(\*)</sup> Dr. Uip reportedly prescribed early treatment for himself, but not for patients *medicospelavidacovid19.com.br*.

**Funnel plot analysis.** Funnel plots have traditionally been used for analyzing publication bias. This is invalid for COVID-19 acute treatment trials — the underlying assumptions are invalid, which we can demonstrate with a simple example. Consider a set of hypothetical perfect trials with no bias. Figure 24 plot A shows a funnel plot for a simulation of 80 perfect trials, with random group sizes, and each patient's outcome randomly sampled (10% control event probability, and a 30% effect size for treatment). Analysis shows no asymmetry (p > 0.05). In plot B, we add a single typical variation in COVID-19 treatment trials — treatment delay. Consider that efficacy varies from 90% for treatment within 24 hours, reducing to 10% when treatment is delayed 3 days. In plot B, each trial's treatment delay is randomly selected. Analysis now shows highly significant asymmetry, p < 0.0001, with six variants of Egger's test all showing p < 0.05 *Egger, Harbord, Macaskill, Moreno, Peters (B), Rothstein, Rücker, Stanley*. Note that these tests fail even though treatment delay is uniformly distributed. In reality treatment delay is more complex — each trial has a different distribution of delays across patients, and the distribution across trials may be biased (e.g., late treatment trials may be more common). Similarly, many other variations in trials may produce asymmetry, including dose, administration, duration of treatment, differences in SOC, comorbidities, age, variants, and bias in design, implementation, analysis, and reporting.



Figure 24. Example funnel plot analysis for simulated perfect trials.

Limitations. Summary statistics from meta analysis necessarily lose information. As with all meta analyses, studies are heterogeneous, with differences in treatment delay, treatment regimen, patient demographics, variants, conflicts of interest, standard of care, and other factors. We provide analyses for specific outcomes and by treatment delay, and we aim to identify key characteristics in the forest plots and summaries. Results should be viewed in the context of study characteristics.

Some analyses classify treatment based on early or late administration, as done here, while others distinguish between mild, moderate, and severe cases. Viral load does not indicate degree of symptoms — for example patients may have a high viral load while being asymptomatic. With regard to treatments that have antiviral properties, timing of treatment is critical — late administration may be less helpful regardless of severity.

Details of treatment delay per patient is often not available. For example, a study may treat 90% of patients relatively early, but the events driving the outcome may come from 10% of patients treated very late. Our 5 day cutoff for early treatment may be too conservative, 5 days may be too late in many cases.

Comparison across treatments is confounded by differences in the studies performed, for example dose, variants, and conflicts of interest. Trials with conflicts of interest may use designs better suited to the preferred outcome.

In some cases, the most serious outcome has very few events, resulting in lower confidence results being used in pooled analysis, however the method is simpler and more transparent. This is less critical as the number of studies increases. Restriction to outcomes with sufficient power may be beneficial in pooled analysis and improve accuracy when there are few studies, however we maintain our pre-specified method to avoid any retrospective changes.

Studies show that combinations of treatments can be highly synergistic and may result in many times greater efficacy than individual treatments alone *Alsaidi, Andreani, De Forni, Fiaschi, Jeffreys, Jitobaom, Jitobaom (B), Ostrov, Said (B), Thairu, Wan.* Therefore standard of care may be critical and benefits may diminish or disappear if standard of care does not include certain treatments.

This real-time analysis is constantly updated based on submissions. Accuracy benefits from widespread review and submission of updates and corrections from reviewers. Less popular treatments may receive fewer reviews.

No treatment or intervention is 100% available and effective for all current and future variants. Efficacy may vary significantly with different variants and within different populations. All treatments have potential side effects. Propensity to experience side effects may be predicted in advance by qualified physicians. We do not provide medical advice. Before taking any medication, consult a qualified physician who can compare all options, provide personalized advice, and provide details of risks and benefits based on individual medical history and situations.

**Reviews.** Many reviews cover hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19, presenting additional background on mechanisms, formulations, and related results, including <sup>Al-Bari, Brouqui, Colson, Derwand, Gao, Goldstein, Hecel, IHU, Kaur, Li, Loo, Matada, Roussel, Sahraei, Todaro, Vigbedor.</sup>

**Treatment details.** We focus here on the question of whether HCQ is effective or not for COVID-19. Studies vary significantly in terms of treatment delay, treatment regimen, patients characteristics, and (for the pooled effects analysis) outcomes, as reflected in the high degree of heterogeneity. However, early treatment consistently shows benefits. 92% of early treatment studies report a positive effect, with an estimated improvement of 66% (p < 0.0001).

### **Negative Analyses**

Generally, it is easy to choose inclusion criteria and assign biased risk evaluations in order to produce any desired outcome in a meta analysis.

COVID-19 treatment studies have many sources of heterogeneity which affect the results, including treatment delay (time from infection or the onset of symptoms), patient population (age, comorbidities), the effect measured and details of the measurement, distribution of SARS-CoV-2 variants, dosage/regimen, and other treatments (anything

from supplements, other medications, or other kinds of treatment like prone positioning).

If a treatment is effective early, there is no reason to expect it will also work late. Antivirals are typically only considered effective when used within a short timeframe, for example 0-36 or 0-48 hours for oseltamivir, with longer delays not being effective *McLean*, *Treanor*. For HCQ, the overwhelming majority of trials involve treatment not only after 48 hours but after 5 days - results from these trials are not relevant to earlier usage.

Authors desiring to produce a negative outcome for HCQ need only focus on late treatment studies. For example, *Axfors* assigns 89% weight to the RECOVERY and SOLIDARITY trials, producing the same negative result. These trials used excessively high non-patient-customized dosage in very sick late stage patients, dosages comparable to those known to be harmful in that context <sup>Borba</sup>. The results are not generalizable to typical dosage or treatment of earlier stage hospitalized patients, and certainly not applicable to early treatment, i.e., at first glance we can see that this meta analysis is of no relevance to early treatment.

This paper also does not appear to have been done very carefully. For example, authors include *Borba* which is assigned 97% weight for CQ. This study has no control group, comparing two different dosages of CQ, which is clear from the abstract of the study.

*Axfors* approximate early treatment with outpatient use, where they list 5 trials. This is misleading because authors ignore all outcomes other than mortality, and only one of the 5 trials has mortality events, so in reality only one trial is included. Table 1 shows the 5 trials, only one with mortality. The text says something different: "among the five studies on outpatients, there were three deaths, two occurring in the one trial of 491 relatively young patients with few comorbidities and one occurring in a small trial with 27 patients". We do not know what the missing 27 patient trial is, none of the 5 outpatient trials in Table 1 show 27 patients. There is an outpatient trial with 27 patients *Amaravadi*, however that trial reports no mortality. It does appear in the meta analysis, but is reported as being an inpatient trial with zero mortality (in reality it was a remotely conducted trial of patients quarantined at home). The supplementary appendix has another different version for outpatient trials, with only 4 trials in Table S3 and Figure S2B (only one with mortality).

Therefore, of the 39 early treatment trials, authors have included data from only one, which contains only 1 death in each of the treatment and control groups. If we read the actual study *Skipper*, we find that the death in the treatment group was a non-hospitalized patient, suggesting that the death was not caused by COVID-19, or at a minimum the patient did not receive standard care and the comparison here is therefore not valid.

### Perspective

**Results compared with other treatments.** SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication involves a complex interplay of 50+ host and viral proteins and other factors *Lui, Lv, Malone, Murigneux, Niarakis*, providing many therapeutic targets. Over 7,000 compounds have been predicted to reduce COVID-19 risk <sup>c19early.org</sup>, either by directly minimizing infection or replication, by supporting immune system function, or by minimizing secondary complications. Figure 25 shows an overview of the results for hydroxychloroquine in the context of multiple COVID-19 treatments, and Figure 26 shows a plot of efficacy vs. cost for COVID-19 treatments.



*Figure 25.* Scatter plot showing results within the context of multiple COVID-19 treatments. Diamonds shows the results of random effects meta-analysis. 0.6% of 7,000+ proposed treatments show efficacy c19early.org (B).



Figure 26. Efficacy vs. cost for COVID-19 treatments.

# Conclusion

Direct clinical measurement shows that HCQ reaches therapeutic concentrations in COVID-19 patients <sup>Ruiz</sup>, and analysis of lung cells from COVID-19 patients shows inhibition in early target cell types <sup>Chaudhary</sup>.

Analysis of 422 controlled clinical studies shows that HCQ reduces risk for COVID-19. Treatment is more effective when used early. Meta analysis using the most serious outcome reported shows 66% [54-74%] lower risk for the 39 early treatment studies. Results are similar for higher quality studies and peer-reviewed studies. Restricting to the 11 early treatment RCTs shows 34% [-1-56%] lower risk, the 17 mortality results shows 76% [61-85%] lower mortality, and the 16 hospitalization results show 41% [28-51%] lower risk. Very late stage treatment is not effective and may be harmful, especially when using excessive dosages.

Most HCQ studies are inconsistent with the logical use of antivirals, with the majority of studies using late treatment. This makes it easy to generate meta analyses showing poor efficacy by including large late treatment studies <sup>Axfors</sup>, although the results are not relevant for recommended usage.

HCQ was the first treatment confirmed effective *c19early.org* (*B*), however alternatives may offer advantages. Lung pharmacokinetics show high inter-individual variability *Ruiz*; dosage is relatively challenging, with cholesterol dependence *Yuan*, delayed attainment of therapeutic concentrations, and a relatively narrow range of regimens showing efficacy while limiting side effects; and ~2.5% *Million* of patients may have contraindications. Longer-term use of endosomal acidification modifiers for prophylaxis raises concern for potential off-target effects, including disruption of cellular processes, impaired lysosomal function, reduced immune response *Kowatsch*, and altered cellular signaling. Fake tablets are common in some locations *Tchounga*. Usage of oral tables may be less relevant for the now typical lower severity cases, when infection does not spread far. Direct nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal administration may be more appropriate, as it is whenever infection can be stopped at the source in the upper respiratory tract before further progression.

### **Revisions**

This paper is data driven, all graphs and numbers are dynamically generated. Please submit updates and corrections at https://c19hcq.org/meta.html.

- 3/27: Updated discussion of pooled outcomes.
- 3/12: We updated the discussion of pre-exposure prophylaxis studies.
- 2/23: We added Piñana.
- 2/13: We added Liu (B).
- 1/25: We added Chouhdari.
- 1/24: We added Fincham and updated the introduction.
- 1/3/2024: We added *Salesi*.
- 12/14: We added Huang (D).
- 11/27: We added Rabe.
- 10/9: We added Souza-Silva.
- 9/28: We added Meeus (B).
- 9/28: We added Burhan.
- 9/23: We updated *Sobngwi* to the journal version.
- 8/29: We added Shamsi.
- 8/22: We added details of RCTs where the results have not been reported.
- 8/16: We added Afsin.

8/10: We added Klebanov.

- 7/24: We updated the conclusions.
- 6/30: We added Finkelstein.
- 6/26: We added Krishnan (B), Rathod (B), Rubio-Sánchez.
- 6/24: We added *McCullough*.
- 6/20: We added Cárdenas-Jaén.
- 6/20: We added *de Gonzalo-Calvo*.
- 6/18: We added a forest plot for RCT case results.
- 6/9: We added *Dulcey*.
- 5/23: We added Said.
- 5/16: We added Yilgwan.
- 5/14: We added *AlQadheeb*.
- 4/27: We added Sen.
- 4/8: We added *Chevalier, Ho*.
- 4/5: We added Aweimer.
- 3/2: We added Spivak.
- 3/1: We added Llanos-Cuentas, Mathew.
- 2/21: We added Delgado.
- 2/17: We added Alshamrani.
- 2/1: We added Nasri.
- 1/25: We corrected *Polo* which had a duplicate entry.
- 1/9/2023: We added Dhibar.
- 12/31: We added Higgins, Shukla.
- 12/22: We added Alosaimi.
- 12/20: We updated the discussion of heterogeneity and RCTs.
- 12/8: We added Shahrin.
- 11/28: We added Assad.
- 11/18: We added Bubenek-Turconi.
- 11/17: We added Sukumar.
- 11/11: We added Fernández-Cruz.
- 10/26: We added Isnardi.
- 10/16: We added Gómez.
- 9/28: We added Obrișcă.
- 9/27: We added Go.
- 9/22: We added Núñez-Gil.
- 9/19: We added *Babayigit*.
- 9/15: We added Pablos.
- 9/14: We added Santos.
- 9/13: We added Sahebari.
- 9/8: We added Osawa.

9/7: We added Oku.

- 8/29: We added Lyashchenko, Yadav (B).
- 8/26: We added Bowen, Tirupakuzhi Vijayaraghavan.
- 8/20: We corrected an error where Self was listed twice.
- 8/18: We added Loucera.
- 8/14: We added Becetti.
- 8/10: We added Strangfeld.
- 8/6: We added Polo.
- 7/16: We added Malundo, Patel.
- 7/4: We added Raabe.
- 6/5: We added *Tu*.
- 6/1: We added Satti.
- 5/21: We added Shaw.
- 5/21: We added Silva.
- 5/11: We added Niwas.
- 5/9: We added Uyaroğlu.
- 5/6: We added *Hong*.
- 5/3: We updated *Kadnur* to the journal version.
- 5/2: We added MacFadden.
- 4/17: We added a section on preclinical research.
- 4/16: We added Roy-García.
- 4/13: We added Rosenthal.
- 4/9: We added Hafez.
- 3/31: We added Avezum.
- 3/26: We added Salehi.
- 3/26: We added Oztas.
- 3/26: We added Schmidt.
- 3/25: We added AlQahtani.
- 3/23: We added Opdam.
- 3/21: We added Arabi.
- 3/19: We added *Ebongue*.
- 3/10: We added Azaña Gómez.
- 3/8: We added *Cortez*.
- 3/6: We added Khoubnasabjafari.
- 3/5: We added Tsanovska.
- 3/4: We added Soto (B).
- 3/3: We added Lavilla Olleros.
- 3/3: We updated *Beltran Gonzalez* to the journal version.
- 3/1: We added Alwafi.
- 2/26: We added Rouamba.

2/22: We updated Ader with the new results released 2/21/2022.

2/23: We added Omma.

2/22: We added Tamura (B).

2/21: We added Cordtz, Ugarte-Gil.

2/20: We added *Mahale*.

2/16: We added Mahto.

2/14: We added Beaumont.

2/7: We added Karruli.

2/6: We added Belmont.

2/5: We added Erden.

2/4: We added Albanghali.

1/30: We added Haji Aghajani.

1/24: We added Corradini.

1/21: We added AbdelGhaffar.

1/14: We added Juneja.

1/13: We added *Atipornwanich*. We added identification for combined treatment, comparison with other treatments, and use of CQ in Figure 1.

1/10/2022: We updated *Syed* to the journal version.

12/23: We added McKinnon.

12/14: We noted that the majority of the PrEP studies reporting negative effects are studies where all or most patients were autoimmune disorder patients *Crawford*.

12/12: We added Rao.

12/11: We added Calderón.

12/5: We added Ferreira.

12/4: We added Ahmed.

12/4: We updated Grau-Pujol to the journal version.

11/18: We added Samajdar.

11/7: We added Chechter.

11/3: We added Guglielmetti (B), Sarhan.

10/19: We added a summary plot for all results.

10/12: We added Menardi.

10/10: We added *Luo (B)*.

10/4: We added Fung.

10/4: We added Babalola.

9/29: We corrected a display error causing some points to be missing in Figure 3.

9/27: We added Uygen, and updated Million (B) to the journal version.

9/19: We added Alotaibi, Çivriz Bozdağ.

9/17: We added *Çiyiltepe*.

9/15: We added Agarwal.

9/14: We added Sawanpanyalert.

9/14: We added Mulhem.

9/12: We added Küçükakkaş.

- 9/9: We added Alhamlan.
- 9/7: Discussion updates.
- 8/28: We added Patil.
- 8/27: We added *Rodrigues*.
- 8/25: We added Naggie.
- 8/21: We added Gadhiya.
- 8/20: We corrected the event counts in Berenguer.
- 8/17: We added De Luna.
- 8/16: We added Turrini.
- 8/12: We added Shabani.
- 8/10: We added Rogado.
- 8/8: We added Di Castelnuovo.
- 8/7: We added *Datta, Kadnur*.
- 8/6: We added Yadav (C).
- 8/5: We added Bhatt.
- 8/4: We added Alghamdi.
- 8/3: We added Barra.
- 7/30: We updated *Bosaeed* to the journal version, and added *Sobngwi*.
- 7/19: We added analysis restricted to hospitalization results.
- 7/15: We added Jacobs.
- 7/14: We added Roger.
- 7/13: We added Barrat-Due.
- 7/11: We added Krishnan.
- 7/8: We updated *Cadegiani* to the journal version.
- 7/2: We added *Taieb*.
- 6/22: We added Schwartz.
- 6/21: We added Ramírez-García.
- 6/16: We added Saib.
- 6/12: We added Sivapalan.
- 6/8: We added Burdick, Singh (C).
- 6/7: We added Badyal.
- 6/6: We added Lagier.
- 6/4: We added Byakika-Kibwika, Korkmaz.
- 6/2: We added Kamstrup, Smith.
- 5/28: We added Million (B).
- 5/17: We added Syed.

5/16: We added *Rojas-Serrano*. We corrected the group sizes for *Skipper*, and we excluded hospitalizations that were reported as not being related to COVID-19.

5/15: We added Sammartino.

5/14: We added more discussion of heterogeneity.

5/12: We added De Rosa.

5/10: We added additional information in the abstract.

5/8: We added Réa-Neto.

5/7: We added Kokturk.

5/3: We added an explanation of how some meta analyses produce negative results.

5/4: We added Aghajani.

5/1: We added Bosaeed.

4/29: We added Mohandas.

4/23: We added Reis.

4/20: We added Alegiani, Alzahrani.

4/14: We added Seet.

4/9: We updated *Dubee* to the journal version.

4/6: We added Mokhtari.

4/4: We updated *Mitjà* for 11 control hospitalizations. There is conflicting data, table S2 lists 12 control hospitalizations, while table 2 shows 11. A previous version of this paper also showed some values corresponding to 12 control hospitalizations in the abstract and table 2.

4/2: We added Salvarani.

4/1: We added Alghamdi (B).

3/29: We added Barry.

3/28: We added Stewart.

3/27: We added Hraiech, and we corrected an error in effect extraction for Self.

3/24: We added *Dev*.

3/13: We added Roy.

3/9: We added Vivanco-Hidalgo.

3/8: We added *Martin-Vicente*.

3/7: We added Salvador.

3/5: We added *Lotfy*.

3/3: We added Pasquini.

3/2: We added Pham.

2/28: We added *Rodriguez*.

2/26: We added Amaravadi.

2/23: We added Beltran Gonzalez.

2/25: We added Bae.

2/20: We added *Lamback*.

2/18: We added Awad.

2/17: We added Purwati (B).

2/16: We added Albani.

2/15: We added Lora-Tamayo.

2/10: We added Roig, Ubaldo.

2/9: We added Ouedraogo.

2/7: We added Johnston.

- 2/6: We added Fitzgerald.
- 2/5: We added Hernandez-Cardenas.
- 2/2: We added Bernabeu-Wittel.
- 2/1: We added *Trefond*.
- 1/24: We added Desbois, Psevdos. We moved the analysis with exclusions and mortality analysis to the main text.
- 1/21: We added *Li* (*B*).
- 1/16: We added the effect measured for each study in the forest plots.
- 1/15: We updated *Ip* to the published version.
- 1/12: We added *Li* (*C*).
- 1/11: We added Rangel.
- 1/9: We added Texeira, Yegerov.
- 1/7: We added direct links to the study details in the chronological plots.
- 1/6: We added direct links to the study details in the forest plots.
- 1/5: We added Sarfaraz.
- 1/4: We added Vernaz.
- 1/3: We added dosage information for early treatment studies.
- 1/2: We added the number of patients to the forest plots.
- 1/1/2021: We added Sands.
- 12/31: We added additional details about the studies in the appendix.
- 12/29: We added Güner, Salazar.
- 12/28: We added Auld, Cordtz (B).
- 12/27: We added the total number of authors and patients.
- 12/25: We added Chari.
- 12/24: We added Su.
- 12/23: We added Cangiano.
- 12/22: We added Taccone.
- 12/21: We added Matangila.
- 12/20: We added Gönenli, Huh.
- 12/17: We added Signes-Costa.
- 12/16: We added Algassieh, Naseem, Orioli, Sosa-García, Tan.
- 12/15: We added Kalligeros, López.
- 12/14: We added Rivera-Izquierdo, Rodriguez-Nava.
- 12/13: We added Bielza.
- 12/11: We added Jung.
- 12/9: We added Agusti, Guglielmetti (B).
- 12/8: We added Barnabas.
- 12/7: We added Maldonado.
- 12/4: We added Modrák, Ozturk, Peng.
- 12/2: We added Rodriguez-Gonzalez.

12/1: We added Capsoni.

- 11/30: We added Abdulrahman.
- 11/28: We added Lambermont.
- 11/27: We added van Halem.
- 11/25: We added Qin, and we added analysis restricted to mortality results.
- 11/24: We added Boari.
- 11/23: We added Revollo.
- 11/20: We added Omrani.
- 11/19: We added Falcone.
- 11/18: We added *Budhiraja*.
- 11/14: We added Sheshah.
- 11/13: We added Núñez-Gil (B), Águila-Gordo.
- 11/12: We added Simova, Simova (B).
- 11/10: We added Mathai.
- 11/9: We added Self.
- 11/8: We added Dhibar (B).
- 11/4: We added Behera, Cadegiani.
- 11/1: We added Trullàs.
- 10/31: We added Frontera, Szente Fonseca, Tehrani.
- 10/30: We added Berenguer, Faíco-Filho.
- 10/28: We added Arleo, Choi.
- 10/26: We added Coll, Goenka, Synolaki.

10/23: We added *Komissarov, Lano*. The second version of the preprint for *Komissarov* includes a comparison with the control group (not reported in the first version). We updated *Lyngbakken* to use the mortality result in the recent journal version of the paper (not reported in the preprint).

10/22: We added *Anglemyer, Namendys-Silva*. We updated the discussion of *Axfors* for the second version of this study. We added a table summarizing RCT results.

10/21: We added studies *Dubee, Martinez-Lopez, Solh*. We received a report that the United States National Institutes of Health is recommending against HCQ for hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients as of October 9, and we added a reference.

10/20/2020: Initial revision.

### **TLDR**

The extreme politicization of HCQ means we must evaluate the data directly. With 422 controlled studies, 61 RCTs, and extensive supporting evidence, few people have the time and experience to analyze all or most of the evidence. Even disregarding late treatment there are still 147 studies.

One quick way to confirm efficacy is via prophylaxis RCTs. In the US HERO-HCQ RCT, authors note that combining their trial and the US COVID PREP RCT shows statistically significant efficacy: "The HERO-HCQ and COVID PREP studies are compared in Supplemental Table 3. Pooling the main results using the Mantel–Haenszel method resulted in an estimate of the common odds ratio of 0.74 (95% CI 0.55 to 1.00) with a p-value of 0.046" Naggie.

There are now 13 PrEP RCTs, showing 29% [15-41%] lower COVID-19 cases with p = 0.00023. Non-RCT studies show a similar result, with 60 studies showing 29% [20-36%] lower COVID-19 cases with p = 0.000000013. Forest plots are shown in Figure 27 and Figure 28.

A 2022 meta analysis of 7 RCTs by Harvard researchers confirms efficacy for prophylaxis <sup>García-Albéniz</sup>, as does a meta analysis of 20 studies on HCQ use with rheumatic disease patients <sup>Landsteiner</sup> de <sup>Sampaio</sup> <sup>Amêndola</sup>, along with our analysis of RCTs, and of all PrEP studies. All produce similar results.

Some researchers claim that reaching *in vitro* effective concentrations is not feasible, however direct measurement in treated patients shows that this is incorrect <sup>Chaudhary, Ruiz</sup>.

SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication involves the complex interplay of 50+ host and viral proteins and other factors *Note A, Malone, Murigneux, Lv, Lui, Niarakis*, providing many therapeutic targets for which many existing compounds have known activity. Scientists have predicted that over 7,000 compounds may reduce COVID-19 risk <sup>c19early.org</sup>, either by directly minimizing infection or replication, by supporting immune system function, or by minimizing secondary complications. 30 preclinical studies support the efficacy of HCQ for COVID-19 <sup>c19hcq.org</sup>, along with many additional studies because HCQ is often used as an active comparator in studies of other compounds.

HCQ was the first treatment confirmed effective *c19early.org* (*B*), however alternatives may offer advantages. Lung pharmacokinetics show high inter-individual variability *Ruiz*, and dosage is relatively challenging, with cholesterol dependence *Yuan*, delayed attainment of therapeutic concentrations, and a relatively narrow range of regimens showing efficacy while limiting side effects. Longer-term use of endosomal acidification modifiers for prophylaxis raises concern for potential off-target effects. Fake tablets are common in some locations *Tchounga*.



Figure 27. Random effects meta-analysis for RCT pre-exposure prophylaxis case results.

### 60 HCQ pre-exposure prophylaxis non-RCT COVID-19 case results c19hcq.org

April 2024

	Impro	vement, RR [Cl]		Treatment	Control				Apr	112024
Gendelman	8%	0.92 [0.31-2.72]	cases	3/36	1,314/14,484			-		
Cassione	-50%	1.50 [0.34-6.53]	cases	10/127	2/38	_			-	
Macias	-49%	1.49 [0.44-5.10]	cases	5/290	5/432				-	
Chatterjee	67%	0.33 [0.20-0.56]	cases	12/68	206/387					
Bhattacharya	81%	0.19 [0.07-0.53]	cases	4/54	20/52					
Gendebien	4%	0.96 [0.38-2.46]	cases	12/152	6/73	-				
Ferreira	47%	0.53 [0.39-0.72]	cases	population-bas	ed cohort	-	_			
Zhong	91%	0.09 [0.01-0.94]	cases	7/16	20/27	-		-		
Desbois	17%	0.83 [0.27-2.58]	cases	3/27	23/172					
Kadnur	62%	0.38 [0.15-0.85]	cases	10/258	15/100					
Khurana	51%	0.49 [0.24-0.98]	cases	6/22	88/159		-			
Singer	-9%	1.09 [0.79-1.51]	cases	55/10,700	104/22,058			_		
Salvarani	6%	0.94 [0.66-1.34]	cases	population-bas	ed cohort					
Ferri	63%	0.37 [0.16-0.83]	cases	9/994	16/647				_	
de la Iglesia	-43%	1.43 [0.90-2.25]	cases	42/648	30/660					
Lapiana	-50%	1.50 [0.74-3.28]	cases	1//319	70/01 406		-		-	
Vedev	Z 1 70 4 204	0.79[0.31-1.42]	cases	31/10,703	70/21,400 07/001					
Bohora	4270 2006	0.38 [0.34-1.00]	cases	7/10	170/252					
Denera Datta	2070	0.72 [0.32-1.24]	Cases	16/176	10/135					
Mathai	90%	0.70 [0.42 1.43]	Cases	10/140	22/113					
Revollo (PSM)	23%	0.77 [0.35-1.68]	Cases	16/69	65/418					
Juna	-13%	1.13 [0.57-2.24]	cases	15/649	31/1.417					
Gönenli	-19%	1.19 [0.55-2.76]	cases	8/148	20/416					
Huh	6%	0.94 [0.53-1.66]	cases	population-bas	ed cohort			-		
Khoubnasabiafari	17%	0.83 [0.44-1.59]	cases	34/1.436	12/422					
Fitzgerald	9%	0.91 [0.69-1.21]	cases	65/1,072	200/3,594					
Bae (PSM)	30%	0.70 [0.41-1.18]	cases	16/743	91/2,698					
Vivanco-Hidalgo	-8%	1.08 [0.83-1.44]	cases	97/6,746	183/13,492					
Dev	26%	0.74 [0.61-0.90]	cases	260 (n)	499 (n)		_			
Kamstrup	10%	0.90 [0.76-1.07]	cases	population-bas	ed cohort					
Korkmaz	94%	0.06 [0.02-0.26]	cases	2/395	24/299					
Badyal	60%	0.40 [0.31-0.50]	cases	247/617	611/1,473	-				
Shaw (PSM)	13%	0.87 [0.80-0.96]	cases	45 (n)	99 (n)		-	F		
Bhatt	-49%	1.49 [1.05-2.13]	cases	167/731	30/196					
McCullough	52%	0.48 [0.27-0.87]	cases	13/101	32/120		-			
Patil	9%	0.91 [0.71-1.15]	cases	167/5,266	147/3,946					
Agarwal	-5%	1.05 [0.50-2.18]	cases	6/29	90/455			-		
Guillaume -	-3%	1.03 [0.34-2.92]	cases	6/181	12/278	-		_		
Fung	9%	0.91 [0.84-0.98]	cases	population-bas	ed cohort		-	-		
Beimont	79%	0.21 [0.02-2.25]	symp. case	1/56	2/24					
Samajdar Alsus al	/5%	0.25 [0.14-0.47]	cases	12/129	29/81					
Anmea	99%	0.01[0.00-1.77]	cases	case control	67/1 001					
RdU Jupojo	-604	1.06 [0.03-1.02]	cases	10/2/3	117/1 204					
Oztas	-070	1.00 [0.03-1.37]	symp case	16/317	10/333					
MacFadden	12%	0.88 [0.79-0.97]	cases	n/a	n/a		-	-	-	
Satti	61%	0.39 [0.17-0.86]	cases	10/63	7/17			•		
Raabe	82%	0.18 [0.02-1.86]	symp. case	1/59	2/21					
Patel	46%	0.54 [0.36-0.80]	cases	.,		_	_			
Becetti	37%	0.63 [0.33-1.20]	cases	26/314	49/386	_				
Sahebari	56%	0.44 [0.12-0.83]	cases	10/108	56/368					
Obrișcă	87%	0.13 [0.02-0.69]	cases	10/81	5/14	-				
Sukumar	38%	0.62 [0.25-1.53]	cases	case control						
Shahrin	-88%	1.88 [0.91-3.47]	cases	43/230	11/106			_		
Dulcey	21%	0.79 [0.52-1.20]	cases	322 (n)	645 (n)			_		
Finkelstein (PSM)	21%	0.79 [0.69-0.91]	cases					-		
Klebanov	-6%	1.06 [0.80-1.39]	cases							
Rabe	29%	0.71 [0.42-1.22]	cases	24/3,248	30/2,897					
Huang	-6%	1.06 [0.97-1.17]	cases	118/141	229/291			-		
All studies	29%	0.71 [0.64-0.8	30]	1,525/50,073	4,349/99,066		$\diamond$		29% low	er risk
						0 0.25	0.5 0.75	1 1.2	25 1.5	1.75 2+

Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.12, I<sup>2</sup> = 86.6%, p = 0.000000013

### Figure 28. Random effects meta-analysis for non-RCT pre-exposure prophylaxis case results.

Favors HCQ Favors control

## **Appendix 1. Methods and Data**

We perform ongoing searches of PubMed, medRxiv, Europe PMC, ClinicalTrials.gov, The Cochrane Library, Google Scholar, Research Square, ScienceDirect, Oxford University Press, the reference lists of other studies and metaanalyses, and submissions to the site c19hcq.org, which regularly receives submissions of studies upon publication. Search terms are hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine and COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2. Automated searches are performed twice daily, with all matches reviewed for inclusion. All studies regarding the use of hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 that report a comparison with a control group are included in the main analysis. Sensitivity analysis is performed, excluding studies with major issues, epidemiological studies, and studies with minimal available information. This is a living analysis and is updated regularly.

We extracted effect sizes and associated data from all studies. If studies report multiple kinds of effects then the most serious outcome is used in pooled analysis, while other outcomes are included in the outcome specific analyses. For example, if effects for mortality and cases are both reported, the effect for mortality is used, this may be different to the effect that a study focused on. If symptomatic results are reported at multiple times, we used the latest time, for example if mortality results are provided at 14 days and 28 days, the results at 28 days have preference. Mortality alone is preferred over combined outcomes. Outcomes with zero events in both arms are not used, the next most serious outcome with one or more events is used. For example, in low-risk populations with no mortality, a reduction in mortality with treatment is not possible, however a reduction in hospitalization, for example, is still valuable. Clinical outcomes are considered more important than viral test status. When basically all patients recover in both treatment and control groups, preference for viral clearance and recovery is given to results mid-recovery where available. After most or all patients have recovered there is little or no room for an effective treatment to do better, however faster recovery is valuable. If only individual symptom data is available, the most serious symptom has priority, for example difficulty breathing or low SpO<sub>2</sub> is more important than cough. When results provide an odds ratio, we compute the relative risk when possible, or convert to a relative risk according to Zhang. Reported confidence intervals and p-values were used when available, using adjusted values when provided. If multiple types of adjustments are reported propensity score matching and multivariable regression has preference over propensity score matching or weighting, which has preference over multivariable regression. Adjusted results have preference over unadjusted results for a more serious outcome when the adjustments significantly alter results. When needed, conversion between reported pvalues and confidence intervals followed Altman, Altman (B), and Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-values for event data. If continuity correction for zero values is required, we use the reciprocal of the opposite arm with the sum of the correction factors equal to 1 Sweeting. Results are expressed with RR < 1.0 favoring treatment, and using the risk of a negative outcome when applicable (for example, the risk of death rather than the risk of survival). If studies only report relative continuous values such as relative times, the ratio of the time for the treatment group versus the time for the control group is used. Calculations are done in Python (3.12.2) with scipy (1.12.0), pythonmeta (1.26), numpy (1.26.4), statsmodels (0.14.1), and plotly (5.20.0).

Forest plots are computed using PythonMeta <sup>Deng</sup> with the DerSimonian and Laird random effects model (the fixed effect assumption is not plausible in this case) and inverse variance weighting. Results are presented with 95% confidence intervals. Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. Mixed-effects meta-regression results are computed with R (4.1.2) using the metafor (3.0-2) and rms (6.2-0) packages, and using the most serious sufficiently powered outcome. For all statistical tests, a *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Grobid 0.8.0 is used to parse PDF documents.

We have classified studies as early treatment if most patients are not already at a severe stage at the time of treatment (for example based on oxygen status or lung involvement), and treatment started within 5 days of the onset of symptoms. If studies contain a mix of early treatment and late treatment patients, we consider the treatment time of patients contributing most to the events (for example, consider a study where most patients are treated early but late treatment patients are included, and all mortality events were observed with late treatment patients). We note that a shorter time may be preferable. Antivirals are typically only considered effective when used within a shorter timeframe, for example 0-36 or 0-48 hours for oseltamivir, with longer delays not being effective <sup>McLean, Treanor</sup>.

We received no funding, this research is done in our spare time. We have no affiliations with any pharmaceutical companies or political parties.

A summary of study results is below. Please submit updates and corrections at https://c19hcq.org/meta.html.

#### **Early treatment**

Effect extraction follows pre-specified rules as detailed above and gives priority to more serious outcomes. Only the first (most serious) outcome is used in pooled analysis, which may differ from the effect a paper focuses on. Other outcomes are used in outcome specific analyses.

<i>Abayomi</i> , 12/4/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, Nigeria, peer- reviewed, trial PACTR202004801273802 (LACCTT).	Estimated 800 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
<i>Agusti</i> , 12/9/2020, prospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 37.0, 13 authors, average treatment delay 5.0 days, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 200mg bid days 2-5.	risk of progression, 68.4% lower, RR 0.32, $p = 0.21$ , treatment 2 of 87 (2.3%), control 4 of 55 (7.3%), NNT 20, pneumonia.
<i>Amaravadi</i> , 2/26/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, preprint, 20 authors, study period 15 April, 2020 - 14 July, 2020, dosage 400mg bid days 1-14.	risk of not reaching lowest symptom score at day 7 mid- recovery, 60.0% lower, RR 0.40, $p = 0.13$ , treatment 3 of 15 (20.0%), control 6 of 12 (50.0%), NNT 3.3.
	risk of not reaching lowest symptom score at day 5 mid- recovery, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, $p = 0.13$ , treatment 5 of 15 (33.3%), control 8 of 12 (66.7%), NNT 3.0.
	relative time to first occurrence of lowest symptom score, 42.9% lower, relative time 0.57, $p = 0.38$ , treatment median 4.0 IQR 13.0 n=15, control median 7.0 IQR 10.0 n=12.
	relative time to release from quarantine, 27.3% lower, relative time 0.73, $p = 0.46$ , treatment median 8.0 IQR 15.0 n=16, control median 11.0 IQR 14.0 n=13, primary outcome.
<i>Ashraf</i> , 4/24/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Iran, preprint, median age 58.0, 16 authors, dosage 200mg bid daily, 400mg qd was used when combined with Lopinavir-Ritonavir.	risk of death, 67.5% lower, RR 0.32, <i>p</i> = 0.15, treatment 10 of 77 (13.0%), control 2 of 5 (40.0%), NNT 3.7.
<i>Aston</i> , 12/31/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04334382 (history) (HyAzOUT).	Estimated 1,550 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
Atipornwanich, 10/5/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Thailand, peer-reviewed, 16 authors, early treatment subset, study period 19 October, 2020 - 20 July, 2021, dosage 400mg days 1-14, 800mg/day or 400mg/day, this trial compares with	risk of progression, 150.0% higher, RR 2.50, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 60 (1.7%), control 0 of 30 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), mild, early treatment result.
another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with oseltamivir/favipiravir and duranivir/ritonavir for	time to viral-, 43.3% lower, relative time 0.57, $p = 0.04$ , treatment mean 8.9 (±6.0) n=30, control mean 15.7 (±16.7) n=30, mild, HCQ 800, primary outcome, early treatment result.
moderate/severe, oseltamivir and duranivir/ritonavir for mild) - results of individual treatments may vary, trial NCT04303299 (history).	time to viral-, 36.3% lower, relative time 0.64, $p = 0.09$ , treatment mean 10.0 (±6.9) n=30, control mean 15.7 (±16.7) n=30, mild, HCQ 400, primary outcome, early treatment result.
Avezum, 3/31/2022, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Brazil, peer-reviewed, 40 authors, study period 12 May, 2020 - 7 July, 2021, average	risk of death, 0.7% lower, RR 0.99, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 5 of 687 (0.7%), control 5 of 682 (0.7%), NNT 18741, all-cause death.

treatment delay 4.0 days, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 200mg bid days 2-7, trial NCT04466540 (history).	risk of death, 56.0% higher, HR 1.56, $p = 0.54$ , treatment 5 of 687 (0.7%), control 5 of 682 (0.7%), adjusted per study, univariate Firth's penalized likelihood.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 32.4% higher, RR 1.32, $p = 0.79$ , treatment 8 of 687 (1.2%), control 6 of 682 (0.9%).
	risk of ICU admission, 16.4% lower, RR 0.84, <i>p</i> = 0.61, treatment 16 of 687 (2.3%), control 19 of 682 (2.8%), NNT 219.
	risk of hospitalization, 23.5% lower, RR 0.77, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 44 of 689 (6.4%), control 57 of 683 (8.3%), NNT 51.
	risk of hospitalization, 40.0% lower, RR 0.60, $p = 0.15$ , treatment 267, control 265, <4 days.
Azhar, 3/18/2024, Randomized Controlled Trial, Pakistan, peer-reviewed, 22 authors, dosage 200mg tid days 1-5, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when	risk of death, 71.3% lower, RR 0.29, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 4 of 248 (1.6%), control 10 of 178 (5.6%), NNT 25, HCQ arms vs. non-HCQ arms.
compared to placebo, trial NCT04338698 (history) (PROTECT).	risk of death, 70.8% lower, RR 0.29, <i>p</i> = 0.05, treatment 3 of 183 (1.6%), control 10 of 178 (5.6%), NNT 25, HCQ + OS/AZ/OS+AZ vs. OS/AZ/OS+AZ.
	risk of no improvement by 2 points, 4.3% lower, RR 0.96, $p = 0.64$ , treatment 157 of 274 (57.3%), control 118 of 197 (59.9%), NNT 38, HCQ arms vs. non-HCQ arms.
	risk of no viral clearance, 10.5% lower, RR 0.90, $p$ = 0.52, treatment 66 of 274 (24.1%), control 53 of 197 (26.9%), NNT 36, HCQ arms vs. non-HCQ arms.
<i>Bernabeu-Wittel</i> , 8/1/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 13 authors, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 200mg bid days 2-7, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with lopinavir/ritonavir, AZ, and/or antimicrobial treatments for some patients) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 93.7% lower, RR 0.06, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 24 of 139 (17.3%), control 37 of 83 (44.6%), NNT 3.7, adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, odds ratio converted to relative risk, active standard care.
Butler, 6/22/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial ISRCTN86534580 (PRINCIPLE).	Estimated 400 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Cadegiani</i> , 11/4/2020, prospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, 4 authors, average treatment delay 2.9 days, dosage 400mg days 1-5.	risk of death, 81.2% lower, RR 0.19, $p = 0.21$ , treatment 0 of 159 (0.0%), control 2 of 137 (1.5%), NNT 68, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), control group 1.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 95.1% lower, RR 0.05, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 0 of 159 (0.0%), control 9 of 137 (6.6%), NNT 15, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), control group 1.
	risk of hospitalization, 98.3% lower, RR 0.02, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 0 of 159 (0.0%), control 27 of 137 (19.7%), NNT 5.1, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero

	events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), control group 1.
<i>Chechter</i> , 11/5/2021, prospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, mean age 37.6, 14 authors, dosage 800mg day 1, 400mg days 2-5, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of hospitalization, 94.7% lower, RR 0.05, $p = 0.004$ , treatment 0 of 60 (0.0%), control 3 of 12 (25.0%), NNT 4.0, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
<i>Corradini</i> , 4/24/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 60 authors, early treatment subset, dosage not specified.	risk of death, 67.4% lower, OR 0.33, $p = 0.01$ , treatment 641, control 102, adjusted per study, Table S6, light condition patients, multivariable, RR approximated with OR, early treatment result.
<i>Derwand (B)</i> , 7/3/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 3 authors, average treatment delay 4.0 days, dosage 200mg bid days 1-5, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined	risk of death, 79.4% lower, RR 0.21, $p = 0.12$ , treatment 1 of 14 (0.7%), control 13 of 377 (3.4%), NNT 37, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
with AZ and zinc) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of hospitalization, 81.6% lower, RR 0.18, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 4 of 141 (2.8%), control 58 of 377 (15.4%), NNT 8.0, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Esper</i> , 4/15/2020, prospective, Brazil, preprint, 15 authors, average treatment delay 5.2 days, dosage 800mg day 1, 400mg days 2-7, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of hospitalization, 64.0% lower, RR 0.36, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 8 of 412 (1.9%), control 12 of 224 (5.4%), NNT 29.
<i>Gautret</i> , 3/17/2020, prospective, France, peer- reviewed, 18 authors, average treatment delay 4.1 days, dosage 200mg tid days 1-10, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups; results only for PCR status which may be significantly different to symptoms.	risk of no virological cure at day 6, 66.0% lower, RR 0.34, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 6 of 20 (30.0%), control 14 of 16 (87.5%), NN 1.7.
<i>Genton</i> , 12/31/2022, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04385264 (history) (PROLIFIC).	Estimated 800 patient RCT with results unknown and over 1 yea late.
<i>Guisado-Vasco</i> , 10/15/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, median age 69.0, 25 authors, early treatment subset, dosage not specified.	risk of death, 66.9% lower, RR 0.33, $p = 0.19$ , treatment 2 of 65 (3.1%), control 139 of 542 (25.6%), NNT 4.4, adjusted per study odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate.
<i>Guérin</i> , 5/31/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, dosage 600mg days 1-10, 7- 10 days, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary	risk of death, 61.4% lower, RR 0.39, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 20 (0.0%), control 1 of 34 (2.9%), NNT 34, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
inamadai a courrento may vary.	recovery time, 65.0% lower, relative time 0.35, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 20, control 34.
<i>Gül</i> , 2/16/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04981379 (history).	1,120 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.

<i>Heras</i> , 9/2/2020, retrospective, Andorra, peer- reviewed, median age 85.0, 13 authors, dosage not specified, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 95.6% lower, RR 0.04, <i>p</i> = 0.004, treatment 8 of 70 (11.4%), control 16 of 30 (53.3%), NNT 2.4, adjusted per study.
<i>Hong</i> , 7/16/2020, retrospective, South Korea, peer- reviewed, 7 authors, dosage not specified.	risk of prolonged viral shedding, early vs. late HCQ, 64.9% lower, RR 0.35, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 42, control 48, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Huang (B)</i> , 5/28/2020, prospective, China, peer- reviewed, 36 authors, early treatment subset, dosage chloroquine 500mg days 1-10, two groups, 500mg qd and 500mg bid.	time to viral-, 59.1% lower, relative time 0.41, $p$ < 0.001, treatment 32, control 37.
<i>Ip</i> , 8/25/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 25 authors, dosage not	risk of death, 54.5% lower, RR 0.45, <i>p</i> = 0.43, treatment 2 of 97 (2.1%), control 44 of 970 (4.5%), NNT 40.
apconca.	risk of ICU admission, 28.6% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.79, treatment 3 of 97 (3.1%), control 42 of 970 (4.3%), NNT 81.
	risk of hospitalization, 37.3% lower, RR 0.63, $p = 0.04$ , treatment 21 of 97 (21.6%), control 305 of 970 (31.4%), NNT 10, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Kara, 6/1/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Turkey, peer-reviewed, trial NCT04411433 (history).	1,008 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
Kim, 4/30/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04307693 (history).	65 patient RCT with results unknown and over 4 years late.
<i>Kirenga</i> , 9/9/2020, prospective, Uganda, peer- reviewed, 29 authors, dosage not specified.	median time to recovery, 25.6% lower, relative time 0.74, $p = 0.20$ , treatment 29, control 27.
<i>Ly</i> , 8/21/2020, retrospective, France, peer-reviewed, mean age 83.0, 21 authors, dosage 200mg tid days 1-10, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 55.6% lower, RR 0.44, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 18 of 116 (15.5%), control 29 of 110 (26.4%), NNT 9.2, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Million (B)</i> , 5/27/2021, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 39 authors, average treatment delay 4.0 days, dosage 200mg tid days 1-10, this trial uses	risk of death, 83.0% lower, HR 0.17, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 5 of 8,315 (0.1%), control 11 of 2,114 (0.5%), NNT 217, adjusted per study.
with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of ICU admission, 44.0% lower, HR 0.56, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 17 of 8,315 (0.2%), control 7 of 2,114 (0.3%), NNT 789, adjusted per study.
	risk of hospitalization, 4.0% lower, HR 0.96, $p = 0.77$ , treatment 214 of 8,315 (2.6%), control 64 of 2,114 (3.0%), adjusted per study.
<i>Mitjà</i> , 7/16/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, Spain, peer-reviewed, 46 authors, study period 17	risk of hospitalization, 16.0% lower, RR 0.84, <i>p</i> = 0.64, treatment 8 of 136 (5.9%), control 11 of 157 (7.0%), NNT 89.
March, 2020 - 26 May, 2020, dosage 800mg day 1, 400mg days 2-7.	risk of no recovery, 34.0% lower, RR 0.66, p = 0.38, treatment 8

risk of death, 69.7% lower, RR 0.30, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 27 of 7,295 (0.4%), control 287 of 21,464 (1.3%), NNT 103, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
risk of hospitalization, 35.3% lower, RR 0.65, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 523 of 7,295 (7.2%), control 2,382 of 21,464 (11.1%), NNT 25, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Estimated 100 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
risk of hospitalization, 12.5% lower, RR 0.88, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 7 of 304 (2.3%), control 4 of 152 (2.6%), NNT 304, HCQ+AZ or HCQ vs. control.
risk of symptomatic at day 21, 25.8% lower, RR 0.74, $p$ = 0.58, treatment 9 of 293 (3.1%), control 6 of 145 (4.1%), NNT 94, HCQ+AZ or HCQ vs. control.
risk of Ct<=40 at day 14, 10.3% higher, RR 1.10, <i>p</i> = 0.13, treatment 223 of 295 (75.6%), control 98 of 143 (68.5%), HCQ+AZ or HCQ vs. control.
Estimated 132 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
risk of death, 73.0% lower, HR 0.27, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 513, control 52, Cox proportional hazards.
risk of hospitalization, 200.0% higher, RR 3.00, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 42 (2.4%), control 0 of 42 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
risk of no viral clearance, 14.4% lower, RR 0.86, <i>p</i> = 0.15, treatment 29 of 36 (80.6%), control 32 of 34 (94.1%), NNT 7.4, PP, day 3.
risk of no viral clearance, 13.1% lower, RR 0.87, <i>p</i> = 0.45, treatment 23 of 36 (63.9%), control 25 of 34 (73.5%), NNT 10, PP, day 6.
risk of no viral clearance, 23.3% lower, RR 0.77, <i>p</i> = 0.47, treatment 13 of 36 (36.1%), control 16 of 34 (47.1%), NNT 9.1, PP, day 9.
risk of no viral clearance, 3.1% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 31 of 42 (73.8%), control 32 of 42 (76.2%), NNT 42, ITT, day 3.

	risk of no viral clearance, no change, RR 1.00, $p$ = 1.00, treatment 25 of 42 (59.5%), control 25 of 42 (59.5%), ITT, day 6.
	risk of no viral clearance, 6.2% lower, RR 0.94, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 15 of 42 (35.7%), control 16 of 42 (38.1%), NNT 42, ITT, day 9.
	time to viral-, 8.8% lower, relative time 0.91, $p = 0.26$ , treatment 36, control 34, PP.
	time to viral-, 1.4% lower, relative time 0.99, $p = 0.85$ , treatment 42, control 42, ITT.
<i>Rouamba</i> , 2/26/2022, retrospective, Burkina Faso, peer-reviewed, mean age 42.2, 17 authors, early treatment subset, study period 9 March, 2020 - 31 October, 2020, dosage 200mg tid days 1-10, HCQ 200mg tid daily or CQ 250mg bid daily, trial NCT04445441 (history).	risk of progression, 73.0% lower, HR 0.27, $p = 0.05$ , treatment 23 of 399 (5.8%), control 4 of 33 (12.1%), adjusted per study, outpatients, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards, early treatment result.
	time to viral clearance, 21.3% lower, HR 0.79, $p = 0.37$ , treatment 399, control 33, adjusted per study, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, outpatients, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards, primary outcome, early treatment result.
<i>Roy</i> , 3/12/2021, retrospective, database analysis, India, preprint, 5 authors, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: no serious outcomes reported and fast recovery in treatment and control groups, there is little room for a treatment to improve results.	relative time to clinical response of wellbeing, 2.4% lower, relative time 0.98, $p$ = 0.96, treatment 14, control 15, primary outcome.
<i>Roy-García</i> , 4/16/2022, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Mexico, preprint, 11 authors, study period January 2021 - June 2021, average treatment delay 5.0 days, dosage 200mg bid days 1-10, trial NCT04964583 (history).	risk of progression, 100% higher, RR 2.00, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 2 of 31 (6.5%), control 1 of 31 (3.2%), supplemental oxygen.
	risk of progression, 233.3% higher, RR 3.33, <i>p</i> = 0.06, treatment 10 of 31 (32.3%), control 3 of 31 (9.7%), pneumonia.
	risk of progression, 225.0% higher, RR 3.25, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 13 of 31 (41.9%), control 4 of 31 (12.9%), oxygen saturation less than 90%, dyspnea, or pneumonia.
<i>Sarwar</i> , 8/30/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04351191 (history) (PRECISE).	137 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
Sawanpanyalert, 9/9/2021, retrospective, Thailand, peer-reviewed, 11 authors, dosage varies, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with lopinavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, ICU, intubation, or high-flow oxygen, 42.0% lower, OR 0.58, $p$ = 0.37, within 4 days of symptom onset, RR approximated with OR.
Simova, 11/12/2020, retrospective, Bulgaria, peer- reviewed, 5 authors, dosage 200mg tid days 1-14, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ and zinc) - results of	risk of hospitalization, 93.8% lower, RR 0.06, $p = 0.01$ , treatment 0 of 33 (0.0%), control 2 of 5 (40.0%), NNT 2.5, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).

	risk of viral+ at day 14, 95.8% lower, RR 0.04, $p = 0.001$ , treatment 0 of 33 (0.0%), control 3 of 5 (60.0%), NNT 1.7, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
<i>Skipper</i> , 7/16/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 24 authors, study period 17 March, 2020 - 20 May, 2020, dosage 800mg once, followed by 600mg in 6 to 8 hours, then 600mg daily for 4 more days, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04308668 (history).	risk of death/hospitalization, 36.7% lower, RR 0.63, $p = 0.58$ , treatment 5 of 231 (2.2%), control 8 of 234 (3.4%), NNT 80, COVID-19 adjudicated hospitalization/death.
	risk of hospitalization, 49.4% lower, RR 0.51, $p = 0.38$ , treatment 4 of 231 (1.7%), control 8 of 234 (3.4%), NNT 59, COVID-19 adjudicated hospitalization.
	risk of death/hospitalization, 49.4% lower, RR 0.51, $p$ = 0.29, treatment 5 of 231 (2.2%), control 10 of 234 (4.3%), NNT 47, all hospitalization/death.
	risk of hospitalization, 59.5% lower, RR 0.41, $p = 0.17$ , treatment 4 of 231 (1.7%), control 10 of 234 (4.3%), NNT 39, all hospitalizations.
	risk of no recovery at day 14, 20.0% lower, RR 0.80, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 231, control 234.
<i>Smith (B)</i> , 7/8/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, USA, preprint, 1 author, average treatment delay 5.0 days, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 200mg bid days 2-7, trial NCT04358068 (history).	risk of hospitalization, 64.0% lower, RR 0.36, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 7 (0.0%), control 1 of 9 (11.1%), NNT 9.0, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
<i>Sobngwi</i> , 7/29/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Cameroon, peer-reviewed, mean age 39.0, 16 authors, study period 16 March, 2021 - 9 April, 2021, dosage 400mg days 1-5, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo.	risk of no recovery, 51.6% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> = 0.44, treatment 2 of 95 (2.1%), control 4 of 92 (4.3%), NNT 45, day 10.
	risk of no recovery, 3.2% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 18 of 95 (18.9%), control 18 of 92 (19.6%), NNT 162, day 3.
	risk of no viral clearance, 3.2% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 0.88, treatment 32 of 95 (33.7%), control 32 of 92 (34.8%), NNT 91, day 10.
<i>Sow</i> , 9/30/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04501965 (history) (PHYTCOVID-19).	231 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
Su, 12/23/2020, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 9 authors, study period 20 January, 2020 - 30 April, 2020, dosage 400mg days 1-10, 400mg daily for 10-14 days.	risk of progression, 84.9% lower, HR 0.15, $p = 0.006$ , adjusted per study, binary logistic regression.
	improvement time, 24.0% better, relative time 0.76, $p = 0.02$ , adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, Cox proportional hazards.
	risk of no viral clearance, 35.8% lower, HR 0.64, $p = 0.001$ , inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Sulaiman</i> , 9/13/2020, prospective, Saudi Arabia, preprint, 22 authors, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 200mg bid days 2-5.	risk of death, 63.7% lower, RR 0.36, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 7 of 1,817 (0.4%), control 54 of 3,724 (1.5%), NNT 94, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
---	--
	risk of death/ICU, 44.4% lower, RR 0.56, $p = 0.02$ , treatment 21 of 1,817 (1.2%), control 95 of 3,724 (2.6%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of ICU admission, 36.7% lower, RR 0.63, $p = 0.13$ , treatment 14 of 1,817 (0.8%), control 56 of 3,724 (1.5%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of hospitalization, 38.6% lower, RR 0.61, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 171 of 1,817 (9.4%), control 617 of 3,724 (16.6%), NNT 14, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Szente Fonseca</i> , 10/31/2020, retrospective, Brazil, peer-reviewed, mean age 50.6, 10 authors, average treatment delay 4.6 days, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 400mg qd days 2-5.	risk of hospitalization, 64.0% lower, RR 0.36, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 25 of 175 (14.3%), control 89 of 542 (16.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ vs. nothing, primary outcome.
	risk of hospitalization, 50.5% lower, RR 0.49, <i>p</i> = 0.006, treatment 25 of 175 (14.3%), control 89 of 542 (16.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ vs. anything else.
Yu, 8/3/2020, retrospective, China, peer-reviewed, median age 62.0, 6 authors, early treatment subset, average treatment delay 5.0 days, dosage 200mg bid days 1-10.	risk of death, 85.0% lower, RR 0.15, $p = 0.02$ , treatment 1 of 73 (1.4%), control 238 of 2,604 (9.1%), NNT 13, HCQ treatment started early vs. non-HCQ.

## Late treatment

Effect extraction follows pre-specified rules as detailed above and gives priority to more serious outcomes. Only the first (most serious) outcome is used in pooled analysis, which may differ from the effect a paper focuses on. Other outcomes are used in outcome specific analyses.

<i>AbdelGhaffar</i> , 1/11/2022, retrospective, Egypt, peer-reviewed, 17 authors, study period April 2020 - July 2020.	risk of death, 99.9% lower, RR 0.001, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 0 of 238 (0.0%), control 900 of 3,474 (25.9%), NNT 3.9, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
<i>Abdulrahman</i> , 11/30/2020, retrospective, propensity score matching, Bahrain, preprint, 9 authors	risk of death, 16.7% lower, RR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 5 of 223 (2.2%), control 6 of 223 (2.7%), NNT 223, PSM.
	risk of death/intubation, 75.0% higher, RR 1.75, $p = 0.24$ , treatment 12 of 223 (5.4%), control 7 of 223 (3.1%), adjusted per study, PSM.
Aboulenain, 11/30/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 13 authors, study period March 2020 - May 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication possible.	risk of death, 15.0% higher, HR 1.15, <i>p</i> = 0.72, treatment 82, control 93, Cox proportional hazards.

Ader, 10/6/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, multiple countries, preprint, baseline oxygen required 95.4%, 59 authors, study period 22 March, 2020 - 29 June, 2020, average treatment delay 9.0	risk of death, 15.3% higher, RR 1.15, $p = 0.70$ , treatment 11 of 150 (7.3%), control 13 of 149 (8.7%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, day 90.
days, excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.	risk of death, 10.1% lower, RR 0.90, $p = 0.75$ , treatment 15 of 150 (10.0%), control 13 of 149 (8.7%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, day 28.
	risk of no viral clearance, 23.8% lower, RR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 0.68, treatment 4 of 83 (4.8%), control 5 of 81 (6.2%), NNT 74, odds ratio converted to relative risk, Table S2, day 29.
<i>Afşin</i> , 8/1/2023, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 2 authors, study period August 2020 - November 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 16.7% lower, RR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 0.50, treatment 15 of 36 (41.7%), control 22 of 44 (50.0%), NNT 12.
<i>Aghajani</i> , 4/29/2021, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of death, 19.5% lower, HR 0.81, <i>p</i> = 0.09, treatment 553, control 438, multivariate Cox proportional regression.
Alamdari, 9/9/2020, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 14 authors, average treatment delay 5.72 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 55.0% lower, RR 0.45, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 54 of 427 (12.6%), control 9 of 32 (28.1%), NNT 6.5.
Albanghali, 2/3/2022, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 34.6% higher, RR 1.35, <i>p</i> = 0.46, treatment 20 of 466 (4.3%), control 11 of 345 (3.2%).
Albani, 8/30/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 11 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely: substantial confounding by time	risk of death, 18.4% lower, RR 0.82, $p = 0.15$ , treatment 60 of 211 (28.4%), control 172 of 605 (28.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ vs. neither.
likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 9.0% higher, RR 1.09, <i>p</i> = 0.54, treatment 60 of 211 (28.4%), control 172 of 605 (28.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ+AZ vs. neither.
	risk of ICU admission, 9.2% higher, RR 1.09, $p = 0.70$ , treatment 73 of 211 (34.6%), control 46 of 605 (7.6%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ vs. neither.
	risk of ICU admission, 71.3% higher, RR 1.71, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 73 of 211 (34.6%), control 46 of 605 (7.6%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ+AZ vs. neither.
<i>Alberici</i> , 5/10/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 31 authors, average treatment delay 4.0 days.	risk of death, 42.9% lower, RR 0.57, $p = 0.12$ , treatment 17 of 72 (23.6%), control 9 of 22 (40.9%), NNT 5.8, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Alghamdi, 8/4/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 1 author, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; very late stage, ICU patients.	risk of death, 39.2% higher, RR 1.39, <i>p</i> = 0.52, treatment 29 of 128 (22.7%), control 7 of 43 (16.3%).

<i>Alghamdi (B)</i> , 3/31/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.	risk of death, 6.9% higher, RR 1.07, <i>p</i> = 0.88, treatment 44 of 568 (7.7%), control 15 of 207 (7.2%).
Alhamlan, 7/16/2021, retrospective, database analysis, Saudi Arabia, preprint, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 52.0% higher, HR 1.52, <i>p</i> = 0.57.
<i>Almazrou</i> , 10/1/2020, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 5 authors.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 65.0% lower, RR 0.35, $p = 0.16$ , treatment 3 of 95 (3.2%), control 6 of 66 (9.1%), NNT 17.
	risk of ICU admission, 21.0% lower, RR 0.79, <i>p</i> = 0.78, treatment 8 of 95 (8.4%), control 7 of 66 (10.6%), NNT 46.
<i>Alosaimi</i> , 11/24/2022, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 13 authors, study period April 2020 - March 2021, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo.	risk of death, 400.0% higher, RR 5.00, $p = 0.49$ , treatment 2 of 37 (5.4%), control 0 of 37 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), propensity score matching.
	hospitalization time, 42.9% lower, relative time 0.57, $p = 0.63$ , treatment 37, control 37, propensity score matching.
	time to discharge, 28.6% lower, relative time 0.71, $p = 0.74$ , treatment 37, control 37, propensity score matching.
<i>Alotaibi</i> , 9/14/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 11 authors, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo.	risk of death, 133.5% higher, RR 2.33, <i>p</i> = 0.05, treatment 193, control 244, multivariate.
<i>AlQadheeb</i> , 5/10/2023, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, mean age 55.8, 9 authors, study period March 2020 - August 2021.	risk of death, 34.8% lower, RR 0.65, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 37 of 92 (40.2%), control 466 of 756 (61.6%), NNT 4.7.
<i>AlQahtani</i> , 3/23/2022, Randomized Controlled Trial, Bahrain, peer-reviewed, 14 authors, study period August 2020 - March 2021, trial NCT04387760 (history).	risk of ICU admission, 23.5% lower, RR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 3 of 51 (5.9%), control 4 of 52 (7.7%), NNT 55.
	risk of no recovery, 4.1% lower, RR 0.96, <i>p</i> = 0.94, treatment 5 of 49 (10.2%), control 5 of 47 (10.6%), NNT 230.
	risk of no viral clearance, 47.4% lower, RR 0.53, <i>p</i> = 0.13, treatment 7 of 38 (18.4%), control 14 of 40 (35.0%), NNT 6.0.
<i>Alqassieh</i> , 12/10/2020, prospective, Jordan, preprint, 10 authors.	hospitalization time, 18.2% lower, relative time 0.82, $p = 0.11$ , treatment 63, control 68.
Alshamrani, 2/15/2023, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 3 authors, study period March 2020 - January 2021.	risk of death, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, $p = 0.18$ , treatment 6 of 161 (3.7%), control 50 of 653 (7.7%), NNT 25, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, propensity score matching,

	multivariable.
	risk of progression, 37.0% lower, RR 0.63, $p = 0.21$ , treatment 16 of 161 (9.9%), control 100 of 653 (15.3%), NNT 19, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, AKI, ARDS, multi- organ failure, or mortality, propensity score matching, multivariable.
	ICU time, 9.2% lower, relative time 0.91, <i>p</i> = 0.66, treatment 22, control 169, propensity score matching.
	hospitalization time, 3.0% higher, relative time 1.03, $p = 0.69$ , treatment 161, control 653, propensity score matching.
<i>AlShehhi</i> , 1/11/2024, retrospective, United Arab Emirates, peer-reviewed, 4 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 20 April, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of ICU admission, 42.8% lower, RR 0.57, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 114 of 1,460 (7.8%), control 46 of 337 (13.6%), NNT 17.
<i>Alwafi</i> , 1/20/2022, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 6 authors, study period 7 March, 2020 - 15 April, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences	risk of no viral clearance, 14.7% lower, RR 0.85, <i>p</i> = 0.65, treatment 12 of 45 (26.7%), control 15 of 48 (31.2%), NNT 22, day 5, primary outcome.
between groups.	risk of no viral clearance, 25.3% lower, RR 0.75, <i>p</i> = 0.60, treatment 7 of 45 (15.6%), control 10 of 48 (20.8%), NNT 19, day 12.
<i>An</i> , 7/7/2020, retrospective, South Korea, preprint, 12 authors.	time to viral clearance, 3.0% lower, HR 0.97, $p = 0.92$ , treatment 31, control 195.
Annie, 10/12/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19	risk of death, 4.3% lower, RR 0.96, <i>p</i> = 0.83, treatment 48 of 367 (13.1%), control 50 of 367 (13.6%), NNT 183, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
severity at baseline.	risk of death, 20.5% higher, RR 1.21, <i>p</i> = 0.46, treatment 29 of 199 (14.6%), control 24 of 199 (12.1%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Aparisi</i> , 10/8/2020, prospective, Spain, preprint, 18 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 63.0% lower, RR 0.37, <i>p</i> = 0.008, treatment 122 of 605 (20.2%), control 27 of 49 (55.1%), NNT 2.9.
<i>Arshad</i> , 7/1/2020, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 12 authors.	risk of death, 51.3% lower, HR 0.49, <i>p</i> = 0.009, treatment 162 of 1,202 (13.5%), control 108 of 409 (26.4%), NNT 7.7.
<i>Ashinyo</i> , 9/15/2020, retrospective, Ghana, peer- reviewed, 16 authors.	hospitalization time, 33.0% lower, relative time 0.67, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 61, control 61.
Assad, 10/21/2022, retrospective, Iraq, peer- reviewed, 1 author, study period June 2020 - September 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; confounding by time possible, propensity to use HCQ changed significantly during the study period.	risk of death, 59.7% lower, RR 0.40, <i>p</i> = 0.002, treatment 9 of 72 (12.5%), control 68 of 219 (31.1%), NNT 5.4, enoxaparin+HCQ vs. enoxaparin.

Atipornwanich, 10/5/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Thailand, peer-reviewed, 16 authors, study period 19 October, 2020 - 20 July, 2021, dosage 400mg days 1-14, 800mg/day or 400mg/day, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with constraints of duranivir/fittensivir for	risk of death, 56.2% lower, RR 0.44, <i>p</i> = 0.07, treatment 7 of 100 (7.0%), control 16 of 100 (16.0%), NNT 11, moderate/severe, HCQ arms vs. non-HCQ arms.
	risk of progression, 54.2% lower, RR 0.46, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 11 of 100 (11.0%), control 24 of 100 (24.0%), NNT 7.7, moderate/severe, HCQ arms vs. non-HCQ arms.
moderate/severe, oseltamivir and duranivir/ritonavir for mild) - results of individual treatments may vary, trial NCT04303299 (history).	time to viral-, 7.1% lower, relative time 0.93, $p = 0.51$ , treatment mean 10.4 (±6.3) n=50, control mean 11.2 (±5.7) n=50, moderate/severe, oseltamivir arms, primary outcome.
	time to viral-, 6.9% lower, relative time 0.93, $p = 0.47$ , treatment mean 9.5 (±5.0) n=50, control mean 10.2 (±4.6) n=50, moderate/severe, favipiravir arms, primary outcome.
<i>Auld</i> , 4/26/2020, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of death, 2.8% higher, RR 1.03, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 33 of 114 (28.9%), control 29 of 103 (28.2%).
Awad, 2/18/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 4 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due	risk of death, 19.1% higher, RR 1.19, <i>p</i> = 0.60, treatment 56 of 188 (29.8%), control 37 of 148 (25.0%).
to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 460.7% higher, RR 5.61, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 64 of 188 (34.0%), control 9 of 148 (6.1%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of ICU admission, 463.4% higher, RR 5.63, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 67 of 188 (35.6%), control 9 of 148 (6.1%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Aweimer</i> , 3/29/2023, retrospective, Germany, peer- reviewed, median age 67.0, 19 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 31 August, 2021.	risk of death, 40.2% lower, RR 0.60, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 4 of 9 (44.4%), control 104 of 140 (74.3%), NNT 3.4.
<i>Ayerbe</i> , 9/30/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Spain, peer-reviewed, 3 authors.	risk of death, 52.2% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 237 of 1,857 (12.8%), control 49 of 162 (30.2%), NNT 5.7, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Azaña Gómez</i> , 3/10/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 10 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 1 October, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 35.8% lower, RR 0.64, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 500 of 1,378 (36.3%), control 238 of 421 (56.5%), NNT 4.9.
Babalola, 10/1/2021, Single Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Nigeria, peer-reviewed, 6 authors, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment	risk of no hospital discharge, 54.5% higher, RR 1.55, $p = 0.20$ , treatment 17 of 30 (56.7%), control 11 of 30 (36.7%), day 7.
arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary, trial PACTR202108891693522.	risk of no viral clearance, 9.5% lower, RR 0.90, <i>p</i> = 0.78, treatment 19 of 30 (63.3%), control 21 of 30 (70.0%), NNT 15, day 5 mid-recovery.
<i>Babayigit</i> , 8/31/2022, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, mean age 51.9, 68 authors, study period 11 March, 2020 - 18 July, 2020.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 112.4% higher, RR 2.12, $p = 0.21$ , treatment 63 of 1,378 (4.6%), control 6 of 94 (6.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
	risk of ICU admission, 52.8% higher, RR 1.53, <i>p</i> = 0.33, treatment 107 of 1,363 (7.9%), control 9 of 93 (9.7%), adjusted

	per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
	hospitalization time, 16.7% higher, relative time 1.17, $p = 0.05$ , treatment 852, control 63.
<i>Barbosa</i> , 4/12/2020, retrospective, USA, preprint, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 147.0% higher, RR 2.47, <i>p</i> = 0.58, treatment 2 of 17 (11.8%), control 1 of 21 (4.8%).
<i>Barra</i> , 7/31/2021, retrospective, Argentina, preprint, 13 authors, average treatment delay 5.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 10.8% lower, RR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 2 of 18 (11.1%), control 81 of 650 (12.5%), NNT 74, unadjusted.
<i>Barrat-Due</i> , 7/13/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Norway, peer-reviewed, 43 authors, study period 28 March, 2020 - 4 October, 2020, average treatment delay 8.0 days, trial NCT04321616 (history).	risk of death, 120.0% higher, RR 2.20, <i>p</i> = 0.35, treatment 4 of 45 (8.9%), control 2 of 48 (4.2%), adjusted per study.
<i>Barry</i> , 3/23/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of death, 98.9% lower, RR 0.01, $p = 0.60$ , treatment 0 of 6 (0.0%), control 91 of 599 (15.2%), NNT 6.6, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
<i>Bassets-Bosch</i> , 4/30/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 5 authors, study period 11 March, 2020 - 30 April, 2020, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	time to viral-, 29.2% lower, relative time 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.45, treatment median 17.0 IQR 16.0 n=5, control median 24.0 IQR 21.0 n=5, onset to clearance.
<i>Beaumont</i> , 2/13/2022, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 22 authors, average treatment delay 6.0 days.	risk of death/intubation, 14.1% lower, HR 0.86, <i>p</i> = 0.55, treatment 7 of 38 (18.4%), control 88 of 258 (34.1%), NNT 6.4, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, Cox proportional hazards.
Beltran Gonzalez, 2/23/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Mexico, peer-	risk of death, 62.6% lower, RR 0.37, <i>p</i> = 0.27, treatment 2 of 33 (6.1%), control 6 of 37 (16.2%), NNT 9.8.
reviewed, mean age 53.8, 13 authors, study period 4 May, 2020 - 6 November, 2020, average treatment delay 7.0 days, trial NCT04391127 (history).	risk of respiratory deterioration or death, 25.3% lower, RR 0.75, $p = 0.57$ , treatment 6 of 33 (18.2%), control 9 of 37 (24.3%), NNT 16.
	risk of no hospital discharge, 12.1% higher, RR 1.12, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 3 of 33 (9.1%), control 3 of 37 (8.1%).
<i>Berenguer</i> , 8/3/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of death, 18.2% lower, RR 0.82, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 681 of 2,618 (26.0%), control 438 of 1,377 (31.8%), NNT 17.
<i>Bernaola</i> , 7/21/2020, retrospective, Spain, preprint, 7 authors.	risk of death, 17.0% lower, HR 0.83, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 236 of 1,498 (15.8%), control 28 of 147 (19.0%), NNT 30.
<i>Bielza</i> , 12/11/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 87.0, 24 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 21.5% lower, RR 0.78, <i>p</i> = 0.09, treatment 33 of 91 (36.3%), control 249 of 539 (46.2%), NNT 10.

<i>Boari</i> , 11/17/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 20 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 54.5% lower, RR 0.45, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 41 of 202 (20.3%), control 25 of 56 (44.6%), NNT 4.1.
<i>Bosaeed</i> , 4/30/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 30 authors, study period 21 May, 2020 - 26 January, 2021, average	risk of death, 3.7% lower, RR 0.96, <i>p</i> = 0.91, treatment 14 of 125 (11.2%), control 15 of 129 (11.6%), NNT 234, 90 days.
treatment delay 5.85 days, trial NCT04392973 (history) (FACCT), excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at	risk of death, 28.6% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.45, treatment 9 of 125 (7.2%), control 13 of 129 (10.1%), NNT 35, 28 days.
baseline.	risk of death, 65.1% higher, RR 1.65, <i>p</i> = 0.68, treatment 8 of 125 (6.4%), control 5 of 129 (3.9%), 14 days.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 8.4% higher, RR 1.08, $p$ = 0.78, treatment 21 of 125 (16.8%), control 20 of 129 (15.5%).
	risk of ICU admission, 31.0% higher, RR 1.31, <i>p</i> = 0.24, treatment 33 of 125 (26.4%), control 26 of 129 (20.2%).
	recovery time, 28.6% higher, relative time 1.29, $p = 0.29$ , treatment 125, control 129.
	hospitalization time, 12.5% higher, relative time 1.12, $p = 0.42$ , treatment 125, control 129.
	risk of no viral clearance, 2.6% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 0.75, treatment 100 of 125 (80.0%), control 106 of 129 (82.2%), NNT 46.
<i>Bousquet</i> , 6/23/2020, prospective, France, peer-reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of death, 42.8% lower, RR 0.57, <i>p</i> = 0.15, treatment 5 of 27 (18.5%), control 23 of 81 (28.4%), NNT 10, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Bowen</i> , 8/25/2022, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 31 March, 2021.	risk of death, 20.0% lower, HR 0.80, <i>p</i> = 0.007, treatment 1,317, control 3,314, Table S2, Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Bubenek-Turconi</i> , 11/17/2022, prospective, Romania, peer-reviewed, 16 authors, study period March 2020 - March 2021.	risk of death, 22.0% lower, OR 0.78, $p = 0.01$ , RR approximated with OR.
<i>Budhiraja</i> , 11/18/2020, retrospective, India, preprint, 12 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 65.4% lower, RR 0.35, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 69 of 834 (8.3%), control 34 of 142 (23.9%), NNT 6.4.
<i>Burdick</i> , 11/26/2020, prospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of death, 59.0% higher, HR 1.59, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 142, control 148, adjusted per study, all patients.
	risk of death, 71.0% lower, HR 0.29, $p = 0.01$ , treatment 26, control 17, adjusted per study, subgroup of patients where treatment is predicted to be beneficial.
<i>Burhan</i> , 9/25/2023, retrospective, Indonesia, peer- reviewed, 26 authors, study period January 2020 - March 2021.	risk of death, 1.3% higher, RR 1.01, <i>p</i> = 0.91, treatment 84 of 123 (68.3%), control 294 of 436 (67.4%).

<i>Byakika-Kibwika</i> , 6/4/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Uganda, preprint, 17 authors, study period October 2020 - December 2020	recovery time, no change, relative time 1.00, $p = 0.91$ , treatment 36, control 29.
	relative improvement in Ct value, 29.3% better, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.47, treatment 15, control 15.
	risk of no viral clearance, 2.6% higher, RR 1.03, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 35 of 55 (63.6%), control 31 of 50 (62.0%), day 6.
	risk of no viral clearance, 6.7% higher, RR 1.07, <i>p</i> = 0.85, treatment 27 of 55 (49.1%), control 23 of 50 (46.0%), day 10.
<i>Calderón</i> , 11/23/2021, retrospective, Mexico, peer-reviewed, 7 authors, dosage 200mg bid days 1-7.	risk of death, 214.8% higher, RR 3.15, <i>p</i> = 0.38, treatment 5 of 27 (18.5%), control 1 of 17 (5.9%).
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 651.9% higher, RR 7.52, $p = 0.15$ , treatment 4 of 27 (14.8%), control 0 of 17 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of ICU admission, 145.5% higher, RR 2.45, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 16 of 27 (59.3%), control 0 of 17 (0.0%), adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment.
	hospitalization time, 107.4% higher, relative time 2.07, <i>p</i> = 0.006, treatment 27, control 17.
<i>Cangiano</i> , 12/22/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of death, 73.4% lower, RR 0.27, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 5 of 33 (15.2%), control 37 of 65 (56.9%), NNT 2.4.
<i>Capsoni</i> , 12/1/2020, retrospective, Italy, preprint, 13 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 40.0% lower, RR 0.60, $p = 0.30$ , treatment 12 of 40 (30.0%), control 6 of 12 (50.0%), NNT 5.0.
<i>Catteau</i> , 8/24/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Belgium, peer-reviewed, 11 authors, average treatment delay 5.0 days.	risk of death, 32.0% lower, HR 0.68, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 804 of 4,542 (17.7%), control 957 of 3,533 (27.1%), NNT 11.
<i>Cavalcanti</i> , 7/23/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, Brazil, peer-reviewed, baseline oxygen	risk of death, 16.0% lower, RR 0.84, <i>p</i> = 0.77, treatment 8 of 331 (2.4%), control 5 of 173 (2.9%), NNT 211, HCQ+HCQ/AZ.
2020 - 18 May, 2020, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of hospitalization, 28.0% higher, RR 1.28, $p = 0.30$ , treatment 331, control 173, HCQ+HCQ/AZ.
<i>Chari</i> , 12/24/2020, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, median age 69.0, 25 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 33.1% lower, RR 0.67, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 8 of 29 (27.6%), control 195 of 473 (41.2%), NNT 7.3.
<i>Chen</i> , 7/10/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, Taiwan, peer-reviewed, 19 authors, study period 1 April, 2020 - 31 May, 2020, trial NCT04384380 (bistory)	risk of no viral clearance, 24.0% lower, RR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 0.71, treatment 4 of 21 (19.0%), control 3 of 12 (25.0%), NNT 17, day 14.
(1101019).	median time to PCR-, 50.0% lower, relative time 0.50, $p = 0.40$ , treatment 21, control 12.

<i>Chen (B)</i> , 7/10/2020, retrospective, Taiwan, peer-reviewed, 19 authors.	risk of no viral clearance, 29.0% higher, RR 1.29, <i>p</i> = 0.70, treatment 16 of 28 (57.1%), control 4 of 9 (44.4%), day 14.
<i>Chen (C)</i> , 6/22/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, China, preprint, 19 authors, study period 18 February, 2020 - 30 March, 2020, dosage 200mg bid days 1-10	time to clinical recovery, 20.0% lower, relative time 0.80, $p = 0.51$ , treatment median 6.0 IQR 5.0 n=18, control median 7.5 IQR 11.25 n=12, HCQ.
	time to clinical recovery, 26.7% lower, relative time 0.73, $p = 0.36$ , treatment median 5.5 IQR 4.25 n=18, control median 7.5 IQR 11.25 n=12, CQ.
	median time to PCR-, 71.4% lower, relative time 0.29, $p < 0.001$ , treatment median 2.0 IQR 1.5 n=18, control median 7.0 IQR 7.0 n=12, HCQ.
	median time to PCR-, 64.3% lower, relative time 0.36, $p = 0.001$ , treatment median 2.5 IQR 1.8 n=18, control median 7.0 IQR 7.0 n=12, CQ.
<i>Chen (D)</i> , 3/31/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, China, preprint, 9 authors, study period 4 February, 2020 - 28 February, 2020.	risk of no improvement in pneumonia at day 6, 57.0% lower, RR 0.43, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 6 of 31 (19.4%), control 14 of 31 (45.2%), NNT 3.9.
Chen (E), 3/6/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, China, peer-reviewed, 14 authors, study period 6 February, 2020 - 25 February, 2020, trial	risk of radiological progression, 29.0% lower, RR 0.71, $p = 0.57$ , treatment 5 of 15 (33.3%), control 7 of 15 (46.7%), NNT 7.5.
NCT04261517 (history).	risk of viral+ at day 7, 100% higher, RR 2.00, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 2 of 15 (13.3%), control 1 of 15 (6.7%).
<i>Choi</i> , 10/27/2020, retrospective, database analysis, South Korea, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	median time to PCR-, 22.0% higher, relative time 1.22, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 701, control 701.
<i>Coll</i> , 10/23/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 61.0, 29 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 45.6% lower, RR 0.54, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 55 of 307 (17.9%), control 108 of 328 (32.9%), NNT 6.7.
<i>Corradini</i> , 4/24/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, 60 authors, dosage not specified.	risk of death, 70.2% lower, OR 0.30, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 1,439, control 274, adjusted per study, Table S6, all patients, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death, 76.8% lower, OR 0.23, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 546, control 71, adjusted per study, Table S6, mild condition patients, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death, 84.2% lower, OR 0.16, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 184, control 64, adjusted per study, Table S6, moderate condition patients, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death, 29.0% higher, OR 1.29, $p = 0.73$ , treatment 68, control 37, adjusted per study, Table S6, severe condition patients, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.

<i>Cortez</i> , 11/11/2021, retrospective, Philippines, peer-reviewed, 29 authors, study period March 2020 - October 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 15.0% lower, RR 0.85, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 1 of 25 (4.0%), control 12 of 255 (4.7%), NNT 142.
<i>Cravedi</i> , 7/10/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, mean age 60.0, 25 authors, average treatment delay 6.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 53.0% higher, RR 1.53, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 36 of 101 (35.6%), control 10 of 43 (23.3%).
<i>Cárdenas-Jaén</i> , 6/20/2023, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, median age 57.0, 44 authors, study period May 2020 - September 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted for baseline differences with no group details.	risk of severe case, 56.2% lower, RR 0.44, <i>p</i> = 0.13, treatment 3 of 42 (7.1%), control 126 of 787 (16.0%), NNT 11, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>D'Arminio Monforte</i> , 7/29/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, 5 authors.	risk of death, 34.0% lower, HR 0.66, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 53 of 197 (26.9%), control 47 of 92 (51.1%), NNT 4.1, adjusted per study.
<i>Davido</i> , 8/2/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of intubation/hospitalization, 55.0% lower, HR 0.45, $p = 0.04$ , treatment 12 of 80 (15.0%), control 13 of 40 (32.5%), NNT 5.7.
<i>de Gonzalo-Calvo</i> , 6/17/2023, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, median age 65.0, 46 authors, study period March 2020 - February 2021, trial NCT04457505 (history), excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 37.6% lower, RR 0.62, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 6 of 32 (18.8%), control 138 of 459 (30.1%), NNT 8.8.
<i>De Luna</i> , 12/14/2020, retrospective, Dominican Republic, preprint, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 104.5% higher, RR 2.05, <i>p</i> = 0.69, treatment 15 of 132 (11.4%), control 1 of 18 (5.6%).
<i>De Rosa</i> , 5/1/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 20 authors, average treatment delay 6.0 days.	risk of death, 35.0% lower, RR 0.65, $p = 0.02$ , treatment 118 of 731 (16.1%), control 80 of 280 (28.6%), NNT 8.0, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate logistic regression, patients alive at day 7.
<i>Delgado</i> , 2/20/2023, retrospective, USA, preprint, 7 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 31 December, 2020.	risk of death, 26.0% lower, OR 0.74, $p = 0.002$ , treatment 1,239, control 8,399, both periods combined, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death, 28.0% lower, OR 0.72, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 1,157, control 2,064, early 2020, propensity score matching, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death, 10.0% higher, OR 1.10, $p = 0.82$ , treatment 82, control 6,335, late 2020, propensity score matching, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Di Castelnuovo</i> , 1/29/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, 111 authors.	risk of death, 40.0% lower, RR 0.60, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 3,270, control 1,000, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate Cox proportional hazards model 4, control prevalence

	approximated with overall prevalence.
<i>Di Castelnuovo (B)</i> , 8/25/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, 106 authors.	risk of death, 30.0% lower, HR 0.70, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 386 o 2,634 (14.7%), control 90 of 817 (11.0%), adjusted per study.
<i>Dubee</i> , 10/21/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, France, peer-reviewed, median age 77.0, 18	risk of death at day 28, 46.0% lower, RR 0.54, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 6 of 124 (4.8%), control 11 of 123 (8.9%), NNT 24.
autriols, study pendu 2 April, 2020 - 21 May, 2020, average treatment delay 5.0 days, trial NCT04325893 (history) (HYCOVID).	risk of combined intubation/death at day 28, 26.0% lower, RR 0.74, <i>p</i> = 0.48, treatment 9 of 124 (7.3%), control 12 of 123 (9.8%), NNT 40.
<i>Dubernet</i> , 8/20/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, median age 66.0, 20 authors.	risk of ICU admission, 87.6% lower, RR 0.12, <i>p</i> = 0.008, treatment 1 of 17 (5.9%), control 9 of 19 (47.4%), NNT 2.4.
<i>Ebongue</i> , 3/18/2022, retrospective, Cameroon, peer-reviewed, 27 authors, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 43.0% lower, HR 0.57, $p = 0.04$ , treatment 93 of 522 (17.8%), control 36 of 58 (62.1%), NNT 2.3, adjusted per study, multivariable.
<i>El-Sherbiny</i> , 8/15/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04477083 (history).	Estimated 40 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Falcone</i> , 11/19/2020, prospective, propensity score matching, Italy, peer-reviewed, 19 authors, average treatment delay 6.5 days.	risk of death, 65.0% lower, RR 0.35, <i>p</i> = 0.20, treatment 40 of 238 (16.8%), control 30 of 77 (39.0%), NNT 4.5, adjusted per study, PSM.
	risk of death, 25.0% lower, RR 0.75, <i>p</i> = 0.36, treatment 40 of 238 (16.8%), control 30 of 77 (39.0%), NNT 4.5, adjusted per study, multivariate Cox regression.
	risk of death, 57.0% lower, RR 0.43, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 40 of 238 (16.8%), control 30 of 77 (39.0%), NNT 4.5, adjusted per study, univariate Cox regression.
<i>Farooq</i> , 6/28/2020, Single Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04328272 (history).	Estimated 75 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Faíco-Filho</i> , 6/21/2020, prospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, median age 58.0, 6 authors.	$\Delta$ t7-12 $\Delta$ Ct improvement, 80.8% lower, RR 0.19, <i>p</i> = 0.40, treatment 34, control 32, mid-recovery, relative median Ct improvement, Figure 2.
	$\Delta t < 7 \Delta Ct$ improvement, 24.0% lower, RR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 0.36, treatment 34, control 32, relative median Ct improvement, Figure 2.
	$\Delta$ t>12 $\Delta$ Ct improvement, 15.0% higher, RR 1.15, <i>p</i> = 0.52, treatment 34, control 32, relative median Ct improvement, Figure 2.
<i>Fernández-Cruz</i> , 1/31/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 10 authors, study period March 2020 - May 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 27.0% lower, RR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.47, treatment 23 of 6 (36.5%), control 4 of 8 (50.0%), NNT 7.4.

<i>Ferreira</i> , 11/26/2021, retrospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, 5 authors, study period 12 March, 2020 - 8 July, 2020, average treatment delay 7.0 days, dosage not specified.	risk of death, 151.5% higher, RR 2.51, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 17 of 111 (15.3%), control 11 of 81 (13.6%), odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate.
	risk of death/intubation, 45.9% higher, RR 1.46, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 30 of 111 (27.0%), control 15 of 81 (18.5%).
	risk of death/intubation/ICU, 61.3% higher, RR 1.61, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 42 of 111 (37.8%), control 19 of 81 (23.5%).
<i>Fontana</i> , 6/22/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 8 authors.	risk of death, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> = 0.53, treatment 4 of 12 (33.3%), control 2 of 3 (66.7%), NNT 3.0.
<i>Fried</i> , 8/28/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 11 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 27.0% higher, RR 1.27, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 1,048 of 4,232 (24.8%), control 1,466 of 7,489 (19.6%).
<i>Frontera</i> , 10/26/2020, retrospective, propensity score matching, USA, preprint, median age 64.0, 14 authors, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with zinc) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 37.0% lower, HR 0.63, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 121 of 1,006 (12.0%), control 424 of 2,467 (17.2%), NNT 19, adjusted per study, PSM.
	risk of death, 24.0% lower, HR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 121 of 1,006 (12.0%), control 424 of 2,467 (17.2%), NNT 19, adjusted per study, regression.
<i>Gadhiya</i> , 4/8/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 4 authors, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 4.8% higher, RR 1.05, $p = 0.89$ , treatment 22 of 55 (40.0%), control 33 of 216 (15.3%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate logistic regression.
<i>Geleris</i> , 5/7/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 12 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: significant issues found with adjustments.	risk of death/intubation, 4.0% higher, HR 1.04, $p = 0.76$ , treatment 262 of 811 (32.3%), control 84 of 565 (14.9%), adjusted per study.
<i>Gerlovin</i> , 6/24/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 21 authors.	risk of death, 22.0% higher, HR 1.22, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 90 of 429 (21.0%), control 141 of 770 (18.3%), adjusted per study, HCQ+AZ.
	risk of death, 21.0% higher, HR 1.21, $p = 0.33$ , treatment 49 of 228 (21.5%), control 141 of 770 (18.3%), adjusted per study, HCQ.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 55.0% higher, HR 1.55, $p$ = 0.02, treatment 64 of 429 (14.9%), control 69 of 770 (9.0%), adjusted per study, HCQ+AZ.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 33.0% higher, HR 1.33, <i>p</i> = 0.25, treatment 32 of 228 (14.0%), control 69 of 770 (9.0%), adjusted per study, HCQ.

<i>Go</i> , 9/27/2022, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 2 authors, study period March 2020 - June 2020, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 55.0% lower, HR 0.45, <i>p</i> = 0.03, adjusted per study, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Goldman</i> , 5/27/2020, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 26 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 22.3% lower, RR 0.78, <i>p</i> = 0.46, treatment 10 of 109 (9.2%), control 34 of 288 (11.8%), NNT 38.
<i>Gonzalez</i> , 8/21/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Spain, preprint, 25 authors.	risk of death, 26.6% lower, RR 0.73, $p = 0.06$ , treatment 1,246 of 8,476 (14.7%), control 341 of 1,168 (29.2%), NNT 6.9, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Guglielmetti</i> , 10/25/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 19 authors, study period 21 February, 2020 - 15 May, 2020.	risk of death, 28.0% lower, HR 0.72, <i>p</i> = 0.10, treatment 474, control 126, multivariable Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Guglielmetti (B)</i> , 12/9/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, 16 authors, average treatment delay 8.0 days.	risk of death, 35.0% lower, RR 0.65, <i>p</i> = 0.22, treatment 181, control 37, adjusted per study, multivariable Cox.
<i>Guisado-Vasco (B)</i> , 10/15/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, median age 69.0, 25 authors.	risk of death, 20.3% lower, RR 0.80, $p = 0.36$ , treatment 127 of 558 (22.8%), control 14 of 49 (28.6%), NNT 17, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Gupta</i> , 7/15/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, baseline oxygen required 87.1%, 34 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.	risk of death, 6.3% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 0.41, treatment 631 of 1,761 (35.8%), control 153 of 454 (33.7%).
	risk of death, 3.7% lower, RR 0.96, <i>p</i> = 0.53, treatment 388 of 1,117 (34.7%), control 396 of 1,098 (36.1%), NNT 75, HCQ+AZ.
<i>Gómez</i> , 10/13/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 1 October, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 35.8% lower, RR 0.64, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 500 of 1,378 (36.3%), control 238 of 421 (56.5%), NNT 4.9.
<i>Güner</i> , 12/29/2020, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 23 authors.	risk of ICU admission, 77.3% lower, RR 0.23, $p = 0.16$ , treatment 604, control 100, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, IPTW multivariate analysis, HCQ vs. favipiravir.
<i>Hafez</i> , 4/8/2022, retrospective, United Arab Emirates, peer-reviewed, 6 authors.	viral clearance time, 12.3% lower, HR 0.88, $p = 0.59$ , treatment 40, control 1,446, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, Cox proportional hazards.
	viral clearance time, 58.7% lower, HR 0.41, $p = 0.09$ , treatment 4, control 1,446, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, HCQ + favipiravir + lopinavir/ritonavir, Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Haji Aghajani</i> , 4/29/2021, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of death, 19.5% lower, HR 0.81, <i>p</i> = 0.09, treatment 553, control 438, adjusted per study, Cox proportional hazards, RR approximated with OR.
Hall, 2/18/2022, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 15 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses:	risk of death, 11.2% lower, RR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 0.31, treatment 31 of 56 (55.4%), control 280 of 449 (62.4%), NNT 14.

unadjusted results with no group details.	
Hawari, 7/20/2022, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT05113810 (history).	Estimated 110 patient RCT with results unknown and over 1.5 years late.
Heberto, 9/12/2020, prospective, Mexico, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 53.9% lower, RR 0.46, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 139, control 115, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 65.1% lower, RR 0.35, $p = 0.008$ , treatment 139, control 115, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Hernandez-Cardenas, 2/5/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Mexico, preprint, 6 authors, study	risk of death, 12.0% lower, RR 0.88, <i>p</i> = 0.66, treatment 106, control 108.
treatment delay 7.4 days.	risk of death, 57.0% lower, RR 0.43, $p = 0.29$ , subgroup not intubated at baseline.
<i>Higgins</i> , 12/16/2022, Randomized Controlled Trial, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 1896 authors, study period 9 March, 2020 - 22 June, 2021, trial NCT02735707 (history) (REMAP-CAP).	risk of death, 51.0% higher, HR 1.51, <i>p</i> = 0.06, treatment 16 of 41 (39.0%), control 107 of 311 (34.4%), adjusted per study, day 180.
<i>Ho</i> , 3/31/2023, retrospective, Malaysia, peer- reviewed, 11 authors, average treatment delay 8.05 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of progression, 889.7% higher, RR 9.90, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 4 of 91 (4.4%), control 1 of 234 (0.4%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Hong (B)</i> , 5/4/2022, retrospective, South Korea, peer-reviewed, 11 authors, study period 28 February, 2020 - 28 April, 2020.	recovery time, 24.9% lower, HR 0.75, $p = 0.45$ , treatment 15, control 15, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, propensity score matching.
	hospitalization time, 12.7% higher, HR 1.13, <i>p</i> = 0.75, treatment 15, control 15, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, propensity score matching.
	viral clearance time, 0.5% lower, HR 1.00, $p = 0.99$ , treatment 15, control 15, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, propensity score matching.
<i>Hraiech</i> , 5/24/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, ICU patients.	risk of death, 64.7% lower, RR 0.35, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 2 of 17 (11.8%), control 5 of 15 (33.3%), NNT 4.6, day 38 +- 7.
	risk of death, 376.5% higher, RR 4.76, $p = 0.49$ , treatment 2 of 17 (11.8%), control 0 of 15 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), day 6 from ARDS.
	risk of no viral clearance, 2.9% higher, RR 1.03, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 14 of 17 (82.4%), control 8 of 10 (80.0%), day 6 from treatment.
<i>Huang (C)</i> , 5/28/2020, prospective, China, peer-reviewed, 36 authors.	time to viral-, 67.0% lower, relative time 0.33, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 197, control 176.
	time to viral-, 59.1% lower, relative time 0.41, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 32, control 37, early treatment.

<i>Ip (B)</i> , 5/25/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 32 authors, average treatment delay 5.0 days.	risk of death, 1.0% lower, HR 0.99, <i>p</i> = 0.93, treatment 432 of 1,914 (22.6%), control 115 of 598 (19.2%), adjusted per study.
<i>Izoulet</i> , 4/21/2020, retrospective, multiple countries, preprint, 1 author, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 85.0% lower, RR 0.15, <i>p</i> < 0.001.
Jacobs, 7/6/2021, prospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 14 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 6.6% lower, RR 0.93, <i>p</i> = 0.74, treatment 24 of 46 (52.2%), control 86 of 154 (55.8%), NNT 27.
Johnston, 12/9/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 30 authors, study period 15 April, 2020 - 27 July, 2020, average treatment delay 5.9 days, dosage 400mg bid day 1, 200mg bid days 2-10, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04354428 (history).	risk of hospitalization, 29.9% lower, RR 0.70, $p = 0.73$ , treatment 5 of 148 (3.4%), control 4 of 83 (4.8%), NNT 69, HCQ + folic acid and HCQ + AZ vs. vitamin C + folic acid.
	risk of no recovery, 2.0% lower, RR 0.98, $p = 0.95$ , treatment 30 of 60 (50.0%), control 34 of 72 (47.2%), adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, HCQ + folic acid vs. vitamin C + folic acid.
	risk of no recovery, 9.9% higher, RR 1.10, $p = 0.70$ , treatment 34 of 65 (52.3%), control 34 of 72 (47.2%), adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, HCQ + AZ vs. vitamin C + folic acid.
	risk of no viral clearance, 38.3% lower, RR 0.62, $p = 0.047$ , treatment 6 of 49 (12.2%), control 12 of 52 (23.1%), NNT 9.2, adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, HCQ + folic acid vs. vitamin C + folic acid.
	risk of no viral clearance, 20.0% lower, RR 0.80, $p = 0.49$ , treatment 11 of 51 (21.6%), control 12 of 52 (23.1%), adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, HCQ + AZ vs. vitamin C + folic acid.
<i>Kalligeros</i> , 8/5/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 13 authors, average treatment delay 6.0 days.	risk of death, 67.0% higher, HR 1.67, <i>p</i> = 0.57, treatment 36, control 72.
<i>Kamran</i> , 8/4/2020, prospective, Pakistan, preprint, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of progression, 5.0% lower, RR 0.95, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 11 of 349 (3.2%), control 5 of 151 (3.3%), NNT 627.
	risk of progression, 54.8% lower, RR 0.45, $p = 0.30$ , treatment 4 of 31 (12.9%), control 2 of 7 (28.6%), NNT 6.4, with comorbidities.
	risk of viral+ at day 14, 10.0% higher, RR 1.10, <i>p</i> = 0.52, treatment 349, control 151.

Karruli, 9/1/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 13 authors, study period March 2020 - May 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 4.8% lower, RR 0.95, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 20 of 28 (71.4%), control 3 of 4 (75.0%), NNT 28.
Kelly, 7/22/2020, retrospective, Ireland, peer- reviewed, 14 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 143.0% higher, RR 2.43, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 23 of 82 (28.0%), control 6 of 52 (11.5%).
<i>Kim (B)</i> , 5/18/2020, retrospective, South Korea, preprint, 12 authors.	hospitalization time, 51.0% lower, relative time 0.49, $p = 0.01$ , treatment 22, control 40.
	time to viral-, 56.0% lower, relative time 0.44, $p = 0.005$ , treatment 22, control 40.
Kokturk, 4/28/2021, retrospective, database analysis, Turkey, peer-reviewed, 68 authors.	risk of death, 3.8% higher, RR 1.04, $p = 0.97$ , treatment 62 of 1,382 (4.5%), control 5 of 118 (4.2%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Komissarov, 6/30/2020, retrospective, Russia, preprint, 8 authors.	risk of viral load, 25.0% higher, RR 1.25, <i>p</i> = 0.45, treatment 26, control 10.
Krishnan (B), 4/5/2023, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, mean age 52.8, 48 authors, study period March 2020 - March 2021.	risk of death, 40.0% lower, OR 0.60, <i>p</i> = 0.05, treatment 603, control 1,828, adjusted per study, case control OR, multivariable.
Krishnan, 7/20/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 13 authors, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 20.4% lower, RR 0.80, <i>p</i> = 0.48, treatment 86 of 144 (59.7%), control 6 of 8 (75.0%), NNT 6.5.
<i>Kuderer</i> , 5/28/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 73 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 134.2% higher, RR 2.34, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 45 of 181 (24.9%), control 76 of 747 (10.2%), odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ+AZ.
<i>Lagier</i> , 6/4/2021, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 32 authors.	risk of death, 32.0% lower, HR 0.68, <i>p</i> = 0.004, treatment 93 of 1,270 (7.3%), control 146 of 841 (17.4%), NNT 10.0, adjusted per study, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Lagier (B)</i> , 6/25/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 22 authors, dosage 200mg tid days 1-10.	risk of death, 59.0% lower, HR 0.41, <i>p</i> = 0.048, treatment 35 of 3,119 (1.1%), control 58 of 618 (9.4%), adjusted per study.
Lamback, 2/19/2021, retrospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 8.9% lower, RR 0.91, <i>p</i> = 0.83, treatment 11 of 101 (10.9%), control 11 of 92 (12.0%), NNT 94.
	risk of ICU admission, 19.9% higher, RR 1.20, <i>p</i> = 0.61, treatment 25 of 101 (24.8%), control 19 of 92 (20.7%).
Lambermont, 11/28/2020, retrospective, Belgium, peer-reviewed, 15 authors.	risk of death, 32.3% lower, RR 0.68, <i>p</i> = 0.46, treatment 97 of 225 (43.1%), control 14 of 22 (63.6%), NNT 4.9, adjusted per study.
ammers 9/29/2020 prospective Netherlands	risk of death/ICIL 32.0% lower HR 0.68 $p = 0.02$ treatment 30

peer-reviewed, 18 authors.	of 189 (15.9%), control 101 of 498 (20.3%), adjusted per study.
<i>Lano</i> , 10/21/2020, retrospective, France, peer-reviewed, median age 73.5, 30 authors.	risk of death, 33.1% lower, RR 0.67, <i>p</i> = 0.28, treatment 56, control 66, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of death/ICU, 38.9% lower, RR 0.61, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 17 of 56 (30.4%), control 28 of 66 (42.4%), NNT 8.3, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of death/ICU, 68.7% lower, RR 0.31, $p = 0.11$ , treatment 4 of 36 (11.1%), control 11 of 31 (35.5%), NNT 4.1, not requiring O2 on diagnosis (relatively early treatment).
<i>Lauriola</i> , 9/14/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, mean age 71.8, 10 authors.	risk of death, 73.5% lower, HR 0.27, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 102 of 297 (34.3%), control 35 of 63 (55.6%), NNT 4.7, adjusted per study.
<i>Lavilla Olleros</i> , 1/21/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 22 authors.	risk of death, 36.2% lower, RR 0.64, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 2,285 of 12,772 (17.9%), control 774 of 2,149 (36.0%), NNT 5.5, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
<i>Lecronier</i> , 7/11/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, baseline oxygen required 100.0%, 26 authors, HCQ vs. control, excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.	risk of death, 42.0% lower, RR 0.58, <i>p</i> = 0.24, treatment 9 of 38 (23.7%), control 9 of 22 (40.9%), NNT 5.8.
	risk of treatment escalation, 6.0% lower, RR 0.94, $p = 0.73$ , treatment 15 of 38 (39.5%), control 9 of 22 (40.9%), NNT 70.
	risk of viral+ at day 7, 15.0% lower, RR 0.85, <i>p</i> = 0.61, treatment 19 of 26 (73.1%), control 12 of 14 (85.7%), NNT 7.9.
<i>Levi</i> , 12/11/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04355052 (history) (COSTA).	Estimated 250 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Li (B)</i> , 1/18/2021, retrospective, China, peer-reviewed, 21 authors.	risk of no hospital discharge, 50.0% lower, HR 0.50, $p = 0.09$ , treatment 14, control 14, RCT patients vs. matched sample of non-treated patients.
<i>Li (C)</i> , 1/12/2021, retrospective, database analysis, China, preprint, 5 authors.	time to viral-, 40.0% higher, relative time 1.40, <i>p</i> = 0.06, treatment 18, control 19.
<i>Lora-Tamayo</i> , 2/11/2021, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of death, 50.5% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 7,192, control 1,361, odds ratio converted to relative risk, univariate, control prevalence approximated with overall prevalence.
<i>Lotfy</i> , 1/1/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer- reviewed, mean age 55.0, 3 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 24.8% higher, RR 1.25, <i>p</i> = 0.76, treatment 6 of 99 (6.1%), control 5 of 103 (4.9%).
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 41.2% higher, RR 1.41, $p = 0.34$ , treatment 19 of 99 (19.2%), control 14 of 103 (13.6%).
	risk of ICU admission, 16.5% higher, RR 1.17, <i>p</i> = 0.53, treatment 28 of 99 (28.3%), control 25 of 103 (24.3%).

<i>Luo</i> , 6/17/2020, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 31 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 2.2% higher, RR 1.02, <i>p</i> = 0.99, treatment 11 of 35 (31.4%), control 4 of 13 (30.8%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Luo (B)</i> , 5/21/2020, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 9 authors.	risk of death, 32.4% lower, OR 0.68, <i>p</i> = 0.72, treatment 19, control 264, inverted to make OR<1 favor treatment, multivariate, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Lyashchenko</i> , 8/12/2022, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, study period March 2020 - June 2020, average treatment delay 9.5 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 47.7% higher, RR 1.48, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 389 of 1,419 (27.4%), control 341 of 1,837 (18.6%).
<i>Lyngbakken</i> , 7/17/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, Norway, peer-reviewed, median age 62.0, 11 authors, average treatment delay 8.0 days, trial NCT04316377 (history).	risk of death, 3.7% lower, RR 0.96, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 1 of 27 (3.7%), control 1 of 26 (3.8%), NNT 702.
	improvement in viral load reduction rate, 71.0% lower, relative rate 0.29, $p = 0.51$ , treatment 27, control 26.
<i>López</i> , 11/2/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of progression, 64.3% lower, RR 0.36, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 5 of 36 (13.9%), control 14 of 36 (38.9%), NNT 4.0.
<i>Magagnoli</i> , 4/21/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of death, 11.0% lower, HR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 0.74, treatment 39 of 148 (26.4%), control 18 of 163 (11.0%), adjusted per study, HCQ+AZ w/dispositions.
	risk of death, 1.0% lower, HR 0.99, $p = 0.98$ , treatment 30 of 114 (26.3%), control 18 of 163 (11.0%), adjusted per study, HCQ w/dispositions.
	risk of death, 31.0% higher, HR 1.31, <i>p</i> = 0.28, treatment 49 of 214 (22.9%), control 37 of 395 (9.4%), adjusted per study, HCQ+AZ.
	risk of death, 83.0% higher, HR 1.83, $p = 0.009$ , treatment 38 of 198 (19.2%), control 37 of 395 (9.4%), adjusted per study, HCQ.
<i>Mahale</i> , 12/31/2020, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 22 authors, study period 22 March, 2020 - 21 May, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 28.7% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.36, treatment 25 of 102 (24.5%), control 11 of 32 (34.4%), NNT 10.
<i>Mahévas</i> , 5/14/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 34 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of death, 20.0% higher, HR 1.20, <i>p</i> = 0.75, treatment 9 of 84 (10.7%), control 8 of 89 (9.0%), adjusted per study.
<i>Maldonado</i> , 11/5/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: treatment or control group size extremely small.	risk of death, 90.9% lower, RR 0.09, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 1 of 11 (9.1%), control 1 of 1 (100.0%), NNT 1.1.
<i>Mallat</i> , 5/2/2020, retrospective, United Arab Emirates, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, average treatment delay 4.0 days.	time to viral-, 203.0% higher, relative time 3.03, $p = 0.02$ , treatment 23, control 11, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment.

<i>Malundo</i> , 7/14/2022, retrospective, Philippines, peer-reviewed, 16 authors, study period 12 March, 2021 - 9 September, 2021, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 24.4% higher, RR 1.24, <i>p</i> = 0.32, treatment 20 of 90 (22.2%), control 201 of 1,125 (17.9%).
<i>Martin-Vicente</i> , 3/8/2021, retrospective, Spain, preprint, 38 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; treatment or control group size extremely small.	risk of death, 59.3% lower, RR 0.41, <i>p</i> = 0.41, treatment 37 of 91 (40.7%), control 1 of 1 (100.0%), NNT 1.7.
<i>Martinez-Lopez</i> , 6/30/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, median age 71.0, 25 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 33.0% lower, RR 0.67, <i>p</i> = 0.20, treatment 47 of 148 (31.8%), control 9 of 19 (47.4%), NNT 6.4.
<i>Matangila</i> , 12/18/2020, retrospective, DR Congo, peer-reviewed, median age 54.0, 12 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of death, 54.9% lower, RR 0.45, $p = 0.21$ , treatment 25 of 147 (17.0%), control 8 of 13 (61.5%), NNT 2.2, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>McGrail</i> , 7/19/2020, retrospective, USA, preprint, 2 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 70.0% higher, RR 1.70, <i>p</i> = 0.69, treatment 4 of 33 (12.1%), control 3 of 42 (7.1%).
<i>Meeus (B)</i> , 9/30/2023, retrospective, Belgium, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, study period 16 March, 2020 - 20 May, 2020, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with AZ) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 36.5% lower, RR 0.64, <i>p</i> = 0.005, treatment 59 of 352 (16.8%), control 916 of 3,533 (25.9%), NNT 11, adjusted per study, MI model.
<i>Mehrizi</i> , 12/18/2023, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, study period 1 February, 2020 - 20 March, 2022.	risk of death, 26.0% lower, OR 0.74, <i>p</i> < 0.001, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Membrillo de Novales</i> , 5/5/2020, retrospective, Spain, preprint, 19 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of death, 55.1% lower, RR 0.45, <i>p</i> = 0.002, treatment 27 of 123 (22.0%), control 21 of 43 (48.8%), NNT 3.7.
<i>Menardi</i> , 9/30/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 35.2% lower, RR 0.65, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 32 of 200 (16.0%), control 19 of 77 (24.7%), NNT 12.
<i>Mežnar</i> , 7/31/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04355026 (history).	Estimated 90 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Mikami</i> , 6/30/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of death, 47.0% lower, HR 0.53, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 575 of 2,077 (27.7%), control 231 of 743 (31.1%), adjusted per study.
<i>Modrák</i> , 12/4/2020, retrospective, Czech Republic, preprint, 27 authors.	risk of death, 59.0% lower, RR 0.41, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 108, control 105, Cox (single).
<i>Mohandas</i> , 4/26/2021, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; unadjusted results with no group details; substantial confounding by time likely due	risk of death, 81.0% higher, RR 1.81, <i>p</i> = 0.007, treatment 27 of 384 (7.0%), control 115 of 2,961 (3.9%).

to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	
<i>Mordmüller</i> , 2/26/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04342221 (history).	30 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Mulhem</i> , 4/7/2021, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 3 authors, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 28.3% higher, RR 1.28, $p = 0.10$ , treatment 435 of 2,496 (17.4%), control 81 of 723 (11.2%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, logistic regression.
<i>Nachega</i> , 10/2/2020, retrospective, database analysis, DR Congo, peer-reviewed, median age 46.0, 25 authors.	risk of death, 27.6% lower, RR 0.72, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 69 of 630 (11.0%), control 28 of 96 (29.2%), NNT 5.5, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of no improvement, 25.8% better, RR 0.74, $p$ = 0.13, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Naseem</i> , 12/14/2020, retrospective, Pakistan, preprint, 5 authors.	risk of death, 33.3% lower, RR 0.67, <i>p</i> = 0.34, treatment 77, control 1,137, multivariate Cox.
<i>Niwas</i> , 11/1/2020, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, mean age 45.5, 17 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadiusted	recovery time, 29.2% lower, relative time 0.71, $p = 0.008$ , treatment mean 6.3 (±2.7) n=12, control mean 8.9 (±2.2) n=17.
differences between groups.	risk of no viral clearance, 183.3% higher, RR 2.83, <i>p</i> = 0.55, treatment 2 of 12 (16.7%), control 1 of 17 (5.9%).
<i>Novartis</i> , 7/27/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, USA, preprint, 1 author, trial NCT04358081 (history).	risk of no hospital discharge, 70.6% lower, RR 0.29, $p = 0.42$ , treatment 0 of 7 (0.0%), control 1 of 5 (20.0%), NNT 5.0, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), day 15.
	risk of no improvement, 70.6% lower, RR 0.29, $p = 0.42$ , treatment 0 of 7 (0.0%), control 1 of 5 (20.0%), NNT 5.0, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), clinical response, day 15.
	risk of no viral clearance, 78.6% higher, RR 1.79, $p = 0.56$ , treatment 5 of 7 (71.4%), control 2 of 5 (40.0%), day 10.
<i>Núñez-Gil</i> , 9/9/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 32 authors.	risk of death, 53.0% lower, OR 0.47, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 581, control 581, propensity score matching, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Núñez-Gil (B)</i> , 11/9/2020, retrospective, database analysis, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, median age 68.0, 49 authors.	risk of death, 7.9% lower, RR 0.92, $p = 0.005$ , treatment 200 of 686 (29.2%), control 100 of 268 (37.3%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.

<i>Omma</i> , 1/31/2022, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 11 authors, study period 1 April, 2020 - 31 December, 2020.	risk of death, 28.2% lower, RR 0.72, <i>p</i> = 0.30, treatment 17 of 213 (8.0%), control 20 of 180 (11.1%), NNT 32.
	risk of ICU admission, 50.2% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> = 0.004, treatment 23 of 213 (10.8%), control 39 of 180 (21.7%), NNT 9.2.
	hospitalization time, 16.7% lower, relative time 0.83, $p = 0.007$ , treatment 213, control 180.
<i>Orioli</i> , 12/14/2020, retrospective, Belgium, peer-reviewed, 9 authors.	risk of death, 12.7% lower, RR 0.87, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 8 of 55 (14.5%), control 3 of 18 (16.7%), NNT 47.
<i>Osawa</i> , 7/1/2022, retrospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, mean age 62.7, 2 authors, study period 18 March, 2020 - 26 October, 2020.	risk of death, 28.6% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.07, treatment 25 of 71 (35.2%), control 71 of 144 (49.3%), NNT 7.1.
<i>Ouedraogo</i> , 2/5/2021, retrospective, Burkina Faso, peer-reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of death, 33.0% lower, HR 0.67, <i>p</i> = 0.38, treatment 397, control 59, multivariate.
	risk of ARDS, 68.0% lower, OR 0.32, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 397, control 59, multivariate, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Ozturk</i> , 12/4/2020, retrospective, Turkey, peer-reviewed, 71 authors.	risk of death, 43.9% lower, RR 0.56, <i>p</i> = 0.14, treatment 165 of 1,127 (14.6%), control 6 of 23 (26.1%), NNT 8.7, CQ/HCQ.
<i>Pablos</i> , 8/12/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, mean age 63.0, 15 authors.	risk of severe case, 126.0% higher, OR 2.26, <i>p</i> = 0.002, treatment 172, control 56, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Paccoud</i> , 6/18/2020, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 20 authors.	risk of death, 11.0% lower, HR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 0.88, treatment 21 of 38 (55.3%), control 26 of 46 (56.5%), NNT 79, adjusted per study.
Panda, 9/30/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, India, peer-reviewed, 13 authors, study period June 2020 - May 2021, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with ribavirin) - results of individual treatments may vary, trial CTRI/2020/06/025575.	risk of death, 47.5% lower, RR 0.53, <i>p</i> = 0.45, treatment 3 of 20 (15.0%), control 6 of 21 (28.6%), NNT 7.4.
<i>Pasquini</i> , 8/23/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 9 authors, average treatment delay 10.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 16.4% lower, RR 0.84, <i>p</i> = 0.34, treatment 23 of 33 (69.7%), control 15 of 18 (83.3%), NNT 7.3.
<i>Peng</i> , 12/4/2020, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 21 authors.	risk of progression, 10.8% lower, RR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 0.63, treatment 29 of 453 (6.4%), control 256 of 3,567 (7.2%), NNT 129, CQ/HCQ risk of AKI.
<i>Peters</i> , 8/15/2020, retrospective, Netherlands, peer- reviewed, 21 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 9.0% higher, HR 1.09, <i>p</i> = 0.57, treatment 419 of 1,596 (26.3%), control 53 of 353 (15.0%), adjusted per study.
<i>Pinato</i> , 8/18/2020, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 72 authors.	risk of death, 59.0% lower, HR 0.41, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 30 of 182 (16.5%), control 181 of 446 (40.6%), NNT 4.1.

<i>Psevdos</i> , 12/31/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 3 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; no treatment details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 63.5% higher, RR 1.63, <i>p</i> = 0.52, treatment 17 of 52 (32.7%), control 3 of 15 (20.0%).
<i>Purwati (B)</i> , 2/9/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Indonesia, peer-reviewed, 29 authors, study period July 2020 - August 2020.	risk of no viral clearance, 66.3% lower, RR 0.34, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 38 of 121 (31.4%), control 111 of 119 (93.3%), NNT 1.6, day 7.
<i>Qin</i> , 11/23/2020, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 17 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 34.3% lower, RR 0.66, <i>p</i> = 0.61, treatment 3 of 43 (7.0%), control 75 of 706 (10.6%), NNT 27.
Ramírez-García, 5/31/2021, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion	risk of death, 67.0% lower, RR 0.33, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 48 of 350 (13.7%), control 22 of 53 (41.5%), NNT 3.6.
between groups; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of ICU admission, 6.0% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 35 of 350 (10.0%), control 5 of 53 (9.4%).
RECOVERY Collaborative Group, 6/5/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, United Kingdom, preprint, baseline oxygen required 76.8%, 29 authors, study period 25 March, 2020 - 5 June, 2020, average treatment delay 9.0 days, trial NCT04381936 (history) (RECOVERY), excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive dosage in late stage patients, results do not apply to typical dosages.	risk of death, 9.0% higher, RR 1.09, <i>p</i> = 0.15, treatment 421 of 1,561 (27.0%), control 790 of 3,155 (25.0%).
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 15.0% higher, RR 1.15, $p = 0.19$ , treatment 128 of 1,300 (9.8%), control 225 of 2,623 (8.6%).
<i>Reis</i> , 4/22/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Brazil, peer-reviewed, 18 authors, study period 2 June, 2020 - 30 September, 2020, dosage 800mg day 1, 400mg days 2-10, trial NCT04403100 (history) (TOGETHER).	risk of death, 66.0% lower, RR 0.34, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 214 (0.0%), control 1 of 227 (0.4%), NNT 227, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of hospitalization, 24.0% lower, HR 0.76, $p$ = 0.57, treatment 8 of 214 (3.7%), control 11 of 227 (4.8%), NNT 90, ITT, Cox proportional hazards.
	risk of no viral clearance, 4.1% lower, RR 0.96, $p = 0.10$ , treatment 97 of 185 (52.4%), control 102 of 179 (57.0%), NNT 22, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, ITT, mixed-effect logistic model.
<i>Rivera</i> , 7/22/2020, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 45 authors.	risk of death, 2.4% higher, RR 1.02, $p = 0.92$ , treatment 44 of 179 (24.6%), control 59 of 327 (18.0%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Rivera-Izquierdo</i> , 7/9/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 21 authors.	risk of death, 19.0% lower, RR 0.81, <i>p</i> = 0.75, treatment 215, control 23.
<i>Rodriguez</i> , 11/9/2020, prospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 13 authors, average treatment delay 8.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 59.0% lower, RR 0.41, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 8 of 39 (20.5%), control 2 of 4 (50.0%), NNT 3.4.

<i>Rodriguez-Gonzalez</i> , 11/28/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 20 authors, average treatment delay 6.0 days.	risk of death, 22.8% lower, RR 0.77, <i>p</i> = 0.26, treatment 251 of 1,148 (21.9%), control 17 of 60 (28.3%), NNT 15.
<i>Rodriguez-Nava</i> , 11/5/2020, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, median age 68.0, 8 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; excessive unadjusted differences between groups; unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 6.3% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 0.77, treatment 22 of 65 (33.8%), control 79 of 248 (31.9%), unadjusted.
<i>Rogado</i> , 5/29/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 9 authors.	risk of death, 91.6% lower, RR 0.08, $p = 0.02$ , treatment 1 of 8 (12.5%), control 7 of 9 (77.8%), NNT 1.5, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate logistic regression.
<i>Roger</i> , 7/10/2021, prospective, France, peer- reviewed, 34 authors, average treatment delay 8.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, no change, RR 1.00, <i>p</i> = 0.94, treatment 53 of 289 (18.3%), control 120 of 677 (17.7%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Roig</i> , 1/31/2021, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 15.6% lower, RR 0.84, <i>p</i> = 0.76, treatment 33 of 67 (49.3%), control 7 of 12 (58.3%), NNT 11.
<i>Roomi</i> , 8/13/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 11 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 37.7% higher, RR 1.38, $p = 0.54$ , treatment 13 of 144 (9.0%), control 6 of 32 (18.8%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Rosenberg</i> , 5/11/2020, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 14 authors.	risk of death, 35.0% higher, HR 1.35, <i>p</i> = 0.31, treatment 189 of 735 (25.7%), control 28 of 221 (12.7%), adjusted per study.
<i>Rosenthal</i> , 12/10/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 5 authors, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.	risk of death, 8.0% higher, OR 1.08, <i>p</i> = 0.13, adjusted per study, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Rouamba</i> , 2/26/2022, retrospective, Burkina Faso, peer-reviewed, mean age 42.2, 17 authors, study period 9 March, 2020 - 31 October, 2020, dosage 200mg tid days 1-10, HCQ 200mg tid daily or CQ 250mg bid daily, trial NCT04445441 (history).	risk of death, 80.0% lower, HR 0.20, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 20 of 336 (6.0%), control 24 of 73 (32.9%), NNT 3.7, adjusted per study, inpatients, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards.
	risk of progression, 20.0% lower, HR 0.80, $p = 0.43$ , treatment 75 of 745 (10.1%), control 19 of 118 (16.1%), adjusted per study, all patients, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards.
	risk of progression, 7.0% higher, HR 1.07, $p = 0.83$ , treatment 52 of 347 (15.0%), control 15 of 85 (17.6%), adjusted per study, inpatients, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards.
	time to viral clearance, 30.6% lower, HR 0.69, $p = 0.26$ , treatment 746, control 118, adjusted per study, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, all patients, propensity score matching, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards, primary outcome.

	time to viral clearance, 13.0% lower, HR 0.87, $p = 0.29$ , treatment 746, control 118, adjusted per study, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, all patients, without PSM, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards, primary outcome.
	time to viral clearance, 13.8% lower, HR 0.86, <i>p</i> = 0.37, treatment 345, control 86, adjusted per study, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment, inpatients, multivariable, Cox proportional hazards, primary outcome.
<i>Rubio-Sánchez</i> , 3/3/2021, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 3 authors, study period 14 March, 2020 - 5 June, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of severe case, 40.0% lower, RR 0.60, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 51 of 161 (31.7%), control 19 of 36 (52.8%), NNT 4.7.
<i>Réa-Neto</i> , 4/27/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Brazil, peer-reviewed, 6 authors, study period 16	risk of death, 57.0% higher, RR 1.57, <i>p</i> = 0.20, treatment 16 of 53 (30.2%), control 10 of 52 (19.2%).
delay 8.0 days, trial NCT04420247 (history).	risk of mechanical ventilation, 115.0% higher, RR 2.15, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 53, control 52.
	9-point scale clinical status, 147.0% higher, OR 2.47, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 53, control 52, RR approximated with OR.
Saib, 6/9/2021, prospective, propensity score matching, France, peer-reviewed, 9 authors, average treatment delay 7.2 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death/intubation, 125.0% higher, RR 2.25, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 9 of 52 (17.3%), control 4 of 52 (7.7%), PSM.
Said, 5/1/2023, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer- reviewed, 12 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 77.5% lower, RR 0.22, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 14 of 435 (3.2%), control 58 of 405 (14.3%), NNT 9.0.
Salazar, 11/4/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 19 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 37.0% higher, RR 1.37, <i>p</i> = 0.28, treatment 12 of 92 (13.0%), control 80 of 811 (9.9%).
<i>Saleemi</i> , 8/11/2020, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, preprint, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	median time to PCR-, 21.0% higher, relative time 1.21, $p < 0.05$ , treatment 65, control 20.
Salehi, 3/11/2022, retrospective, Iran, preprint, mean age 62.0, 11 authors, study period April 2021 - September 2021, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 14.5% higher, RR 1.14, <i>p</i> = 0.44, treatment 53 of 86 (61.6%), control 21 of 39 (53.8%).
<i>Salvador</i> , 3/4/2021, prospective, Portugal, peer- reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of death, 32.9% lower, RR 0.67, $p = 0.10$ , treatment 28 of 121 (23.1%), control 58 of 124 (46.8%), NNT 4.2, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 447.8% higher, RR 5.48, <i>p</i> = 0.003, treatment 32 of 121 (26.4%), control 12 of 124 (9.7%),

	odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate.
	risk of death/intubation, 16.7% lower, RR 0.83, $p$ = 0.21, treatment 51 of 121 (42.1%), control 63 of 124 (50.8%), NNT 12, odds ratio converted to relative risk, univariate.
Sammartino, 5/10/2021, retrospective, propensity score matching, USA, peer-reviewed, 7 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 240.0% higher, OR 3.40, <i>p</i> = 0.002, treatment 137 control 191, PSM, model 1a, RR approximated with OR.
Sands, 1/1/2021, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 10 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 69.9% higher, RR 1.70, $p = 0.01$ , treatment 101 of 973 (10.4%), control 56 of 696 (8.0%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Santos, 7/27/2020, prospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 78.4, mean age 75.3, 6 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 1 June, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses; unadjusted	risk of death, 9.7% lower, RR 0.90, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 8 of 31 (25.8%), control 2 of 7 (28.6%), NNT 36, HCQ, late treatment result.
results with no group details.	risk of death, 50.8% lower, RR 0.49, <i>p</i> = 0.65, treatment 1 of 7 (14.3%), control 9 of 31 (29.0%), NNT 6.8, CQ, late treatment result.
Sarfaraz, 1/2/2021, retrospective, Pakistan, preprint, 7 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; significant unadjusted confounding possible; unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 45.0% higher, RR 1.45, <i>p</i> = 0.07, treatment 40 of 94 (42.6%), control 27 of 92 (29.3%).
Sarhan, 11/2/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Egypt, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, study period 1 October, 2020 - 10 March, 2021, this trial compares	risk of death, 25.7% lower, RR 0.74, <i>p</i> = 0.39, treatment 12 of 56 (21.4%), control 15 of 52 (28.8%), NNT 13.
with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04779047 (history), excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline; significant unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of no hospital discharge, 25.7% lower, RR 0.74, <i>p</i> = 0.39, treatment 12 of 56 (21.4%), control 15 of 52 (28.8%), NNT 13.
	hospitalization time, 25.0% higher, relative time 1.25, $p = 0.06$ , treatment 56, control 52.
<i>Sbidian</i> , 6/19/2020, retrospective, database analysis, France, preprint, 21 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: significant issues found with adjustments.	risk of death, 5.0% higher, RR 1.05, $p = 0.74$ , treatment 111 of 623 (17.8%), control 830 of 3,792 (21.9%), adjusted per study, whole population HCQ AIPTW adjusted.
	risk of no hospital discharge, 20.0% lower, RR 0.80, $p = 0.002$ , treatment 623, control 3,792, adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, whole population HCQ AIPTW adjusted.

Schmidt, 11/12/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 42 authors, study period 17 March, 2020 - 11 February, 2021, excluded in exclusion analyses: confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.	risk of death, 333.0% higher, OR 4.33, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 70, control 407, adjusted per study, propensity score matching, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of severe case, 613.0% higher, OR 7.13, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 70, control 407, adjusted per study, propensity score matching, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
Schwartz, 6/18/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Canada, peer-reviewed, 20 authors, study period April 2020 - September 2020, average treatment delay 7.0 days, dosage 800mg	risk of ICU admission, 133.3% higher, RR 2.33, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 111 (0.9%), control 0 of 37 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of hospitalization, 533.3% higher, RR 6.33, $p = 0.57$ , treatment 4 of 111 (3.6%), control 0 of 37 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of ICU admission, 141.9% higher, RR 2.42, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 74 (1.4%), control 0 of 31 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), per-protocol.
	risk of hospitalization, 141.9% higher, RR 2.42, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 74 (1.4%), control 0 of 31 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), per-protocol.
	lack of improvement ≥1 year, 37.0% lower, OR 0.63, $p = 0.15$ , treatment 90, control 89, day 365, RR approximated with OR.
	persistence ≥1 year, 14.0% lower, OR 0.86, $p = 0.16$ , treatment 90, control 89, day 365, RR approximated with OR.
	presence of symptoms, 19.0% lower, OR 0.81, $p = 0.37$ , treatment 90, control 89, RR approximated with OR.
	ongoing symptoms, 27.8% higher, RR 1.28, <i>p</i> = 0.64, treatment 23 of 111 (20.7%), control 6 of 37 (16.2%), day 30.
<i>Self</i> , 11/9/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 33 authors, study period 2 April, 2020 - 19 June, 2020, average treatment delay 5.0 days, trial NCT04332991 (history) (ORCHID).	risk of death, 6.2% higher, RR 1.06, $p = 0.85$ , treatment 25 of 241 (10.4%), control 25 of 236 (10.6%), NNT 455, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of death, 51.0% higher, RR 1.51, <i>p</i> = 0.28, treatment 18 of 241 (7.5%), control 14 of 236 (5.9%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, day 14.
	risk of 7-point scale, 3.1% higher, OR 1.03, <i>p</i> = 0.87, treatment 241, control 236, inverted to make OR<1 favor treatment, day 28, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of 7-point scale, 2.0% lower, OR 0.98, <i>p</i> = 0.91, treatment 241, control 236, inverted to make OR<1 favor treatment, day 14, RR approximated with OR.

	risk of 7-point scale, 39.0% lower, OR 0.61, $p = 0.09$ , treatment 241, control 236, inverted to make OR<1 favor treatment, subgroup not on oxygen at baseline, day 14, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Serrano</i> , 9/22/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 8 authors.	risk of death, 43.0% lower, RR 0.57, <i>p</i> = 0.14, treatment 6 of 14 (42.9%), control 6 of 8 (75.0%), NNT 3.1.
<i>Shabrawishi</i> , 5/11/2020, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, preprint, mean age 43.9, 5 authors.	risk of no virological cure at day 5, 14.7% lower, RR 0.85, $p = 0.66$ , treatment 12 of 45 (26.7%), control 15 of 48 (31.2%), NNT 22.
Shamsi, 7/17/2023, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 4 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 1 August, 2021, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 39.1% higher, RR 1.39, <i>p</i> = 0.51, treatment 4 of 23 (17.4%), control 20 of 160 (12.5%).
<i>Sheshah</i> , 11/13/2020, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 8 authors.	risk of death, 80.0% lower, RR 0.20, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 267, control 33, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Shoaibi, 9/24/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, preprint, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 15.4% lower, RR 0.85, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 686 of 5,047 (13.6%), control 3,923 of 24,404 (16.1%), NNT 40.
<i>Signes-Costa</i> , 12/16/2020, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 28 authors.	risk of death, 47.0% lower, RR 0.53, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 4,854, control 993, adjusted per study.
<i>Silva</i> , 5/20/2022, retrospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, mean age 58.4, 28 authors, study period 25 March, 2020 - 21 October, 2020.	risk of death, 46.1% higher, RR 1.46, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 21, control 374, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable, control prevalance approximated with overall prevalence.
<i>Singh (C)</i> , 6/8/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, India, preprint, 13 authors, study period March 2020 - October 2020, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with ribavirin) - results of individual treatments may vary.	risk of death, 47.5% lower, RR 0.53, <i>p</i> = 0.45, treatment 3 of 20 (15.0%), control 6 of 21 (28.6%), NNT 7.4, severe.
	risk of death, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> = 0.48, treatment 3 of 37 (8.1%), control 6 of 37 (16.2%), NNT 12, all patients.
	risk of no recovery, 14.1% lower, RR 0.86, <i>p</i> = 0.76, treatment 9 of 20 (45.0%), control 11 of 21 (52.4%), NNT 14, severe.
	risk of no recovery, 8.3% lower, RR 0.92, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 11 of 37 (29.7%), control 12 of 37 (32.4%), NNT 37, all patients.
Singh, 5/19/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, preprint, 4 authors, excluded in exclusion	risk of death, 5.0% lower, RR 0.95, <i>p</i> = 0.72, treatment 104 of 910 (11.4%), control 109 of 910 (12.0%), NNT 182.
adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 19.0% lower, RR 0.81, $p = 0.26$ , treatment 46 of 910 (5.1%), control 57 of 910 (6.3%), NNT 83.

<i>Sivapalan</i> , 6/3/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Denmark, peer-reviewed, 32 authors, study period 6 April, 2020 - 21 December, 2020, average treatment delay 8.0 days, trial NCT04322396 (history) (ProPAC-COVID).	risk of death, 92.0% lower, RR 0.08, $p = 0.32$ , treatment 1 of 61 (1.6%), control 2 of 56 (3.6%), adjusted per study.
	risk of ICU admission, 22.4% higher, RR 1.22, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 4 of 61 (6.6%), control 3 of 56 (5.4%).
	relative days alive and discharged from hospital within 14 days (inverse), 8.4% worse, RR 1.08, <i>p</i> = 0.36, treatment 61, control 56, adjusted per study.
<i>Smith</i> , 5/31/2021, retrospective, USA, preprint, 4 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: immortal time bias may significantly affect results.	risk of death, 27.2% lower, RR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.002, treatment 19 of 37 (51.4%), control 182 of 218 (83.5%), NNT 3.1, odds ratio converted to relative risk, >3g HCQ and >1g AZ, multivariable cox proportional hazard regression.
<i>Solh</i> , 10/20/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, preprint, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 18.0% higher, HR 1.18, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 131 of 265 (49.4%), control 134 of 378 (35.4%), adjusted per study.
SOLIDARITY Trial Consortium, 10/15/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, baseline oxygen required 64.0%, 15 authors, study period 22 March, 2020 - 4 October, 2020, trial NCT04315948 (history) (SOLIDARITY), excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive dosage in late stage patients, results do not apply to typical dosages; very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.	risk of death, 19.0% higher, RR 1.19, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 104 of 947 (11.0%), control 84 of 906 (9.3%).
<i>Sosa-García</i> , 6/29/2020, retrospective, Mexico, peer-reviewed, baseline oxygen required 100.0%, 6 authors, average treatment delay 9.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 10.5% higher, RR 1.11, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 7 of 38 (18.4%), control 3 of 18 (16.7%).
<i>Soto</i> , 3/2/2022, retrospective, Peru, peer-reviewed, median age 58.0, 10 authors, study period April 2020 - August 2020, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time possible due to significant changes in SOC and treatment propensity near the start of the pandemic.	risk of death, 6.0% higher, HR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 0.46, treatment 292 of 590 (49.5%), control 362 of 828 (43.7%), Cox proportional hazards.
<i>Soto-Becerra</i> , 10/8/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Peru, preprint, median age 59.4, 4 authors, study period 1 April, 2020 - 19 July, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of death, 18.1% lower, HR 0.82, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 346 of 692 (50.0%), control 1,606 of 2,630 (61.1%), NNT 9.0, day 54 (last day available) weighted KM.
	risk of death, 84.0% higher, HR 1.84, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 165 of 692 (23.8%), control 401 of 2,630 (15.2%), adjusted per study, day 30.

<i>Souza-Silva</i> , 9/30/2023, retrospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, median age 60.0, 29 authors, study period March 2020 - September 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; authors discussion of prior research exhibits strong bias, raising concern for bias in analysis.	risk of death, 5.5% higher, RR 1.05, <i>p</i> = 0.68, treatment 135 of 673 (20.1%), control 128 of 673 (19.0%).
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 21.1% higher, RR 1.21, <i>p</i> = 0.08, treatment 145 of 538 (27.0%), control 120 of 539 (22.3%).
	risk of ICU admission, 9.5% higher, RR 1.09, <i>p</i> = 0.31, treatment 196 of 559 (35.1%), control 179 of 559 (32.0%).
	hospitalization time, 12.5% higher, relative time 1.12, $p = 0.03$ , treatment median 9.0 IQR 13.0 n=673, control median 8.0 IQR 10.0 n=673.
Spivak, 3/2/2023, Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, USA, peer-reviewed, mean age	risk of hospitalization, 72.7% higher, RR 1.73, <i>p</i> = 0.54, treatment 7 of 152 (4.6%), control 4 of 150 (2.7%), day 28.
2021, dosage 800mg day 1, 400mg days 2-5, trial NCT04342169 (history).	symptom score difference, 20.4% lower, RR 0.80, $p = 0.19$ , treatment 167, control 165, adjusted per study, adjusted symptom score difference relative to placebo score.
	viral shedding, 17.4% lower, HR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 0.19, treatment 185, control 182, inverted to make HR<1 favor treatment.
Stewart, 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of death, 18.0% higher, RR 1.18, <i>p</i> = 0.27, treatment 90 of 429 (21.0%), control 141 of 737 (19.1%), adjusted per study, VA, HCQ+AZ.
Stewart (B), 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 29.0% higher, RR 1.29, <i>p</i> = 0.09, treatment 48 of 305 (15.7%), control 95 of 1,302 (7.3%), adjusted per study, Aetion, HCQ.
Stewart (C), 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of death, 16.0% higher, RR 1.16, <i>p</i> = 0.26, treatment 428 of 1,711 (25.0%), control 123 of 688 (17.9%), adjusted per study, COTA/HMH, HCQ+AZ.
Stewart (D), 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely: substantial confounding by time	risk of death, 90.0% higher, RR 1.90, $p = 0.09$ , treatment 46 of 208 (22.1%), control 47 of 1,334 (3.5%), adjusted per study, Dascena, HCQ+AZ.

the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	
Stewart (E), 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of death, 9.0% higher, RR 1.09, <i>p</i> = 0.65, treatment 212 of 1,157 (18.3%), control 203 of 1,101 (18.4%), NNT 873, adjusted per study, Health Catalyst, HCQ+AZ.
<i>Stewart (F)</i> , 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of death, 129.9% higher, RR 2.30, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 32 of 108 (29.6%), control 33 of 256 (12.9%), Synapse, HCQ+AZ.
Stewart (G), 3/17/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 37 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; includes PCR+ patients that may be asymptomatic for COVID-19 but in hospital for other reasons.	risk of death, 1.0% lower, RR 0.99, <i>p</i> = 0.95, treatment 66 of 578 (11.4%), control 188 of 1,243 (15.1%), adjusted per study, TriNetX, HCQ+AZ.
<i>Synolaki</i> , 9/5/2020, retrospective, Greece, preprint, 20 authors.	risk of death, 23.6% lower, RR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 0.27, treatment 21 of 98 (21.4%), control 60 of 214 (28.0%), NNT 15.
<i>Sánchez-Álvarez</i> , 4/27/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Spain, peer-reviewed, mean age 67.0, 10 authors.	risk of death, 45.9% lower, RR 0.54, <i>p</i> = 0.005, treatment 322, control 53, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Taccone</i> , 12/23/2020, retrospective, Belgium, peer- reviewed, 10 authors, average treatment delay 5.0 days.	risk of death, 24.7% lower, RR 0.75, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 449 of 1,308 (34.3%), control 183 of 439 (41.7%), NNT 14, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Taieb</i> , 6/30/2021, retrospective, Senegal, peer- reviewed, 29 authors, average treatment delay 6.0 days.	risk of no hospital discharge, 38.7% lower, OR 0.61, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 674, control 252, inverted to make OR<1 favor treatment, multivariate, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Tamura</i> , 7/13/2021, retrospective, Brazil, peer- reviewed, 4 authors, study period 10 March, 2020 - 13 November, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; substantial confounding by time	risk of death, 299.0% higher, OR 3.99, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 25, control 163, adjusted per study, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.

likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	
<i>Tan</i> , 12/14/2020, retrospective, China, peer-reviewed, 7 authors.	hospitalization time, 35.2% lower, relative time 0.65, $p = 0.04$ , treatment 8, control 277.
<i>Tang</i> , 4/14/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, China, peer-reviewed, 24 authors, study period 11 February, 2020 - 19 February, 2020, average treatment delay 16.6 days.	risk of no virological cure at day 21, 21.4% lower, RR 0.79, <i>p</i> = 0.51, treatment 11 of 75 (14.7%), control 14 of 75 (18.7%), NNT 25.
<i>Tehrani</i> , 10/30/2020, retrospective, Sweden, peer- reviewed, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 13.4% lower, RR 0.87, <i>p</i> = 0.63, treatment 16 of 65 (24.6%), control 54 of 190 (28.4%), NNT 26.
<i>Texeira</i> , 12/31/2020, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details; no treatment details; substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 79.3% higher, RR 1.79, <i>p</i> = 0.10, treatment 17 of 65 (26.2%), control 14 of 96 (14.6%).
<i>Trullàs</i> , 7/14/2020, retrospective, Spain, preprint, median age 75.0, 8 authors, average treatment delay 9.0 days.	risk of death, 35.6% lower, RR 0.64, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 20 of 66 (30.3%), control 16 of 34 (47.1%), NNT 6.0.
<i>Tsanovska</i> , 3/3/2022, prospective, Bulgaria, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, study period 6 November, 2020 - 28 December, 2020.	risk of death, 57.9% lower, RR 0.42, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 8 of 70 (11.4%), control 19 of 70 (27.1%), NNT 6.4, propensity score matching.
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 73.9% lower, RR 0.26, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 6 of 70 (8.6%), control 23 of 70 (32.9%), NNT 4.1, propensity score matching.
	risk of ICU admission, 70.4% lower, RR 0.30, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 8 of 70 (11.4%), control 27 of 70 (38.6%), NNT 3.7, propensity score matching.
<i>Tu</i> , 1/13/2022, retrospective, Sierra Leone, peer- reviewed, 11 authors, study period 31 March, 2020 - 11 August, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 17.2% lower, RR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 0.81, treatment 6 of 37 (16.2%), control 28 of 143 (19.6%), NNT 30.
<i>Turrini</i> , 6/11/2021, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, 16 authors.	risk of death, 9.8% lower, RR 0.90, $p = 0.15$ , treatment 103 of 160 (64.4%), control 33 of 45 (73.3%), NNT 11, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate.
<i>Ubaldo</i> , 2/1/2021, retrospective, Philippines, peer- reviewed, 3 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely; very late stage, ICU patients; unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 18.4% lower, RR 0.82, <i>p</i> = 0.64, treatment 17 of 25 (68.0%), control 5 of 6 (83.3%), NNT 6.5, COVID-19 positive patients.

<i>Ulrich</i> , 9/23/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, baseline oxygen required 63.3%, mean age 66.2, 18 authors, study period 17 April, 2020 - 12 May, 2020, average treatment delay 7.0 days, trial NCT04369742 (history) (TEACH), excluded in exclusion analyses: very late stage, >50% on oxygen/ventilation at baseline.	risk of death, 6.0% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 7 of 67 (10.4%), control 6 of 61 (9.8%).
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 51.7% higher, RR 1.52, $p = 0.72$ , treatment 5 of 67 (7.5%), control 3 of 61 (4.9%).
	risk of ICU admission, 173.1% higher, RR 2.73, <i>p</i> = 0.13, treatment 9 of 67 (13.4%), control 3 of 61 (4.9%).
<i>Uyaroğlu</i> , 3/17/2022, retrospective, propensity score matching, Turkey, peer-reviewed, 6 authors, study period 20 March, 2020 - 30 September, 2020, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo.	risk of death, 200.0% higher, RR 3.00, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 42 (2.4%), control 0 of 42 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of ICU admission, 66.7% lower, RR 0.33, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 42 (0.0%), control 1 of 42 (2.4%), NNT 42, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	hospitalization time, 9.8% lower, relative time 0.90, $p = 0.90$ , treatment 42, control 42.
<i>Uygen</i> , 9/15/2021, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 4 authors.	time to viral-, 12.2% lower, relative time 0.88, $p = 0.05$ , treatment 15, control 25.
<i>van Halem</i> , 11/27/2020, retrospective, Belgium, peer-reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of death, 31.6% lower, RR 0.68, <i>p</i> = 0.05, treatment 34 of 164 (20.7%), control 47 of 155 (30.3%), NNT 10.
<i>Vernaz</i> , 12/31/2020, retrospective, propensity score matching, Switzerland, peer-reviewed, 15 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically; substantial unadjusted confounding by indication likely.	risk of death, 15.3% lower, RR 0.85, <i>p</i> = 0.71, treatment 12 of 93 (12.9%), control 16 of 105 (15.2%), NNT 43, HCQ vs. SOC, PSM.
	hospitalization time, 49.0% higher, relative time 1.49, $p$ = 0.002, treatment 93, control 105, HCQ vs. SOC, PSM.
Wang (C), 6/10/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, preprint, 3 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: confounding by indication is likely and adjustments do not consider COVID-19 severity at baseline.	risk of death, 5.8% lower, RR 0.94, <i>p</i> = 0.63, treatment 1,866, control 5,726, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>WellStar</i> , 12/7/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04429867 (history).	Estimated 700 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Xia</i> , 2/11/2020, retrospective, China, preprint, 1 author, excluded in exclusion analyses: minimal details provided.	risk of no viral clearance, 37.5% lower, RR 0.62, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 5 of 10 (50.0%), control 12 of 15 (80.0%), NNT 3.3.
<i>Yegerov</i> , 1/8/2021, retrospective, Kazakhstan, preprint, 8 authors, average treatment delay 1.0 days, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 95.3% lower, RR 0.05, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 23 (0.0%), control 20 of 1,049 (1.9%), NNT 52, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).

<i>Yilgwan</i> , 5/11/2023, retrospective, Nigeria, peer- reviewed, 12 authors, study period 25 February, 2020 - 30 August, 2021.	risk of death, 93.0% lower, OR 0.07, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 1,039, control 2,423, adjusted per study, RR approximated with OR.
Yu (B), 8/3/2020, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, median age 62.0, 6 authors.	risk of progression to critical, 82.5% lower, RR 0.17, $p = 0.049$ , treatment 1 of 231 (0.4%), control 32 of 1,291 (2.5%), NNT 49, baseline critical cohort reported separately in Yu et al.
	risk of death, 85.0% lower, RR 0.15, $p = 0.02$ , treatment 1 of 73 (1.4%), control 238 of 2,604 (9.1%), NNT 13, HCQ treatment started early vs. non-HCQ.
Yu (C), 5/15/2020, retrospective, China, peer-reviewed, 8 authors.	risk of death, 60.5% lower, RR 0.40, <i>p</i> = 0.002, treatment 9 of 48 (18.8%), control 238 of 502 (47.4%), NNT 3.5.
<i>Zhong Nanshan (钟南山),</i> 3/26/2020, retrospective, China, preprint, 1 author.	risk of no virological cure at day 10, 80.0% lower, RR 0.20, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 5 of 115 (4.3%), control 17 of 82 (20.7%), NNT 6.1, adjusted per study.
<i>Águila-Gordo</i> , 11/11/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, mean age 84.4, 6 authors.	risk of death, 67.0% lower, RR 0.33, <i>p</i> = 0.10, treatment 151 of 346 (43.6%), control 47 of 70 (67.1%), NNT 4.3, adjusted per study.
<i>Çivriz Bozdağ</i> , 9/15/2021, retrospective, Turkey, peer-reviewed, 64 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: substantial confounding by time likely due to declining usage over the early stages of the pandemic when overall treatment protocols improved dramatically.	risk of death, 399.2% higher, RR 4.99, <i>p</i> = 0.003, treatment 35, control 140.
<i>Çiyiltepe</i> , 4/30/2021, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: treatment group only includes patients where treatment failed resulting in ICU admission.	risk of death, 3.2% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 0.85, treatment 69 of 95 (72.6%), control 39 of 52 (75.0%), NNT 42.
<i>Ñamendys-Silva</i> , 10/21/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Mexico, peer-reviewed, mean age 57.3, 18 authors, average treatment delay 7.0 days.	risk of death, 32.3% lower, RR 0.68, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 24 of 54 (44.4%), control 42 of 64 (65.6%), NNT 4.7, HCQ+AZ vs. neither HCQ or CQ.
	risk of death, 37.1% lower, RR 0.63, <i>p</i> = 0.09, treatment 19 of 46 (41.3%), control 42 of 64 (65.6%), NNT 4.1, CQ vs. neither HCQ or CQ.
	risk of death, 34.5% lower, RR 0.66, <i>p</i> = 0.006, treatment 43 of 100 (43.0%), control 42 of 64 (65.6%), NNT 4.4, HCQ+AZ or CQ.

## Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis

Effect extraction follows pre-specified rules as detailed above and gives priority to more serious outcomes. Only the first (most serious) outcome is used in pooled analysis, which may differ from the effect a paper focuses on. Other outcomes are used in outcome specific analyses.

<i>Abella</i> , 9/30/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 18 authors, study period 9 April, 2020 - 14 July, 2020, PATCH trial.	risk of case, 5.0% lower, RR 0.95, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 4 of 64 (6.2%), control 4 of 61 (6.6%), NNT 325.
<i>Agarwal</i> , 9/14/2021, prospective, India, preprint, 17 authors.	risk of hospitalization, 94.8% lower, RR 0.05, $p = 0.61$ , treatment 0 of 29 (0.0%), control 17 of 455 (3.7%), NNT 27, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	relative severity, 26.9% better, RR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 29, control 455.
	risk of case, 4.6% higher, RR 1.05, <i>p</i> = 0.81, treatment 6 of 29 (20.7%), control 90 of 455 (19.8%).
<i>Ahmed</i> , 11/23/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of case, 99.3% lower, OR 0.007, $p = 0.08$ , treatment 0 of 50 (0.0%) cases, 13 of 50 (26.0%) controls, NNT 1.7, case control OR.
<i>Ajili</i> , 7/31/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04377646 (history) (COVID-Milit).	Estimated 660 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Alegiani</i> , 4/15/2021, retrospective, case control, database analysis, Italy, peer-reviewed, 16 authors.	risk of death, 8.0% higher, OR 1.08, $p = 0.64$ , HCQ vs. other cDMARDs, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of hospitalization, 18.0% lower, OR 0.82, $p = 0.03$ , HCQ vs. other cDMARDs, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death, 19.0% higher, OR 1.19, <i>p</i> = 0.32, HCQ vs. MTX, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of hospitalization, 12.0% lower, OR 0.88, $p$ = 0.17, HCQ vs. MTX, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Alqatari</i> , 6/1/2023, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 15 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of mechanical ventilation, 89.0% lower, RR 0.11, $p = 0.13$ , treatment 0 of 13 (0.0%), control 5 of 21 (23.8%), NNT 4.2, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of ICU admission, 64.1% lower, RR 0.36, <i>p</i> = 0.14, treatment 2 of 13 (15.4%), control 9 of 21 (42.9%), NNT 3.6.
	critical case, 64.1% lower, RR 0.36, <i>p</i> = 0.14, treatment 2 of 13 (15.4%), control 9 of 21 (42.9%), NNT 3.6.
<i>Alzahrani</i> , 4/15/2021, retrospective, Saudi Arabia, peer-reviewed, 3 authors.	risk of death, 58.7% lower, RR 0.41, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 14 (0.0%), control 1 of 33 (3.0%), NNT 33, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of mechanical ventilation, 81.0% lower, RR 0.19, $p = 0.54$ , treatment 0 of 14 (0.0%), control 3 of 33 (9.1%), NNT 11, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).

	risk of severe case, 32.7% lower, RR 0.67, <i>p</i> = 0.70, treatment 2 of 14 (14.3%), control 7 of 33 (21.2%), NNT 14.
<i>Arleo</i> , 10/27/2020, retrospective, USA, preprint, 5 authors.	risk of death, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> = 0.67, treatment 1 of 20 (5.0%), control 5 of 50 (10.0%), NNT 20, all patients.
	risk of death, 52.0% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> = 0.64, treatment 1 of 10 (10.0%), control 5 of 24 (20.8%), NNT 9.2, inpatients.
<i>Badyal</i> , 6/7/2021, prospective, India, peer-reviewed, 18 authors, study period May 2020 - September 2020.	risk of case, 60.1% lower, RR 0.40, $p < 0.001$ , treatment 247 of 617 (40.0%), control 611 of 1,473 (41.5%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, $\geq 6$ weeks.
	risk of case, 35.1% lower, RR 0.65, $p$ = 0.003, treatment 88 of 185 (47.6%), control 611 of 1,473 (41.5%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, 4-5 weeks.
	risk of case, 23.2% lower, RR 0.77, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 80 of 181 (44.2%), control 611 of 1,473 (41.5%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, 2-3 weeks.
<i>Bae</i> , 2/20/2021, retrospective, propensity score matching, South Korea, peer-reviewed, 8 authors.	risk of case, 30.3% lower, RR 0.70, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 16 of 743 (2.2%), control 91 of 2,698 (3.4%), NNT 82, odds ratio converted to relative risk, PSM.
	risk of case, 19.5% lower, RR 0.81, $p = 0.50$ , treatment 16 of 743 (2.2%), control 91 of 2,698 (3.4%), odds ratio converted to relative risk, PSM, adjusted for region.
	risk of case, 30.3% lower, RR 0.70, $p = 0.30$ , treatment 16 of 743 (2.2%), control 91 of 2,698 (3.4%), NNT 82, odds ratio converted to relative risk, PSM, adjusted for immunosuppresant use.
<i>Becetti</i> , 8/5/2022, retrospective, Qatar, peer- reviewed, mean age 43.2, 12 authors, study period 1 April, 2020 - 31 July, 2020.	risk of case, 36.8% lower, RR 0.63, <i>p</i> = 0.17, treatment 26 of 314 (8.3%), control 49 of 386 (12.7%), NNT 23, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
	risk of case, 52.0% lower, RR 0.48, $p$ < 0.001, treatment 16 of 46 (34.8%), control 29 of 40 (72.5%), NNT 2.7, patients with close contact to cases, close contact.
<i>Behera</i> , 11/3/2020, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 13 authors.	risk of case, 27.9% lower, RR 0.72, <i>p</i> = 0.29, treatment 7 of 19 (36.8%), control 179 of 353 (50.7%), NNT 7.2, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, model 2 conditional logistic regression.
	risk of case, 26.3% lower, RR 0.74, $p$ = 0.25, treatment 7 of 19 (36.8%), control 179 of 353 (50.7%), NNT 7.2, odds ratio converted to relative risk, matched pair analysis.
<i>Belmont</i> , 10/6/2021, prospective, USA, preprint, 1 author, trial NCT04354870 (history).	risk of symptomatic case, 78.6% lower, RR 0.21, $p = 0.21$ , treatment 1 of 56 (1.8%), control 2 of 24 (8.3%), NNT 15.
	risk of case, 14.3% lower, RR 0.86, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 4 of 56 (7.1%), control 2 of 24 (8.3%), NNT 84.

<i>Bhatt</i> , 8/4/2021, prospective, India, preprint, 4 authors.	risk of case, 49.3% higher, RR 1.49, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 167 of 731 (22.8%), control 30 of 196 (15.3%).
<i>Bhattacharya</i> , 6/9/2020, retrospective, India, preprint, 7 authors.	risk of case, 80.7% lower, RR 0.19, <i>p</i> = 0.001, treatment 4 of 54 (7.4%), control 20 of 52 (38.5%), NNT 3.2.
<i>Burney</i> , 10/15/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04370015 (history).	Estimated 374 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Cassione</i> , 5/12/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, survey, median age 52.5, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 49.6% higher, RR 1.50, <i>p</i> = 0.59, treatment 10 of 127 (7.9%), control 2 of 38 (5.3%).
<i>Chatterjee</i> , 5/28/2020, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, survey, 11 authors.	risk of case, 66.8% lower, RR 0.33, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 12 of 68 (17.6%), control 206 of 387 (53.2%), NNT 2.8, full course vs. unused.
<i>Chauffe</i> , 6/1/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04363450 (history) (HCQPreP).	Estimated 1,700 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
<i>Chevalier</i> , 3/22/2023, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, mean age 70.3, 24 authors.	risk of death, 34.7% lower, RR 0.65, <i>p</i> = 0.19, treatment 7 of 55 (12.7%), control 109 of 535 (20.4%), NNT 13, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of hospitalization, 19.1% lower, RR 0.81, $p$ = 0.36, treatment 15 of 116 (12.9%), control 180 of 1,097 (16.4%), NNT 29, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Chouhdari</i> , 1/21/2024, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, Iran, peer-reviewed, 14 authors, study period 20 August, 2020 - 20 October, 2020, dosage 800mg day 1, 200mg day 8, 200mg day 15, 200mg day 22, 200mg day 29, 200mg day 36, 200mg day 43, trial IRCT20200421047153N1.	risk of hospitalization, 80.1% lower, RR 0.20, $p = 0.25$ , treatment 0 of 439 (0.0%), control 2 of 432 (0.5%), NNT 216, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of case, 42.8% lower, RR 0.57, $p = 0.005$ , treatment 36 of 439 (8.2%), control 61 of 432 (14.1%), NNT 17, adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
<i>Connor</i> , 8/24/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04352946 (history) (HERO).	Estimated 374 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Cordtz</i> , 8/27/2021, retrospective, population-based cohort, Denmark, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 2 February, 2021.	risk of hospitalization, 40.0% lower, HR 0.60, <i>p</i> = 0.39, treatment 1,170, control 1,363, adjusted per study.
<i>Cordtz (B)</i> , 12/28/2020, retrospective, population- based cohort, Denmark, peer-reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of hospitalization, 24.0% lower, HR 0.76, $p = 0.67$ , treatment 3 of 2,722 (0.1%), control 38 of 26,718 (0.1%), NNT 3124, adjusted per study, time-dependent exposure model.
	risk of hospitalization, 55.0% lower, HR 0.45, $p$ = 0.28, treatment 3 of 2,722 (0.1%), control 38 of 26,718 (0.1%), adjusted per
	stuay, time-tixed exposure model.
--	--
<i>Datta</i> , 11/6/2020, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 7 authors.	risk of case, 22.1% lower, RR 0.78, <i>p</i> = 0.47, treatment 16 of 146 (11.0%), control 19 of 135 (14.1%), NNT 32.
<i>de la Iglesia</i> , 9/2/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Spain, preprint, 17 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of hospitalization, 50.0% higher, RR 1.50, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 3 of 687 (0.4%), control 2 of 688 (0.3%).
	risk of case, 42.6% higher, RR 1.43, <i>p</i> = 0.15, treatment 42 of 648 (6.5%), control 30 of 660 (4.5%), suspected COVID-19.
	risk of case, 7.8% lower, RR 0.92, <i>p</i> = 0.84, treatment 12 of 678 (1.8%), control 13 of 677 (1.9%), NNT 665, confirmed COVID-19.
<i>Desbois</i> , 7/20/2020, retrospective, France, preprint, mean age 58.8, 13 authors.	risk of case, 16.9% lower, RR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 3 of 27 (11.1%), control 23 of 172 (13.4%), NNT 44.
<i>Dev</i> , 3/24/2021, retrospective, India, peer-reviewed, 5 authors.	risk of case, 26.0% lower, RR 0.74, <i>p</i> = 0.003, treatment 260, control 499, any number of HCQ doses vs. no HCQ prophylaxis.
<i>Dulcey</i> , 5/31/2023, retrospective, Colombia, peer- reviewed, 8 authors.	risk of case, 21.0% lower, OR 0.79, <i>p</i> = 0.27, treatment 322, control 645, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Erden</i> , 1/23/2022, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 11 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 150.0% higher, RR 2.50, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 1 of 6 (16.7%), control 0 of 3 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of hospitalization, 75.0% lower, RR 0.25, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 1 of 6 (16.7%), control 2 of 3 (66.7%), NNT 2.0.
<i>Ferreira (B)</i> , 6/29/2020, retrospective, population- based cohort, database analysis, Portugal, peer- reviewed, 3 authors.	risk of case, 47.1% lower, RR 0.53, <i>p</i> < 0.001, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Ferri</i> , 8/27/2020, retrospective, Italy, peer-reviewed, survey, 29 authors.	risk of COVID-19 case, 63.0% lower, RR 0.37, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 9 of 994 (0.9%), control 16 of 647 (2.5%), NNT 64.
<i>Finkelstein</i> , 6/29/2023, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 2 authors, study period January 2020 - September 2020.	risk of case, 21.0% lower, OR 0.79, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 13,932 control 27,864, adjusted per study, propensity score matching, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Fitzgerald</i> , 2/5/2021, retrospective, USA, preprint, 34 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 8.5% lower, RR 0.91, $p = 0.54$ , treatment 65 of 1,072 (6.1%), control 200 of 3,594 (5.6%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Fung</i> , 10/1/2021, retrospective, population-based cohort, USA, peer-reviewed, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of death, 13.0% lower, HR 0.87, $p = 0.15$ , vs. past use (better match for systemic autoimmune diseases).
	risk of hospitalization, 3.0% lower, HR 0.97, $p = 0.63$ , vs. past use (better match for systemic autoimmune diseases).
	risk of case, 9.0% lower, HR 0.91, <i>p</i> = 0.02, vs. past use (better

	risk of death, 8.0% higher, HR 1.08, $p = 0.26$ , vs. never used.
	risk of hospitalization, 6.0% higher, HR 1.06, $p = 0.13$ , vs. never used.
	risk of case, 5.0% lower, HR 0.95, <i>p</i> = 0.03, vs. never used.
<i>Gagneux-Brunon</i> , 3/30/2022, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, France, peer-reviewed, study period 14 April, 2020 - 30 March, 2022, trial NCT04328285 (history).	118 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
Gendebien, 6/25/2020, retrospective, Belgium, peer-reviewed, survey, 9 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 3.9% lower, RR 0.96, <i>p</i> = 0.93, treatment 12 of 152 (7.9%), control 6 of 73 (8.2%), NNT 308.
Gendelman, 5/5/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Israel, peer-reviewed, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 8.1% lower, RR 0.92, <i>p</i> = 0.88, treatment 3 of 36 (8.3%), control 1,314 of 14,484 (9.1%), NNT 135.
<i>Gentry</i> , 9/21/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 6 authors.	risk of death, 91.3% lower, RR 0.09, $p = 0.10$ , treatment 0 of 10,703 (0.0%), control 7 of 21,406 (0.0%), NNT 3058, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), COVID-19 mortality within all patients.
	risk of death, 90.7% lower, RR 0.09, $p = 0.19$ , treatment 0 of 31 (0.0%), control 7 of 78 (9.0%), NNT 11, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), mortality for infected patients.
	risk of case, 20.9% lower, RR 0.79, $p = 0.27$ , treatment 31 of 10,703 (0.3%), control 78 of 21,406 (0.4%), NNT 1338, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Gianfrancesco</i> , 5/28/2020, retrospective, database analysis, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 28 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of hospitalization, 3.3% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 0.82, treatment 58 of 130 (44.6%), control 219 of 470 (46.6%), NNT 50, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Goenka</i> , 10/24/2020, retrospective, India, preprint, 11 authors.	risk of IgG positive, 87.2% lower, RR 0.13, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 1 of 77 (1.3%), control 115 of 885 (13.0%), NNT 8.6, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Granados-Montiel</i> , 6/30/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, Mexico, peer-reviewed, this trial uses multiple treatments in the treatment arm (combined with bromhexine) - results of individual treatments may vary, trial NCT04340349 (history) (ELEVATE).	Estimated 214 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.

<i>Grau-Pujol</i> , 9/21/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, Spain, peer-reviewed, 22 authors, study period 4 April, 2020 - 12 June, 2020.	risk of case, 10.6% lower, RR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 1 of 142 (0.7%), control 1 of 127 (0.8%), NNT 1202.
<i>Guillaume</i> , 9/16/2021, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, survey, 25 authors, study period 17 April, 2020 - 30 April, 2020, trial NCT04345159 (history), excluded in exclusion analyses: statistical analysis shows significant mismatch with prior research, potential overfitting.	risk of hospitalization, 2.4% higher, RR 1.02, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 2 of 181 (1.1%), control 3 of 278 (1.1%).
	risk of case, 2.9% higher, RR 1.03, <i>p</i> = 0.96, treatment 6 of 181 (3.3%), control 12 of 278 (4.3%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of case, 23.2% lower, RR 0.77, <i>p</i> = 0.63, treatment 6 of 181 (3.3%), control 12 of 278 (4.3%), NNT 100.
<i>Gönenli</i> , 12/16/2020, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, survey, mean age 36.0, 9 authors, study period 14 May, 2020 - 13 June, 2020.	risk of pneumonia, 29.7% lower, RR 0.70, <i>p</i> = 0.77, treatment 3 of 148 (2.0%), control 12 of 416 (2.9%), NNT 117.
	risk of case, 18.9% higher, RR 1.19, $p = 0.58$ , treatment 8 of 148 (5.4%), control 20 of 416 (4.8%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Huang (D)</i> , 12/12/2023, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 9 authors, study period 1 January, 2023 - 28 February, 2023.	risk of hospitalization, 43.4% lower, OR 0.57, $p = 0.09$ , treatment 141, control 291, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of case, 6.3% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 0.25, treatment 118 of 141 (83.7%), control 229 of 291 (78.7%).
<i>Huang</i> , 6/16/2020, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 15 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: significant unadjusted confounding possible.	risk of hospitalization, 80.0% lower, RR 0.20, $p$ < 0.001, treatment 8, control 1,247.
Huh, 12/19/2020, retrospective, database analysis, South Korea, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of progression, 251.0% higher, RR 3.51, $p = 0.11$ , treatment 5 of 8 (62.5%), control 873 of 2,797 (31.2%), adjusted per study, multivariate.
	risk of case, 6.0% lower, RR 0.94, <i>p</i> = 0.82, treatment 17 of 122 (13.9%), control 7,324 of 43,924 (16.7%), adjusted per study, multivariate.
<i>Isnardi</i> , 10/6/2022, retrospective, Argentina, peer- reviewed, mean age 51.4, 198 authors, study period 13 August, 2020 - 31 July, 2021, trial NCT04568421 (history).	risk of death, 33.9% lower, RR 0.66, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 11 of 361 (3.0%), control 72 of 1,554 (4.6%), NNT 63, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of severe case, 48.0% lower, RR 0.52, $p$ = 0.02, treatment 14 of 361 (3.9%), control 117 of 1,554 (7.5%), NNT 27, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of hospitalization, 17.0% lower, RR 0.83, $p$ = 0.09, treatment 83 of 512 (16.2%), control 429 of 1,554 (27.6%), NNT 8.8, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
James, 4/30/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04352933 (history) (PROLIFIC).	Estimated 500 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.

<i>Juneja</i> , 1/7/2022, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 9 authors, study period 2 April, 2020 - 3 September, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of severe case, 141.8% higher, RR 2.42, <i>p</i> = 0.59, treatment 2 of 996 (0.2%), control 1 of 1,204 (0.1%).
	risk of case, 6.4% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 0.67, treatment 103 of 996 (10.3%), control 117 of 1,204 (9.7%).
<i>Jung</i> , 12/11/2020, retrospective, South Korea, peer- reviewed, 6 authors.	risk of death, 59.3% lower, RR 0.41, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 649 (0.0%), control 1 of 1,417 (0.1%), NNT 1417, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of case, 13.1% higher, RR 1.13, <i>p</i> = 0.86, treatment 15 of 649 (2.3%), control 31 of 1,417 (2.2%), adjusted per study.
<i>Kadnur</i> , 7/22/2020, prospective, India, peer- reviewed, mean age 31.2, 16 authors, study period 23 April, 2020 - 11 June, 2020.	risk of case, 62.3% lower, RR 0.38, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 10 of 258 (3.9%), control 15 of 100 (15.0%), NNT 9.0, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate logistic regression.
Kamstrup, 6/1/2021, retrospective, population- based cohort, Denmark, peer-reviewed, 21 authors,	risk of hospitalization, 44.0% higher, OR 1.44, <i>p</i> = 0.25, treatment 5,488, control 54,846, RR approximated with OR.
excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 10.0% lower, HR 0.90, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 188 of 5,488 (3.4%), control 2,040 of 54,846 (3.7%), NNT 340, adjusted Cox proportional hazards regression.
<i>Khoubnasabjafari</i> , 1/13/2021, retrospective, Iran, peer-reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of case, 16.7% lower, RR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 0.59, treatment 34 of 1,436 (2.4%), control 12 of 422 (2.8%), NNT 210.
Khurana, 7/24/2020, retrospective, India, preprint, survey, 6 authors.	risk of case, 51.0% lower, RR 0.49, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 6 of 22 (27.3%), control 88 of 159 (55.3%), NNT 3.6, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Klebanov</i> , 7/1/2023, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 10 authors.	risk of death, 30.6% lower, RR 0.69, <i>p</i> = 0.80, treatment 3 of 3,074 (0.1%), control 83 of 58,995 (0.1%), NNT 2320.
	risk of case, 5.9% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 0.70, treatment 51 of 3,074 (1.7%), control 973 of 58,995 (1.6%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
Konig, 5/7/2020, retrospective, database analysis, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 11 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients; unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of hospitalization, 3.0% lower, RR 0.97, <i>p</i> = 0.88, treatment 16 of 29 (55.2%), control 29 of 51 (56.9%), NNT 59.
<i>Korkmaz</i> , 6/1/2021, retrospective, Turkey, preprint, 4 authors.	risk of death, 82.1% lower, RR 0.18, $p = 0.19$ , treatment 0 of 385 (0.0%), control 2 of 299 (0.7%), NNT 150, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of case, 93.7% lower, RR 0.06, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 2 of 395 (0.5%), control 24 of 299 (8.0%), NNT 13.
Küçükakkaş, 7/20/2021, retrospective, Turkey,	risk of ICU admission, 42.9% higher, RR 1.43, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 7 (14.3%) control 1 of 10 (10.0%)

minimal details of groups provided.	
<i>Laplana</i> , 9/9/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, survey, 3 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 56.0% higher, RR 1.56, <i>p</i> = 0.24, treatment 17 of 319 (5.3%), control 11 of 319 (3.4%).
<i>Liu (B)</i> , 2/5/2024, retrospective, China, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, study period December 2022 - February 2023.	risk of severe case, 39.0% lower, OR 0.61, $p = 0.26$ , treatment 55, control 246, adjusted per study, multivariable, model 2, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Llanos-Cuentas</i> , 2/28/2023, Randomized Controlled Trial, Peru, peer-reviewed, mean age 39.2, 10 authors, study period July 2020 - November 2020, trial NCT04414241 (history).	risk of case, 69.0% higher, RR 1.69, <i>p</i> = 0.46, treatment 5 of 36 (13.9%), control 3 of 32 (9.4%), adjusted per study.
<i>Loucera</i> , 8/16/2022, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, study period January 2020 - November 2020.	risk of death, 69.3% lower, HR 0.31, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 320, control 15,648, Cox proportional hazards, day 30.
<i>MacFadden</i> , 3/29/2022, retrospective, Canada, peer-reviewed, 9 authors, study period 15 January, 2020 - 31 December, 2020.	risk of case, 12.0% lower, OR 0.88, <i>p</i> = 0.01, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Macias</i> , 5/16/2020, retrospective, database analysis, Spain, preprint, 12 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of hospitalization, 25.5% lower, RR 0.74, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 1 of 290 (0.3%), control 2 of 432 (0.5%), NNT 846.
	risk of case, 49.0% higher, RR 1.49, <i>p</i> = 0.53, treatment 5 of 290 (1.7%), control 5 of 432 (1.2%).
<i>Mahto</i> , 2/15/2021, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of IgG positive, 26.9% lower, RR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.38, treatment 9 of 89 (10.1%), control 84 of 600 (14.0%), NNT 26, unadjusted, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Mathai</i> , 11/6/2020, retrospective, India, peer-reviewed, 3 authors.	risk of case, 89.5% lower, RR 0.10, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 10 of 491 (2.0%), control 22 of 113 (19.5%), NNT 5.7.
	risk of case, 88.5% lower, RR 0.12, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 5 of 491 (1.0%), control 10 of 113 (8.8%), NNT 13, symptomatic.
<i>Mathew</i> , 2/28/2023, prospective, India, peer- reviewed, 8 authors, study period April 2020 - October 2021.	risk of death, 20.0% lower, OR 0.80, $p = 0.80$ , treatment 23, control 41, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of hospitalization, no change, OR 1.00, $p = 0.94$ , treatment 23, control 41, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of severe case, 40.0% lower, OR 0.60, $p$ = 0.37, treatment 23, control 41, RR approximated with OR.
<i>McCullough</i> , 8/20/2021, prospective, USA, preprint, 1 author.	risk of case, 51.7% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 13 of 101 (12.9%), control 32 of 120 (26.7%), NNT 7.2.
<i>McKinnon</i> , 12/23/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 10 authors, study period 7 April, 2020 - 15 December, 2020, trial NCT04341441 (history) (WHIP COVID-19).	risk of symptomatic case, 2.5% lower, RR 0.98, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 2 of 365 (0.5%), control 1 of 178 (0.6%), NNT 7219, daily and weekly HCQ combined.

	risk of symptomatic case, no change, RR 1.00, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 178 (0.6%), control 1 of 178 (0.6%), daily HCQ.
	risk of symptomatic case, 4.8% lower, RR 0.95, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 187 (0.5%), control 1 of 178 (0.6%), NNT 3698, weekly HCQ.
	risk of symptomatic case, 53.3% lower, RR 0.47, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 25 (0.0%), control 1 of 178 (0.6%), NNT 178, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), AD patients.
	risk of case, 51.2% lower, RR 0.49, $p = 0.60$ , treatment 2 of 365 (0.5%), control 2 of 178 (1.1%), NNT 174, daily and weekly HCQ combined.
	risk of case, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 1 of 178 (0.6%), control 2 of 178 (1.1%), NNT 178, daily HCQ.
	risk of case, 52.4% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> = 0.61, treatment 1 of 187 (0.5%), control 2 of 178 (1.1%), NNT 170, weekly HCQ.
	risk of case, 69.5% lower, RR 0.30, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 25 (0.0%), control 2 of 178 (1.1%), NNT 89, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), AD patients.
<i>Mitchell</i> , 5/5/2020, retrospective, multiple countries, preprint, 2 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 99.0% lower, RR 0.01, <i>p</i> < 0.001.
<i>Moraes</i> , 4/30/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04384458 (history).	Estimated 400 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Morales-Asencio</i> , 4/1/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04400019 (history) (PREVICHARM).	Estimated 1,930 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Naggie</i> , 8/25/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, USA, peer- reviewed, mean age 43.6, 23 authors, study period April 2020 - November 2020, trial NCT04334148 (history) (HERO-HCQ).	risk of symptomatic case, 23.5% lower, RR 0.76, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 41 of 683 (6.0%), control 53 of 676 (7.8%), NNT 54, odds ratio converted to relative risk, logistic regression.
	risk of symptomatic case, 29.3% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 41 of 683 (6.0%), control 53 of 676 (7.8%), NNT 54, odds ratio converted to relative risk, Mantel-Haenszel.
	risk of symptomatic case, 50.5% lower, RR 0.49, <i>p</i> = 0.34, treatment 3 of 683 (0.4%), control 6 of 676 (0.9%), NNT 223, PCR confirmed.
Nanni, 9/30/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Italy, peer-reviewed, trial NCT04363827 (history) (PROTECT)	Estimated 2,300 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.

<i>Nasri</i> , 1/27/2023, Randomized Controlled Trial, Iran, peer-reviewed, mean age 29.7, 11 authors, study period 11 August, 2020 - 11 November, 2020, trial IRCT20200414047076N1.	risk of symptomatic case, 92.2% lower, RR 0.08, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 0 of 70 (0.0%), control 6 of 73 (8.2%), NNT 12, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), severe cases.
	risk of symptomatic case, 85.1% lower, RR 0.15, $p = 0.003$ , treatment 2 of 70 (2.9%), control 14 of 73 (19.2%), NNT 6.1, moderate or severe cases.
	risk of symptomatic case, 47.9% lower, RR 0.52, <i>p</i> = 0.16, treatment 7 of 70 (10.0%), control 14 of 73 (19.2%), NNT 11, all cases.
<i>Niriella</i> , 7/3/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial SLCTR/2020/011.	402 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Obrișcă</i> , 9/28/2022, prospective, Romania, peer- reviewed, mean age 39.0, 12 authors, study period 26 February, 2020 - 1 May, 2021.	risk of case, 86.7% lower, RR 0.13, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 10 of 81 (12.3%), control 5 of 14 (35.7%), NNT 4.3, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
<i>Oku</i> , 9/6/2022, retrospective, Japan, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, study period 3 June, 2020 - 30 June, 2021.	risk of death, 92.2% lower, RR 0.08, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 0 of 14 (0.0%), control 11 of 206 (5.3%), NNT 19, unadjusted, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).
	risk of hospitalization, 11.5% lower, RR 0.88, $p = 0.34$ , treatment 9 of 14 (64.3%), control 177 of 206 (85.9%), NNT 4.6, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
<i>Opdam</i> , 2/23/2022, retrospective, Netherlands, peer-reviewed, 9 authors.	risk of hospitalization, 45.0% lower, OR 0.55, <i>p</i> = 0.18, treatment 8 of 81 (9.9%) cases, 59 of 396 (14.9%) controls, NNT 17, case control OR.
<i>Oztas</i> , 3/21/2022, retrospective, Turkey, peer- reviewed, 15 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients; excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of hospitalization, 215.1% higher, RR 3.15, $p = 0.36$ , treatment 3 of 317 (0.9%), control 1 of 333 (0.3%).
	risk of symptomatic case, 40.1% higher, RR 1.40, $p = 0.44$ , treatment 16 of 317 (5.0%), control 12 of 333 (3.6%).
	risk of case, 5.0% higher, RR 1.05, <i>p</i> = 0.88, treatment 22 of 317 (6.9%), control 22 of 333 (6.6%).
<i>Patel</i> , 7/15/2022, retrospective, USA, preprint, mean age 60.0, 12 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of case, 46.3% lower, RR 0.54, $p = 0.001$ , treatment 28 of 18,358 (0.2%), control 223 of 78,509 (0.3%), cases vs. total person-months, unadjusted.
<i>Patil</i> , 8/24/2021, prospective, India, preprint, 21 authors.	risk of death, 65.9% lower, RR 0.34, <i>p</i> = 0.10, treatment 5,266, control 3,946.
	risk of case, 9.1% lower, RR 0.91, <i>p</i> = 0.43, treatment 167 of 5,266 (3.2%), control 147 of 3,946 (3.7%), NNT 181, adjusted per study.
<i>Pellegrini</i> , 9/12/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial	Estimated 2,250 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.

ACTRN12620000501943 (COVID-SHIELD).	
<i>Pham</i> , 3/2/2021, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 5 authors.	risk of death, 19.7% lower, RR 0.80, $p = 0.77$ , treatment 2 of 14 (14.3%), control 5 of 28 (17.9%), NNT 28, odds ratio converted to relative risk, univariate.
	risk of ICU admission, 35.5% higher, RR 1.35, $p = 0.61$ , treatment 4 of 14 (28.6%), control 6 of 28 (21.4%), odds ratio converted to relative risk, univariate.
<i>Piñana</i> , 8/25/2020, retrospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 64.0, 46 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 15 May, 2020.	risk of death, 36.0% lower, OR 0.64, $p = 0.11$ , RR approximated with OR.
<i>Polo</i> , 8/5/2022, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 38.0, 189 authors, study period 15 April, 2020 - 11 July, 2021, trial NCT04334928 (history) (EPICOS).	risk of symptomatic case, 51.0% lower, RR 0.49, $p$ = 0.79, treatment 3 of 224 (1.3%), control 5 of 211 (2.4%), NNT 97, Kaplan–Meier, primary outcome.
	risk of case, 27.0% lower, RR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.31, treatment 21 of 224 (9.4%), control 23 of 211 (10.9%), Kaplan–Meier.
<i>Raabe</i> , 7/3/2022, prospective, USA, preprint, 7 authors, trial NCT04354870 (history).	risk of symptomatic case, 82.2% lower, RR 0.18, $p = 0.17$ , treatment 1 of 59 (1.7%), control 2 of 21 (9.5%), NNT 13.
	risk of symptomatic case, 88.4% lower, RR 0.12, $p = 0.07$ , treatment 0 of 59 (0.0%), control 2 of 21 (9.5%), NNT 10, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), fever.
	risk of case, 28.8% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.65, treatment 4 of 59 (6.8%), control 2 of 21 (9.5%), NNT 36, seroconversion.
<i>Rabe</i> , 11/22/2023, retrospective, United Kingdom, peer-reviewed, mean age 45.2, 7 authors, study period 1 May, 2020 - 31 October, 2020.	risk of case, 28.6% lower, RR 0.71, <i>p</i> = 0.22, treatment 24 of 3,248 (0.7%), control 30 of 2,897 (1.0%), NNT 337.
<i>Rajasingham</i> , 9/21/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 22 authors, study period 6 April, 2020 - 13 July, 2020, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04328467 (history) (COVID PREP).	risk of hospitalization, 50.1% lower, RR 0.50, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 989 (0.1%), control 1 of 494 (0.2%), NNT 987, COVID-19.
	risk of hospitalization, 39.0% lower, RR 0.61, <i>p</i> = 0.34, treatment 11 of 989 (1.1%), control 9 of 494 (1.8%), NNT 141, all cause.
	risk of case, 27.0% lower, HR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 58 of 989 (5.9%), control 39 of 494 (7.9%), NNT 49.
<i>Rangel</i> , 1/10/2021, retrospective, USA, peer- reviewed, 5 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of death, 25.1% lower, RR 0.75, <i>p</i> = 0.77, treatment 4 of 50 (8.0%), control 11 of 103 (10.7%), NNT 37, from all patients.
	risk of hospitalization, 22.2% lower, RR 0.78, <i>p</i> = 0.29, treatment 17 of 50 (34.0%), control 45 of 103 (43.7%), NNT 10.
	hospitalization time, 41.2% lower, relative time 0.59, $p = 0.12$ , treatment 21, control 54.

<i>Rao</i> , 12/4/2021, prospective, India, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with minimal group details.	risk of case, 11.0% lower, RR 0.89, <i>p</i> = 0.68, treatment 16 of 273 (5.9%), control 67 of 1,021 (6.6%), NNT 143.
<i>Rentsch</i> , 9/9/2020, retrospective, population-based cohort, database analysis, United Kingdom, peer-reviewed, 34 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients; medication adherence unknown and may significantly change results.	risk of death, 3.0% higher, HR 1.03, <i>p</i> = 0.83, treatment 70 of 30,569 (0.2%), control 477 of 164,068 (0.3%), adjusted per study.
<i>Revollo</i> , 11/21/2020, retrospective, propensity score matching, Spain, peer-reviewed, 16 authors.	risk of case, 23.0% lower, RR 0.77, $p = 0.52$ , treatment 16 of 69 (23.2%), control 65 of 418 (15.6%), adjusted per study, PSM, risk of PCR+.
	risk of case, 43.0% higher, RR 1.43, <i>p</i> = 0.42, treatment 17 of 60 (28.3%), control 62 of 404 (15.3%), adjusted per study, PSM, risk of IgG+.
<i>Rojas-Serrano</i> , 5/16/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, Mexico, peer-reviewed, median age 31.5, 8 authors, study period 14 April, 2020 - 31 March, 2021, trial NCT04318015 (history).	risk of symptomatic case, 82.0% lower, RR 0.18, $p = 0.12$ , treatment 1 of 62 (1.6%), control 6 of 65 (9.2%), NNT 13, adjusted per study.
<i>Sahebari</i> , 9/7/2022, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 6 authors.	risk of case, 56.0% lower, RR 0.44, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 10 of 108 (9.3%), control 56 of 368 (15.2%), odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Salesi</i> , 12/18/2023, retrospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 2 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of severe case, 85.0% lower, RR 0.15, <i>p</i> = 0.003, treatment 2 of 44 (4.5%), control 10 of 33 (30.3%), NNT 3.9.
	risk of moderate/severe case, 18.2% lower, RR 0.82, <i>p</i> = 0.35, treatment 24 of 44 (54.5%), control 22 of 33 (66.7%), NNT 8.2.
<i>Salvarani</i> , 8/6/2020, retrospective, population- based cohort, Italy, peer-reviewed, 18 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 6.0% lower, OR 0.94, <i>p</i> = 0.75, RR approximated with OR.
Samajdar, 11/17/2021, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, 9 authors, study period 1 September, 2020 - 31 December, 2020, dosage not specified, excluded in exclusion analyses: minimal details provided; unadjusted results with no group details; results may be significantly affected by survey bias.	risk of case, 74.5% lower, RR 0.25, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 12 of 129 (9.3%), control 29 of 81 (35.8%), NNT 3.8, odds ratio converted to relative risk, physician survey.
	risk of case, 48.6% lower, RR 0.51, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 11 of 109 (10.1%), control 39 of 200 (19.5%), NNT 11, odds ratio converted to relative risk, combined ivermectin or HCQ in community.
Santos, 7/27/2020, prospective, Spain, peer- reviewed, median age 78.4, mean age 75.3, 6 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 1 June, 2020, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of death, 92.5% lower, RR 0.08, $p = 0.19$ , treatment 0 of 7 (0.0%), control 10 of 31 (32.3%), NNT 3.1, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).

<i>Satti</i> , 4/22/2022, retrospective, Qatar, peer- reviewed, 6 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: unadjusted results with no group details.	risk of case, 61.5% lower, RR 0.39, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 10 of 63 (15.9%), control 7 of 17 (41.2%), NNT 4.0.
<i>Scirocco</i> , 10/17/2023, retrospective, Italy, peer- reviewed, mean age 48.9, 14 authors.	risk of death/intubation, 41.3% lower, OR 0.59, $p = 0.38$ , treatment 183, control 444, meta analysis of SLE and RA, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death/intubation, 65.0% lower, OR 0.35, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 71, control 32, SLE, RR approximated with OR.
	risk of death/intubation, no change, OR 1.00, <i>p</i> = 0.87, treatment 112, control 412, RA, RR approximated with OR.
Seet, 4/14/2021, Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial, Singapore, peer-reviewed, 15 authors, study period 13 May, 2020 - 31 August, 2020, dosage 400mg day 1, 200mg days 2-42, this trial compares with another treatment - results may be better when compared to placebo, trial NCT04446104 (history).	risk of symptomatic case, 35.1% lower, RR 0.65, <i>p</i> = 0.047, treatment 29 of 432 (6.7%), control 64 of 619 (10.3%), NNT 28.
	risk of case, 32.0% lower, RR 0.68, $p$ = 0.009, treatment 212 of 432 (49.1%), control 433 of 619 (70.0%), NNT 4.8, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, model 6.
Sen, 4/24/2023, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, survey, 8 authors, study period 31 January, 2022 - 21 May, 2022, COVAD trial.	risk of PASC, 40.0% lower, OR 0.60, $p = 0.08$ , RR approximated with OR.
Shahrin, 12/7/2022, retrospective, Bangladesh, peer-reviewed, median age 34.0, 11 authors, study period 31 March, 2020 - 12 July, 2020.	risk of case, 87.8% higher, RR 1.88, $p = 0.09$ , treatment 43 of 230 (18.7%), control 11 of 106 (10.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
	risk of case, 8.0% lower, OR 0.92, $p = 0.89$ , adjusted per study, excluding the first 14 days and including participants that worked for at least 16 days, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Shaw</i> , 7/1/2021, retrospective, USA, peer-reviewed, 10 authors, study period 1 March, 2020 - 15 May, 2020.	risk of case, 13.0% lower, OR 0.87, <i>p</i> = 0.006, treatment 45, control 99, adjusted per study, propensity score matching, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Shukla</i> , 12/13/2022, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, survey, 31 authors, study period July 2021 - October 2021, trial CTRI/2021/06/034255.	risk of PASC, 5.0% lower, RR 0.95, <i>p</i> = 0.78, treatment 22 of 76 (28.9%), control 184 of 603 (30.5%), NNT 64, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Singer</i> , 8/5/2020, retrospective, database analysis, USA, peer-reviewed, 3 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the baseline risk differences within systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of case, 9.0% higher, RR 1.09, <i>p</i> = 0.62, treatment 55 of 10,700 (0.5%), control 104 of 22,058 (0.5%).
<i>Strangfeld</i> , 1/27/2021, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 37 authors, study period 24 March, 2020 - 1 July, 2020.	risk of death, 48.0% lower, RR 0.52, <i>p</i> < 0.001, treatment 27 of 426 (6.3%), control 124 of 739 (16.8%), NNT 9.6, adjusted per study, inverted to make RR<1 favor treatment, odds ratio converted to relative risk, HCQ/CQ vs. no DMARD therapy, multivariable.
<i>Sukumar</i> , 11/14/2022, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, survey, 5 authors, study period July 2020	risk of case, 37.6% lower, OR 0.62, <i>p</i> = 0.30, treatment 10 of 57 (17.5%) cases, 15 of 59 (25.4%) controls, NNT 8.6, case control

- September 2020.	OR.
<i>Syed</i> , 5/17/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, Pakistan, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, study period 1 May, 2020 - 25 September, 2020, trial NCT04359537 (history).	risk of symptomatic case, 59.7% higher, RR 1.60, $p = 0.41$ , treatment 10 of 48 (20.8%), control 6 of 46 (13.0%), group 1.
	risk of symptomatic case, 110.5% higher, RR 2.10, $p = 0.13$ , treatment 14 of 51 (27.5%), control 6 of 46 (13.0%), group 2.
	risk of symptomatic case, 16.4% lower, RR 0.84, $p = 0.77$ , treatment 6 of 55 (10.9%), control 6 of 46 (13.0%), NNT 47, group 3.
	risk of case, 91.7% higher, RR 1.92, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 15 of 38 (39.5%), control 7 of 34 (20.6%), group 1.
	risk of case, 136.6% higher, RR 2.37, <i>p</i> = 0.02, treatment 19 of 39 (48.7%), control 7 of 34 (20.6%), group 2.
	risk of case, 21.4% higher, RR 1.21, <i>p</i> = 0.77, treatment 8 of 32 (25.0%), control 7 of 34 (20.6%), group 3.
<i>Tirupakuzhi Vijayaraghavan</i> , 6/1/2022, Randomized Controlled Trial, India, peer-reviewed, mean age 32.1, 21 authors, study period 29 June, 2020 - 4 February, 2021, trial CTRI/2020/05/025067 (HOPE).	risk of progression, 196.2% higher, RR 2.96, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 211 (0.5%), control 0 of 203 (0.0%), continuity correction due to zero event (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm), ICU/HDU.
	risk of hospitalization, 51.9% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> = 0.62, treatment 1 of 211 (0.5%), control 2 of 203 (1.0%), NNT 196.
	risk of case, 14.2% lower, RR 0.86, $p$ = 0.73, treatment 11 of 211 (5.2%), control 12 of 203 (5.9%), NNT 143, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, confirmed cases, multivariable.
	risk of case, 5.7% lower, RR 0.94, $p$ = 0.90, treatment 12 of 211 (5.7%), control 12 of 203 (5.9%), NNT 446, adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariable.
<i>Trefond</i> , 1/27/2021, retrospective, France, peer- reviewed, 21 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients; significant unadjusted confounding possible; excessive unadjusted differences between groups.	risk of death, 16.6% higher, RR 1.17, $p = 0.80$ , treatment 4 of 68 (5.9%), control 12 of 183 (6.6%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of death/ICU, 78.2% higher, RR 1.78, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 8 of 71 (11.3%), control 18 of 191 (9.4%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
	risk of hospitalization, 44.9% higher, RR 1.45, $p = 0.12$ , treatment 24 of 71 (33.8%), control 53 of 191 (27.7%), adjusted per study, odds ratio converted to relative risk.
<i>Treluyer</i> , 6/18/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04344379 (history) (PREP-COVID).	122 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Ugarte-Gil</i> , 2/16/2022, retrospective, multiple countries, peer-reviewed, 58 authors.	risk of severe case, 44.4% lower, OR 0.56, $p = 0.007$ , treatment 665, control 230, adjusted per study, inverted to make OR<1 favor treatment, HCQ/CQ only vs. no SLE medication,

	multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Vivanco-Hidalgo</i> , 3/9/2021, retrospective, Spain, peer-reviewed, 8 authors, excluded in exclusion analyses: not fully adjusting for the different baseline risk of systemic autoimmune patients.	risk of hospitalization, 46.0% higher, RR 1.46, $p = 0.10$ , treatment 40 of 6,746 (0.6%), control 50 of 13,492 (0.4%), adjusted per study.
	risk of case, 8.0% higher, RR 1.08, <i>p</i> = 0.50, treatment 97 of 6,746 (1.4%), control 183 of 13,492 (1.4%), adjusted per study.
<i>White</i> , 3/22/2022, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04303507 (history) (COPCOV).	4,652 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
<i>Yadav (B)</i> , 7/11/2022, retrospective, India, peer- reviewed, mean age 34.1, 3 authors, study period 21 August, 2020 - 20 November, 2020.	risk of seropositive, 20.0% lower, OR 0.80, $p = 0.10$ , treatment 1,255, control 969, adjusted per study, multivariable, RR approximated with OR.
<i>Yadav (C)</i> , 9/30/2020, retrospective, India, preprint, 11 authors.	risk of hospitalization, 82.4% lower, RR 0.18, <i>p</i> = 0.01, treatment 2 of 279 (0.7%), control 9 of 221 (4.1%), NNT 30, PCR+.
	risk of IgG+, 41.8% lower, RR 0.58, $p = 0.049$ , treatment 17 of 178 (9.6%), control 27 of 221 (12.2%), odds ratio converted to relative risk, multivariate logistic regression.
	risk of IgG+, 79.0% lower, RR 0.21, <i>p</i> = 0.09, treatment 1 of 39 (2.6%), control 27 of 221 (12.2%), NNT 10, HCQ >10 weeks.
	risk of IgG+, 52.4% lower, RR 0.48, <i>p</i> = 0.14, treatment 5 of 86 (5.8%), control 27 of 221 (12.2%), NNT 16, HCQ 6-10 weeks.
	risk of IgG+, 69.9% higher, RR 1.70, <i>p</i> = 0.12, treatment 11 of 53 (20.8%), control 27 of 221 (12.2%), HCQ <6 weeks.
<i>Zhong</i> , 7/3/2020, retrospective, database analysis, China, peer-reviewed, 20 authors.	risk of case, 91.0% lower, RR 0.09, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 7 of 16 (43.8%), control 20 of 27 (74.1%), NNT 3.3, adjusted per study.

## Post-Exposure Prophylaxis

Effect extraction follows pre-specified rules as detailed above and gives priority to more serious outcomes. Only the first (most serious) outcome is used in pooled analysis, which may differ from the effect a paper focuses on. Other outcomes are used in outcome specific analyses.

<i>Abu-Helalah</i> , 1/31/2021, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04597775 (history) (APCC-19).	Estimated 93 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Al Ansari</i> , 12/31/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04437693 (history) (HCQ- COVID19).	Estimated 500 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
<i>Barnabas</i> , 12/7/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 30 authors, study period 31 March, 2020 - 21 August, 2020, trial NCT04328961 (history) (HCQ COVID-19 PEP).	risk of hospitalization, 3.7% higher, RR 1.04, $p = 1.00$ , treatment 1 of 407 (0.2%), control 1 of 422 (0.2%).

González, 10/31/2021, Double Blind Randomized	129 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
<i>Ghanem-Zoubi</i> , 6/30/2022, Randomized Controlled Trial, trial NCT04438837 (history).	Estimated 582 patient RCT with results unknown and over 1.5 years late.
	risk of case, 41.0% lower, RR 0.59, $p = 0.03$ , treatment 14 of 132 (10.6%), control 36 of 185 (19.5%), NNT 11, adjusted per study.
	risk of case, 50.0% lower, RR 0.50, <i>p</i> = 0.04, treatment 10 of 132 (7.6%), control 28 of 185 (15.1%), NNT 13, adjusted per study, PCR+.
reviewed, mean age 35.0, 14 authors, study period 22 March, 2021 - 17 June, 2021, trial NCT04858633 (history). <i>Dhibar (B)</i> , 11/6/2020, prospective, India, peer- reviewed, 13 authors, trial NCT04408456 (history).	risk of symptomatic case, 43.9% lower, RR 0.56, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 6 of 132 (4.5%), control 15 of 185 (8.1%), NNT 28, adjusted per study.
	risk of case, 8.0% lower, RR 0.92, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 24 of 574 (4.2%), control 27 of 594 (4.5%), NNT 275.
	risk of case, 21.2% lower, RR 0.79, <i>p</i> = 0.21, treatment 16 of 574 (2.8%), control 21 of 594 (3.5%), NNT 134, PCR+.
<i>Dhibar</i> , 1/7/2023, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, India, peer-	risk of symptomatic case, 26.7% lower, RR 0.73, <i>p</i> = 0.32, treatment 17 of 574 (3.0%), control 24 of 594 (4.0%), NNT 93.
	risk of case, 25.1% lower, RR 0.75, <i>p</i> = 0.22, treatment 32 of 414 (7.7%), control 42 of 407 (10.3%), NNT 39, probable COVID-19 cases.
<i>Boulware (B)</i> , 6/3/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, USA, peer-reviewed, 24 authors, study period 17 March, 2020 - 6 May, 2020, this trial compares	risk of case, 17.0% lower, RR 0.83, <i>p</i> = 0.35, treatment 49 of 414 (11.8%), control 58 of 407 (14.3%), NNT 41.
<i>Borrie</i> , 4/30/2021, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04397328 (history).	Estimated 336 patient RCT with results unknown and over 2 years late.
	risk of case, 19.0% lower, HR 0.81, <i>p</i> = 0.23, treatment 82 of 387 (21.2%), control 99 of 393 (25.2%), NNT 25, adjusted per study, day 14 PCR+ ITT AIM.
	risk of case, 1.0% lower, HR 0.99, $p = 0.97$ , treatment 46 of 317 (14.5%), control 43 of 309 (13.9%), adjusted per study, day 14 PCR+ mITT IDWeek.
	risk of case, 10.0% higher, HR 1.10, <i>p</i> = 0.66, treatment 53 of 353 (15.0%), control 45 of 336 (13.4%), adjusted per study, day 14 PCR+ mITT AIM.
	risk of case, 23.0% higher, HR 1.23, <i>p</i> = 0.41, treatment 40 of 317 (12.6%), control 32 of 309 (10.4%), adjusted per study, day 14 symptomatic mITT PCR+ IDWeek.
	тізк от case, 27.0% nigner, НК 1.27, <i>р</i> = 0.33, treatment 43 of 353 (12.2%), control 33 of 336 (9.8%), adjusted per study, day 14 symptomatic mITT PCR+ AIM.

reviewed, trial NCT04410562 (history).	
<i>Mitjà (B)</i> , 7/26/2020, Randomized Controlled Trial, Spain, peer-reviewed, 49 authors, study period 17 March, 2020 - 28 April, 2020, BCN-PEP-CoV2 trial.	risk of death, 45.6% lower, RR 0.54, $p = 0.39$ , treatment 4 of 1,196 (0.3%), control 8 of 1,301 (0.6%), NNT 357, per supplemental appendix table S7, excluding patient that did not take any study medication and had an unknown cause of death.
	risk of hospitalization, 16.8% lower, RR 0.83, $p = 0.71$ , treatment 13 of 1,196 (1.1%), control 17 of 1,301 (1.3%), NNT 455, per supplemental appendix table S7, excluding patient that did not take any study medication and had an unknown cause of death.
	baseline PCR- risk of cases, 32.0% lower, RR 0.68, <i>p</i> = 0.27, treatment 29 of 958 (3.0%), control 45 of 1,042 (4.3%), NNT 77.
<i>Polat</i> , 9/30/2020, prospective, Turkey, peer-reviewed, 3 authors.	risk of case, 57.0% lower, RR 0.43, <i>p</i> = 0.03, treatment 12 of 138 (8.7%), control 14 of 70 (20.0%), NNT 8.8.
<i>Sarwar (B)</i> , 8/30/2020, Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial, placebo-controlled, trial NCT04346667 (history) (PEACE).	125 patient RCT with results unknown and over 3 years late.
<i>Shabani</i> , 8/10/2021, prospective, Iran, peer- reviewed, 16 authors.	risk of symptomatic case, 19.0% lower, RR 0.81, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 2 of 51 (3.9%), control 3 of 62 (4.8%), NNT 109, day 7.
	risk of case, 6.4% higher, RR 1.06, <i>p</i> = 1.00, treatment 7 of 51 (13.7%), control 8 of 62 (12.9%), day 7, PCR+ and symptomatic.
	risk of case, 21.6% higher, RR 1.22, <i>p</i> = 0.78, treatment 7 of 51 (13.7%), control 7 of 62 (11.3%), day 7, PCR+ only.
<i>Simova (B)</i> , 11/12/2020, retrospective, Bulgaria, peer-reviewed, 5 authors.	risk of case, 92.7% lower, RR 0.07, $p = 0.01$ , treatment 0 of 156 (0.0%), control 3 of 48 (6.2%), NNT 16, relative risk is not 0 because of continuity correction due to zero events (with reciprocal of the contrasting arm).

## **Footnotes**

a. Viral infection and replication involves attachment, entry, uncoating and release, genome replication and transcription, translation and protein processing, assembly and budding, and release. Each step can be disrupted by therapeutics.

## References

- 1. **Abayomi** et al., A multi-centre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of chloroquine phosphate, hydroxychloroquine sulphate and lopinavir/ritonavir for the treatment of COVID-19 in Lagos State: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial, Trials, doi:10.1186/s13063-021-05675-x.
- 2. AbdelGhaffar et al., Prediction of mortality in hospitalized Egyptian patients with Coronavirus disease-2019: A multicenter retrospective study, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0262348.
- 3. Abdulrahman et al., The efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine in COVID19 patients : a multicenter national retrospective cohort, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.11.25.20234914.

- 4. **Abella** et al., Efficacy and Safety of Hydroxychloroquine vs Placebo for Pre-exposure SARS-CoV-2 Prophylaxis Among Health Care Workers, JAMA Internal Medicine, doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6319.
- 5. Aboulenain et al., The Effect of Hydroxychloroquine on In-Hospital Mortality in COVID-19, HCA Healthcare Journal of Medicine, doi:10.36518/2689-0216.1169.
- 6. **Abu-Helalah** et al., Chemoprevention Clinical Trial of COVID-19: Hydroxychloroquine Post Exposure Prophylaxis (APCC-19), NCT04597775, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04597775.
- 7. Ader et al., An open-label randomized, controlled trial of the effect of lopinavir/ritonavir, lopinavir/ritonavir plus IFN-beta-1a and hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 Final results from the DisCoVeRy trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2022.02.16.22271064.
- AFP, India backs hydroxychloroquine for virus prevention, www.msn.com/en-ph/news/world/india-backs-hydroxychloroquine-for-virus-prevention/ar-BB14EloP?ocid=st2.
- AfricaFeeds, Kenya approve the use of Chloroquine to treat COVID-19 patients, africafeeds.com/2020/04/01/ghana-kenya-approve-use-of-chloroquine-to-treat-covid-19-patients/.
- 10. Africanews, Coronavirus patients on chloroquine heal faster Senegalese medic, www.africanews.com/2020/04/06/coronavirus-patients-on-chloroquine-heal-faster-senegalese-medic/.
- 11. Afrik.com, Edouard Philippe emporté par le Covid, Didier Raoult, l'hydroxychloroquine et le... remdésivir, www.afrik.com/edouard-philippe-emporte-par-le-covid-didier-raoult-l-hydroxychloroquine-et-le-remdesivir.
- 12. Afşin et al., Factors affecting prognosis and mortality in severe COVID-19 pneumonia patients, Acta Clinica Croatica, doi:10.20471/acc.2023.62.01.13.
- 13. **Agarwal** et al., Low dose hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis for COVID-19 a prospective study, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.09.13.21262971.
- 14. **Aghajani** et al., Decreased In-Hospital Mortality Associated with Aspirin Administration in Hospitalized Patients Due to Severe COVID-19, Journal of Medical Virology, doi:10.1002/jmv.27053.
- 15. Águila-Gordo et al., Mortality and associated prognostic factors in elderly and very elderly hospitalized patients with respiratory disease COVID-19, Revista Española de Geriatría y Gerontología, doi:10.1016/j.regg.2020.09.006.
- 16. **Agusti** et al., Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine in healthcare professionals with mild SARS-CoV-2 infection: prospective, non-randomized trial, Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica, doi:10.1016/j.eimc.2020.10.023.
- 17. Ahmed et al., Factors Affecting the Incidence, Progression, and Severity of COVID-19 in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus, BioMed Research International, doi:10.1155/2021/1676914.
- Ajili et al., A Study of Hydroxychloroquine and Zinc in the Prevention of COVID-19 Infection in Military Healthcare Workers (COVID-Milit), NCT04377646, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04377646.
- Al Ansari et al., Post Exposure Prophylaxis in Healthcare Workers Exposed to COVID-19 Patients (HCQ-COVID19), NCT04437693, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04437693.
- 20. Al Arabia, Bahrain among first countries to use Hydroxychloroquine to treat coronavirus, english.alarabiya.net/en/News/gulf/2020/03/26/Bahrain-one-of-the-first-countries-to-use-Hydroxychloroquine-to-treat-coronavirus.
- 21. **Al-bab**, Covid-19: Algeria and Morocco continue using chloroquine despite concerns, al-bab.com/blog/2020/05/covid-19-algeria-and-morocco-continue-using-chloroquine-despite-concerns.
- 22. **Al-Bari**, M., Targeting endosomal acidification by chloroquine analogs as a promising strategy for the treatment of emerging viral diseases, Pharmacology Research & Perspectives, doi:10.1002/prp2.293.
- 23. Alamdari et al., Mortality Risk Factors among Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients in a Major Referral Center in Iran, Tohoku J. Exp. Med., 2020, 252, 73-84, doi:10.1620/tjem.252.73.
- 24. Albanghali et al., Clinical Characteristics and Treatment Outcomes of Mild to Moderate Covid-19 Patients in Saudi Arabia: A Single Centre Study, Journal of Infection and Public Health, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2022.02.001.

- 25. Albani et al., Impact of Azithromycin and/or Hydroxychloroquine on Hospital Mortality in COVID-19, J, Clinical Medicine, doi:10.3390/jcm9092800.
- 26. Alberici et al., A report from the Brescia Renal COVID Task Force on the clinical characteristics and short-term outcome of hemodialysis patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, Kidney Int., 98:1, 20-26, July 1, 2020, doi:10.1016/j.kint.2020.04.030.
- 27. Alegiani et al., Risk of COVID-19 hospitalization and mortality in rheumatic patients treated with hydroxychloroquine or other conventional DMARDs in Italy, Rheumatology, doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keab348.
- 28. Alghamdi et al., Clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes of severe (ICU) COVID-19 patients in Saudi Arabia: A single centre study, Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal, doi:10.1016/j.jsps.2021.08.008.
- 29. Alghamdi (B) et al., Clinical Efficacy of Hydroxychloroquine in Patients with COVID-19: Findings from an Observational Comparative Study in Saudi Arabia, Antibiotics, doi:10.3390/antibiotics10040365.
- 30. Alhamlan et al., Epidemiology and Clinical Characteristics in Individuals with Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic in Saudi Arabia, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.07.13.21260428.
- 31. Alkafaas et al., A study on the effect of natural products against the transmission of B.1.1.529 Omicron, Virology Journal, doi:10.1186/s12985-023-02160-6.
- 32. Almazrou et al., Comparing the impact of Hydroxychloroquine based regimens and standard treatment on COVID-19 patient outcomes: A retrospective cohort study, Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal, doi:10.1016/j.jsps.2020.09.019.
- 33. **Alosaimi** et al., Analyzing the Difference in the Length of Stay (LOS) in Moderate to Severe COVID-19 Patients Receiving Hydroxychloroquine or Favipiravir, Pharmaceuticals, doi:10.3390/ph15121456.
- Alotaibi et al., Effectiveness and Safety of Favipiravir Compared to Hydroxychloroquine for Management of Covid-19: A Retrospective Study, International Journal of General Medicine, 2021:14, www.dovepress.com/getfile.php?fileID=73585.
- 35. AlQadheeb et al., Impact of common comorbidities on antimicrobial consumption and mortality amongst critically ill COVID-19 patients: A retrospective two center study in Saudi Arabia, Clinical Infection in Practice, doi:10.1016/j.clinpr.2023.100229.
- AlQahtani et al., Randomized controlled trial of favipiravir, hydroxychloroquine, and standard care in patients with mild/moderate COVID-19 disease, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-022-08794-w.
- 37. **Alqassieh** et al., *Clinical characteristics and predictors of the duration of hospital stay in COVID-19 patients in Jordan*, F1000Research, Preprint, f1000research.com/articles/9-1439.
- Alqatari et al., COVID-19 in patients with rheumatological diseases in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, Journal of Medicine and Life, doi:10.25122/jml-2023-0037.
- 39. Als-Nielsen et al., Association of Funding and Conclusions in Randomized Drug Trials, JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.290.7.921.
- 40. Alsaidi et al., Griffithsin and Carrageenan Combination Results in Antiviral Synergy against SARS-CoV-1 and 2 in a Pseudoviral Model, Marine Drugs, doi:10.3390/md19080418.
- 41. Alshamrani et al., Comprehensive evaluation of six interventions for hospitalized patients with COVID-19: A propensity score matching study, Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal, doi:10.1016/j.jsps.2023.02.004.
- 42. AlShehhi et al., Utilizing machine learning for survival analysis to identify risk factors for COVID-19 intensive care unit admission: A retrospective cohort study from the United Arab Emirates, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0291373.
- 43. **Alsmadi** et al., The In Vitro, In Vivo, and PBPK Evaluation of a Novel Lung-Targeted Cardiac-Safe Hydroxychloroquine Inhalation Aerogel, AAPS PharmSciTech, doi:10.1208/s12249-023-02627-3.
- 44. Altman, D., How to obtain the P value from a confidence interval, BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.d2304.
- 45. Altman (B) et al., How to obtain the confidence interval from a P value, BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.d2090.
- 46. Alwafi et al., Negative Nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 PCR Conversion in Response to Different Therapeutic Interventions, Cureus, doi:10.7759/cureus.21442.
- 47. Alzahrani et al., Clinical characteristics and outcome of COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic diseases, Rheumatology International , doi:10.1007/s00296-021-04857-9.

- 48. Amaravadi et al., Hydroxychloroquine for SARS-CoV-2 positive patients quarantined at home: The first interim analysis of a remotely conducted randomized clinical trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.02.22.21252228.
- An et al., Treatment Response to Hydroxychloroquine and Antibiotics for mild to moderate COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study from South Korea, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.07.04.20146548.
- Anadolu Agency, Nigeria goes on with hydroxychloroquine clinical trial, www.aa.com.tr/en/africa/nigeria-goes-on-with-hydroxychloroquine-clinical-trials/1854814.
- Anadolu Agency (B), Cuba: Early hydroxychloroquine potent against COVID-19, www.aa.com.tr/en/americas/cuba-early-hydroxychloroquine-potent-against-covid-19/1905650.
- 52. **Andreani** et al., In vitro testing of combined hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on SARS-CoV-2 shows synergistic effect, Microbial Pathogenesis, doi:/10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104228.
- 53. **Anglemyer** et al., Healthcare outcomes assessed with observational study designs compared with those assessed in randomized trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 4, doi:10.1002/14651858.MR000034.pub2.
- 54. Annie et al., Hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: Real world experience assessing mortality, Pharmacotherapy, doi:10.1002/phar.2467.
- 55. **Aparisi** et al., Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels are associated with poor clinical outcomes in COVID-19, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.10.06.20207092.
- 56. **Arabi** et al., Lopinavir-ritonavir and hydroxychloroquine for critically ill patients with COVID-19: REMAP-CAP randomized controlled trial, Intensive Care Medicine, link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00134-021-06448-5.
- Archyde, China approves chloroquine (instead of hydroxychloroquine) against covid-19, www.archyde.com/china-approves-chloroquine-instead-of-hydroxychloroquine-against-covid-19/.
- 58. Arleo et al., Clinical Course and Outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Rheumatic Disease Patients on Immunosuppression: A case Cohort Study at a Single Center with a Significantly Diverse Population, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.10.26.20219154.
- 59. **Arshad** et al., *Treatment with Hydroxychloroquine, Azithromycin, and Combination in Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19,* Int. J. Infect. Dis., July 1 2020, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.06.099.
- 60. **Ashinyo** et al., Clinical characteristics, treatment regimen and duration of hospitalization among COVID-19 patients in Ghana: a retrospective cohort study, Pan African Medical Journal, 37:1, doi:10.11604/pamj.supp.2020.37.1.25718.
- Ashraf et al., COVID-19 in Iran, a comprehensive investigation from exposure to treatment outcomes, medRxiv doi:10.1101/2020.04.20.20072421, www.researchgate.net/publication/341197843\_COVID-19\_in\_Iran\_a\_comprehensive\_investigation\_from\_exposure\_to\_treatment\_o utcomes.
- 62. **Assad**, H., Pharmacotherapy prescribing pattern and outcome for hospitalized patients with severe and critical COVID-19, Current Issues in Pharmacy and Medical Sciences, doi:10.2478/cipms-2022-0020.
- 63. Aston et al., Hydroxychloroquine vs. Azithromycin for Outpatients in Utah With COVID-19 (HyAzOUT), NCT04334382, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04334382.
- Atipornwanich et al., Various Combinations of Favipiravir, Lopinavir-Ritonavir, Darunavir-Ritonavir, High-Dose Oseltamivir, and Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial (FIGHT-COVID-19 Study), SSRN Electronic Journal, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3936499.
- 65. **Auld** et al., *ICU and ventilator mortality among critically ill adults with COVID-19*, Critical Care Medicine, doi:10.1097/ccm.00000000004457.
- 66. Avezum et al., Hydroxychloroquine versus placebo in the treatment of non-hospitalised patients with COVID-19 (COPE Coalition V): A double-blind, multicentre, randomised, controlled trial, The Lancet Regional Health - Americas, doi:10.1016/j.lana.2022.100243.
- 67. Awad et al., Impact of hydroxychloroquine on disease progression and ICU admissions in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, doi:10.1093/ajhp/zxab056.

- 68. **Aweimer** et al., Mortality rates of severe COVID-19-related respiratory failure with and without extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the Middle Ruhr Region of Germany, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-023-31944-7.
- 69. **Axfors** et al., Mortality outcomes with hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in COVID-19 from an international collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials, Nature, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22446-z.
- 70. Ayerbe et al., The association of treatment with hydroxychloroquine and hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients, Internal and Emergency Medicine, doi:0.1007/s11739-020-02505-x.
- 71. Azaña Gómez et al., Mortality risk factors in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection and atrial fibrillation: Data from the SEMI-COVID-19 registry, Medicina Clínica, doi:10.1016/j.medcli.2022.01.008.
- 72. **Azhar** et al., Effectiveness of early pharmaceutical interventions in symptomatic COVID-19 patients: A randomized clinical trial, Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences, doi:10.12669/pjms.40.5.8757.
- Babalola et al., A Randomized Controlled Trial of Ivermectin Monotherapy Versus Hydroxychloroquine, Ivermectin, and Azithromycin Combination Therapy in Covid-19 Patients in Nigeria, Journal of Infectious Diseases and Epidemiology, doi:10.23937/2474-3658/1510233.
- 74. **Babayigit** et al., The association of antiviral drugs with COVID-19 morbidity: The retrospective analysis of a nationwide COVID-19 cohort, Frontiers in Medicine, doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.894126.
- 75. Badyal et al., Hydroxychloroquine for SARS CoV2 Prophylaxis in Healthcare Workers A Multicentric Cohort Study Assessing Effectiveness and Safety, Journal of the Association of Physicians of India, 69:6, June 2021, www.japi.org/x284d434/hydroxychloroquine-for-sars-cov2-prophylaxis-in-healthcare-workers-ndash-a-multicentric-cohort-study-a ssessing-effectiveness-and-safety.
- Bae et al., Recent Hydroxychloroquine Use Is Not Significantly Associated with Positive PCR Results for SARS-CoV-2: A Nationwide Observational Study in South Korea, Viruses 2021, doi:10.3390/v13020329.
- Baildya et al., Inhibitory capacity of Chloroquine against SARS-COV-2 by effective binding with Angiotensin converting enzyme-2 receptor: An insight from molecular docking and MD-simulation studies, Journal of Molecular Structure, doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2021.129891.
- 78. **Barbosa** et al., Clinical outcomes of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a quasi-randomized comparative study, Preprint, www.sefq.es/\_pdfs/NEJM\_Hydroxychlorquine.pdf.
- 79. **Barnabas** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for Post-exposure Prophylaxis to Prevent Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection: A Randomized Trial, Annals of Internal Medicine, doi:10.7326/M20-6519.
- 80. **Barra** et al., COVID-19 in hospitalized patients in 4 hospitals in San Isidro, Buenos Aires, Argentina, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.07.30.21261220.
- Barrat-Due et al., Evaluation of the Effects of Remdesivir and Hydroxychloroquine on Viral Clearance in COVID-19, Annals of Internal Medicine, doi:10.7326/M21-0653.
- Barron's, Hydroxychloroquine: A Drug Dividing The World, www.barrons.com/news/hydroxychloroquine-a-drug-dividing-the-world-01591006809.
- 83. **Barron's (B)**, Amid Global Controversy, Greece Moves Forward With Chloroquine, www.barrons.com/news/amid-global-controversy-greece-moves-forward-with-chloroquine-01591781707.
- 84. **Barry** et al., *Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients in a MERS-CoV Referral Hospital during the Peak of the Pandemic*, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.03.058.
- 85. **Bassets-Bosch** et al., Negativización de PCR a SARS-CoV-2 en muestra respiratoria en pacientes con necesidad de asistencia recurrente, Anales de Pediatría, doi:10.1016/j.anpedi.2021.01.006.
- 86. BBC, Coronavirus: How Turkey took control of Covid-19 emergency, www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-52831017.
- 87. **Beaumont** et al., Factors associated with hospital admission and adverse outcome for COVID-19: role of social factors and medical care, Infectious Diseases Now, doi:10.1016/j.idnow.2022.02.001.

- 88. **Becetti** et al., Prevalence of coronavirus disease 2019 in a multiethnic cohort of patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases in Qatar, Qatar Medical Journal, doi:10.5339/qmj.2022.37.
- 89. Behera et al., Role of ivermectin in the prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers in India: A matched case-control study, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0247163.
- Belayneh, A., Off-Label Use of Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 Treatment in Africa Against WHO Recommendation, www.dovepress.com/off-label-use-of-chloroquine-and-hydroxychloroquine-for-covid-19-treat-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-RRTM.
- 91. **Belmont** et al., COVID-19 PrEP HCW HCQ Study, ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04354870, clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT04354870.
- 92. Beltran Gonzalez et al., Efficacy and Safety of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine in Patients with Severe COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial, Infectious Disease Reports, doi:10.3390/idr14020020.
- 93. **Berenguer** et al., Characteristics and predictors of death among 4035 consecutively hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Spain, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.07.024.
- 94. Bernabeu-Wittel et al., Effectiveness of a On-Site Medicalization Program for Nursing Homes with COVID-19 Outbreaks, J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci., doi:10.1093/gerona/glaa192.
- 95. Bernaola et al., Observational Study of the Efficiency of Treatments in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 in Madrid, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.07.17.20155960.
- 96. **Berry** et al., Unfavorable Hydroxychloroquine COVID-19 Research Associated with Authors Having a History of Political Party Donations, SSRN, Berry, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3707327.
- Bhatt et al., Hydroxychloroquine Prophylaxis against Coronavirus Disease-19: Practice Outcomes among Health-Care Workers, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.08.02.21260750.
- 98. **Bhattacharya** et al., Pre exposure Hydroxychloroquine use is associated with reduced COVID19 risk in healthcare workers, medRxix, doi:10.1101/2020.06.09.20116806.
- Bianet, Turkey begins distributing hydroxychloroquine to homes in capital city amid bed shortage, bianet.org/english/health/230676-turkey-begins-distributing-hydroxychloroquine-to-homes-in-capital-city-amid-bed-shortage.
- 100. **Bielza** et al., *Clinical characteristics, frailty and mortality of residents with COVID-19 in nursing homes of a region of Madrid,* Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2020.12.003.
- 101. **Boari** et al., Prognostic factors and predictors of outcome in patients with COVID-19 and related pneumonia: a retrospective cohort study, Biosci. Rep., doi:10.1042/BSR20203455.
- 102. **Borba** et al., Chloroquine diphosphate in two different dosages as adjunctive therapy of hospitalized patients with severe respiratory syndrome in the context of coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) infection: Preliminary safety results of a randomized, double-blinded, phase IIb clinical trial (CloroCovid-19 Study), JAMA Network Open, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8857.
- 103. **Borrie** et al., COVID-19 PEP- High-risk Individuals in Long-term and Specialized Care Canada, NCT04397328, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04397328.
- 104. **Bosaeed** et al., Favipiravir and Hydroxychloroquine Combination Therapy in Patients with Moderate to Severe COVID19 (FACCT Trial): An Open-Label, Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial, Infect. Dis. Ther., doi:10.1007/s40121-021-00496-6.
- 105. Boulware, D., Comments regarding paper rejection, twitter.com/boulware\_dr/status/1311331372884205570.
- 106. **Boulware (B)** et al., A Randomized Trial of Hydroxychloroquine as Postexposure Prophylaxis for Covid-19, NEJM, June 3 2020, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2016638.
- 107. **Bousquet** et al., ADL-dependency, D-Dimers, LDH and absence of anticoagulation are independently associated with onemonth mortality in older inpatients with Covid-19, Aging, 12:12, 11306-11313, doi:10.18632/aging.103583.
- 108. **Bowen** et al., Reduction in risk of death among patients admitted with COVID-19 between first and second epidemic waves in New York City, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofac436.

- 109. **Brouqui** et al., There is no such thing as a Ministry of Truth and why it is important to challenge conventional "wisdom" A personal view, New Microbes and New Infections, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2023.101155.
- 110. **Bubenek-Turconi** et al., Clinical characteristics and factors associated with ICU mortality during the first year of the SARS-Cov-2 pandemic in Romania, European Journal of Anaesthesiology, doi:10.1097/EJA.000000000001776.
- 111. **Budhiraja** et al., Clinical Profile of First 1000 COVID-19 Cases Admitted at Tertiary Care Hospitals and the Correlates of their Mortality: An Indian Experience, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.11.16.20232223.
- 112. **Burdick** et al., Is Machine Learning a Better Way to IdentifyCOVID-19 Patients Who Might Benefit fromHydroxychloroquineTreatment?—The IDENTIFY Trial, Journal of Clinical Medicine, doi:10.3390/jcm9123834.
- 113. **Burhan** et al., Characteristics and outcomes of patients with severe COVID-19 in Indonesia: Lessons from the first wave, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0290964.
- 114. **Burney** et al., Hydroxychloroquine Chemoprophylaxis for COVID-19 Infection in High-risk Healthcare Workers, NCT04370015, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04370015.
- 115. **Butler** et al., PRINCIPLE: A clinical trial evaluating treatments for suspected and confirmed COVID-19 for recovery at home, PRINCIPLE, www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN86534580.
- 116. **Byakika-Kibwika** et al., Safety and Efficacy of Hydroxychloroquine for Treatment of Non-Severe COVID-19 in Adults in Uganda: A Randomized Open Label Phase II Clinical Trial, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-506195/v1.
- 117. c19early.org, c19early.org/treatments.html.
- 118. c19early.org (B), c19early.org/timeline.html.
- 119. **c19hcq.org**, c19hcq.org/meta.html#preclinical.
- 120. Cadegiani et al., Early COVID-19 Therapy with azithromycin plus nitazoxanide, ivermectin or hydroxychloroquine in Outpatient Settings Significantly Improved COVID-19 outcomes compared to Known outcomes in untreated patients, New Microbes and New Infections, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2021.100915.
- 121. **Calderón** et al., Treatment with hydroxychloroquine vs nitazoxanide in patients with COVID-19: brief report, PAMJ Clinical Medicine, doi:10.11604/pamj-cm.2021.7.15.30981.
- 122. **Cangiano** et al., Mortality in an Italian nursing home during COVID-19 pandemic: correlation with gender, age, ADL, vitamin D supplementation, and limitations of the diagnostic tests, Aging, doi:10.18632/aging.202307.
- 123. **Capsoni** et al., CPAP Treatment In COVID-19 Patients: A Retrospective Observational Study In The Emergency Department, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-113418/v1.
- 124. **Cárdenas-Jaén** et al., Gastrointestinal symptoms and complications in patients hospitalized due to COVID-19, an international multicentre prospective cohort study (TIVURON project), Gastroenterología y Hepatología (English Edition), doi:10.1016/j.gastre.2023.05.002.
- 125. **Cassione** et al., COVID-19 infection in a northern-Italian cohort of systemic lupus erythematosus assessed by telemedicine, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217717.
- 126. **Catteau** et al., Low-dose Hydroxychloroquine Therapy and Mortality in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Nationwide Observational Study of 8075 Participants, Int. J. Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106144.
- 127. **Cavalcanti** et al., Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin in Mild-to-Moderate Covid-19, NEJM, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2019014.
- 128. **CBS News**, Turkey claims success treating virus with drug touted by Trump, www.msn.com/en-au/news/world/turkey-claims-success-treating-virus-with-drug-touted-by-trump/ar-BB13oMXS.
- 129. Challenge, Coronavirus : ce que le Maroc a réussi, www.challenge.ma/coronavirus-ce-que-le-maroc-a-reussi-144484/.
- 130. **Chari** et al., *Clinical features associated with COVID-19 outcome in multiple myeloma: first results from the International Myeloma Society data set*, Blood, doi:10.1182/blood.2020008150.

- 131. Chatterjee et al., Healthcare workers & SARS-CoV-2 infection in India: A case-control investigation in the time of COVID-19, Indian J. Med. Res., June 20, 2020, doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR\_2234\_20.
- 132. **Chaudhary** et al., Impact of prophylactic hydroxychloroquine on ultrastructural impairment and cellular SARS-CoV-2 infection in different cells of bronchoalveolar lavage fluids of COVID-19 patients, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-023-39941-6.
- 133. **Chauffe** et al., Hydroxychloroquine as Prophylaxis for COVID-19 in Healthcare Workers (HCQPreP), NCT04363450, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04363450.
- 134. **Chechter** et al., Evaluation of patients treated by telemedicine in the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in São Paulo, Brazil: A non-randomized clinical trial preliminary study, Heliyon, doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15337.
- 135. **Chen** et al., A Multicenter, randomized, open-label, controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of hydroxychloroquine and a retrospective study in adult patients with mild to moderate Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242763.
- 136. Chen (B) et al., A Multicenter, randomized, open-label, controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of hydroxychloroquine and a retrospective study in adult patients with mild to moderate Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242763.
- 137. Chen (C) et al., Efficacy and safety of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine in moderate type of COVID-19: a prospective openlabel randomized controlled study, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.06.19.20136093.
- 138. Chen (D) et al., Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19: results of a randomized clinical trial, medRxiv doi:10.1101/2020.03.22.20040758, www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.22.20040758v3.
- 139. **Chen (E)** et al., A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with common coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19), J. Zhejiang University (Med Sci), doi:10.3785/j.issn.1008-9292.2020.03.03.
- 140. **Chevalier** et al., CovAID: Identification of factors associated with severe COVID-19 in patients with inflammatory rheumatism or autoimmune diseases, Frontiers in Medicine, doi:10.3389/fmed.2023.1152587.
- 141. **Choi** et al., *Comparison of antiviral effect for mild-to-moderate COVID-19 cases between lopinavir/ritonavir versus hydroxychloroquine: A nationwide propensity score-matched cohort study*, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.062.
- 142. **Chouhdari** et al., The prophylactic effect of hydroxychloroquine on the severity of COVID-19 infection in an asymptomatic population: A randomized clinical trial, Social Determinants of Health, doi:10.22037/sdh.v10i1.43032.
- 143. **Çivriz Bozdağ** et al., Clinical Characteristics and Outcome of COVID-19 in Turkish Hematological Malignancy Patients, Turk. J. Haematol., doi:10.4274/tjh.galenos.2021.2021.0287.
- 144. **Çiyiltepe** et al., The Effect of Pre-admission Hydroxychloroquine Treatment on COVID-19-Related Intensive Care Follow-up in Geriatric Patients, South. Clin. Ist. Euras., doi:10.14744/scie.2021.89847.
- 145. **Clementi** et al., Combined Prophylactic and Therapeutic Use Maximizes Hydroxychloroquine Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Effects in vitro, Front. Microbiol., 10 July 2020, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01704.
- 146. **Coll** et al., *Covid-19 in transplant recipients: the spanish experience*, American Journal of Transplantation, doi:10.1111/ajt.16369.
- 147. **Colson** et al., *Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine as Available Weapons to Fight COVID-19*, Int J. Antimicrob Agents, doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105932. Epub 2020 Mar 4., www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7135139/.
- 148. Concato et al., NEJM, 342:1887-1892, doi:10.1056/NEJM200006223422507.
- 149. **Connor** et al., *HEalth Care Worker pROphylaxis Against COVID-19: The HERO Trial (HERO)*, NCT04352946, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04352946.
- 150. **Cordtz** et al., Incidence of COVID-19 Hospitalisation in Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Nationwide Cohort Study from Denmark, Journal of Clinical Medicine, doi:10.3390/jcm10173842.
- 151. **Cordtz (B)** et al., Incidence and severeness of COVID-19 hospitalisation in patients with inflammatory rheumatic disease: a nationwide cohort study from Denmark, Rheumatology, doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keaa897.

- 152. **Corradini** et al., Clinical factors associated with death in 3044 COVID-19 patients managed in internal medicine wards in Italy: results from the SIMI-COVID-19 study of the Italian Society of Internal Medicine (SIMI), Internal and Emergency Medicine, doi:10.1007/s11739-021-02742-8.
- 153. **Cortez** et al., *Clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients in a tertiary hospital in Baguio City, Philippines,* Western Pacific Surveillance and Response Journal, doi:10.5365/wpsar.2021.12.4.852.
- 154. **Cravedi** et al., COVID-19 and kidney transplantation: Results from the TANGO International Transplant Consortium, American Journal of Transplantation, doi:10.1111/ajt.16185.
- 155. **Crawford**, M., Rapid Censorship of Highly Positive Hydroxychloroquine Research Chart, Rounding the Earth, roundingtheearth.substack.com/p/rapid-censorship-of-highly-positive.
- 156. **D'Arminio Monforte** et al., Effectiveness of Hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 disease: A done and dusted situation?, Int. J. Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.07.056.
- 157. **Dang** et al., Structural basis of anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of hydroxychloroquine: specific binding to NTD/CTD and disruption of LLPS of N protein, bioRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.03.16.435741.
- 158. **Datta** et al., *No Role of HCQ in COVID-19 Prophylaxis: A Survey amongst Indian Doctors*, Journal of Vaccines & Vaccination, S6:1000002, www.longdom.org/open-access/no-role-of-hcq-in-covid19-prophylaxis-a-survey-amongst-indian-doctors.pdf.
- 159. **Davido** et al., Impact of medical care including anti-infective agents use on the prognosis of COVID-19 hospitalized patients over time, Int. J. Antimicrobial Agents, 2020, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106129.
- 160. **De Forni** et al., Synergistic drug combinations designed to fully suppress SARS-CoV-2 in the lung of COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0276751.
- 161. **de Gonzalo-Calvo** et al., A blood microRNA classifier for the prediction of ICU mortality in COVID-19 patients: a multicenter validation study, Respiratory Research, doi:10.1186/s12931-023-02462-x.
- 162. **de la Iglesia** et al., Hydroxicloroquine for pre-exposure prophyylaxis for SARS-CoV-2, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.08.31.20185314.
- 163. **De Luna** et al., Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of COVID-19 Patients Admitted in a Tertiary Care Hospital in the Dominican Republic, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.12.11.20247437.
- 164. **De Rosa** et al., Risk Factors for Mortality in COVID-19 Hospitalized Patients in Piedmont, Italy: Results from the Multicenter, Regional, CORACLE Registry, J. Clin. Med., doi:10.3390/jcm10091951.
- 165. **Deaton** et al., Understanding and misunderstanding randomized controlled trials, Social Science & Medicine, 210, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.12.005.
- 166. **Delandre** et al., Antiviral Activity of Repurposing Ivermectin against a Panel of 30 Clinical SARS-CoV-2 Strains Belonging to 14 Variants, Pharmaceuticals, doi:10.3390/ph15040445.
- 167. **Delgado** et al., Investigational medications in 9,638 hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19: lessons from the "fail-and-learn" strategy during the first two waves of the pandemic in 2020, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-2596201/v1.
- 168. Deng, H., PyMeta, Python module for meta-analysis, www.pymeta.com/.
- 169. **Derwand** et al., Does zinc supplementation enhance the clinical efficacy of chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine to win today's battle against COVID-19?, Medical Hypotheses, doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109815.
- 170. Derwand (B) et al., COVID-19 Outpatients Early Risk-Stratified Treatment with Zinc Plus Low Dose Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin: A Retrospective Case Series Study, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106214.
- 171. **Desbois** et al., Prevalence and clinical features of COVID-19 in a large cohort of 199 patients with sarcoidosis, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-41653/v1.
- 172. **Dev** et al., Risk factors and frequency of COVID-19 among healthcare workers at a tertiary care centre in India: a case– control study, Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, doi:10.1093/trstmh/trab047.

- 173. **Dhibar** et al., The 'myth of Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) as post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) for the prevention of COVID-19' is far from reality, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-022-26053-w.
- 174. **Dhibar (B)** et al., Post Exposure Prophylaxis with Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) for the Prevention of COVID-19, a Myth or a Reality? The PEP-CQ Study, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106224.
- 175. **Di Castelnuovo** et al., Disentangling the Association of Hydroxychloroquine Treatment with Mortality in Covid-19 Hospitalized Patients through Hierarchical Clustering, Journal of Healthcare Engineering, doi:10.1155/2021/5556207.
- 176. **Di Castelnuovo (B)** et al., Use of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalised COVID-19 patients is associated with reduced mortality: Findings from the observational multicentre Italian CORIST study, European J. Internal Medicine, doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2020.08.019.
- 177. **Dr. Goldin**, Summary of HCQ usage in India from an MD in India, www.facebook.com/groups/hydroxychloroquine/permalink/2367454293560817/.
- 178. **Dubee** et al., Hydroxychloroquine in mild-to-moderate COVID-19: a placebo-controlled double blind trial, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2021.03.005.
- 179. **Dubernet** et al., A comprehensive strategy for the early treatment of COVID-19 with azithromycin/hydroxychloroquine and/or corticosteroids: results of a retrospective observational study in the French overseas department of Reunion Island, J. Global Antimicrobial Resistance, doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2020.08.001.
- 180. **Dulcey** et al., Long-Term Hydroxychloroquine and Its Association with Covid-19 Infection, a Cohort Study from a South American Hospital, Journal of Clinical Rheumatology, doi:10.1097/RHU.00000000001986.
- 181. **Duloquin** et al., *Is COVID-19 Infection a Multiorganic Disease? Focus on Extrapulmonary Involvement of SARS-CoV-2, Journal of Clinical Medicine, doi:10.3390/jcm13051397.*
- 182. **Eberhardt** et al., SARS-CoV-2 infection triggers pro-atherogenic inflammatory responses in human coronary vessels, Nature Cardiovascular Research, doi:10.1038/s44161-023-00336-5.
- 183. **Ebongue** et al., Factors predicting in-hospital all-cause mortality in COVID 19 patients at the Laquintinie Hospital Douala, *Cameroon*, Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease, doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2022.102292.
- 184. Efecto Cocuyo, Venezuela empieza a usar la cloroquina para tratar COVID-19, anuncia Jorge Rodríguez, efectococuyo.com/coronavirus/venezuela-empieza-a-usar-la-cloroquina-para-tratar-covid-19-anuncia-jorge-rodriguez/.
- 185. Egger et al., Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test, BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629.
- 186. **El-Sherbiny** et al., Development and Validation of "Ready-to-Use" Inhalable Forms of Hydroxychloroquine for Treatment of COVID-19, NCT04477083, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04477083.
- 187. Erden et al., COVID-19 outcomes in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome: a retrospective cohort study, Bratislava Medical Journal, doi:10.4149/BLL\_2022\_018.
- 188. Esper et al., Empirical treatment with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin for suspected cases of COVID-19 followed-up by telemedicine, Prevent Senior Institute, São Paulo, Brazil, www.dropbox.com/s/5qm58cd4fneeci2/2020.04.15%20journal%20manuscript%20final.pdf.
- Expats.cz, Czech Health Ministry permits temporary use of hydroxychloroquine to treat COVID-19, news.expats.cz/weekly-czech-news/czech-health-ministry-permits-temporary-use-of-hydroxychloroquine-in-hospitals-to-treat-covi d-19/.
- 190. Face 2 Face Africa, Djibouti, others warned about chloroquine despite big COVID-19 recoveries, face2faceafrica.com/article/djibouti-others-warned-about-chloroquine-despite-big-covid-19-recoveries.
- 191. Faíco-Filho et al., No benefit of hydroxychloroquine on SARS-CoV-2 viral load reduction in non-critical hospitalized patients with COVID-19, Braz J Microbiol, doi:10.1007/s42770-020-00395-x.
- 192. Faísca et al., Enhanced In Vitro Antiviral Activity of Hydroxychloroquine Ionic Liquids against SARS-CoV-2, Pharmaceutics, doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14040877.

- 193. Falcone et al., Role of low-molecular weight heparin in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: a prospective observational study, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa563.
- 194. **Faria** et al., Genomics and epidemiology of the P.1 SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil, Science, doi:10.1126/science.abh2644.
- 195. **Farooq** et al., Effectiveness of Hydroxychloroquine in Covid-19 Patients (Covid), NCT04328272, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04328272.
- 196. Fernández-Cruz et al., Higher mortality of hospitalized haematologic patients with COVID-19 compared to non-haematologic is driven by thrombotic complications and development of ARDS: An age-matched cohorts study, Clinical Infection in Practice, doi:10.1016/j.clinpr.2022.100137.
- 197. Ferreira et al., Outcomes associated with Hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a single-center experience, Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira, doi:10.1590/1806-9282.20210661.
- 198. Ferreira (B) et al., Chronic treatment with hydroxychloroquine and SARS-CoV-2 infection, J. Medical Virology, July 9, 2020, doi:10.1002/jmv.26286.
- 199. **Ferri** et al., COVID-19 and rheumatic autoimmune systemic diseases: report of a large Italian patients series, Clinical Rheumatology, doi:0.1007/s10067-020-05334-7.
- 200. **Fiaschi** et al., In Vitro Combinatorial Activity of Direct Acting Antivirals and Monoclonal Antibodies against the Ancestral B.1 and BQ.1.1 SARS-CoV-2 Viral Variants, Viruses, doi:10.3390/v16020168.
- 201. Filipova et al., Is there a Correlation between Changes in Hydroxychloroquine Use and Mortality Rates from COVID-19?, Health Science Journal, www.hsj.gr/medicine/is-there-a-correlation-between-changesin-hydroxychloroquine-use-and-mortalityrates-from-covid19.pdf.
- 202. **Fincham** et al., Exploring trial publication and research waste in COVID-19 randomised trials of hydroxychloroquine, corticosteroids, and vitamin D: a meta-epidemiological cohort study, BMC Medical Research Methodology, doi:10.1186/s12874-023-02110-4.
- 203. **Finkelstein** et al., The Efficacy of Long-Term Hydroxychloroquine Use in the Prevention of COVID-19: A Retrospective Cohort Study, Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, doi:10.3233/SHTI230489.
- 204. **Fitzgerald** et al., Risk Factors for Infection and Health Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic in People with Autoimmune Diseases, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.02.03.21251069.
- 205. **Fontana** et al., SARS-CoV-2 infection in dialysis patients in northern Italy: a single-centre experience, Clinical Kidney Journal, 13:3, 334–339, doi:10.1093/ckj/sfaa084.
- 206. **France 24**, *Covid-19: In Cameroon, chloroquine therapy hailed by French expert becomes state protocol,* www.france24.com/en/20200503-covid-19-in-cameroon-a-chloroquine-therapy-hailed-by-french-expert-becomes-state-protocol.
- 207. France 24 (B), Covid-19 : au Cameroun, la méthode Raoult érigée en protocole d'État, www.france24.com/fr/20200502-covid-19-au-cameroun-la-m%C3%A9thode-raoult-%C3%A9rig%C3%A9e-en-protocole-d-%C3% A9tat.
- 208. **Franceinfo**, Ces pays africains qui ont décidé de continuer à soigner le Covid-19 avec l'hydroxychloroquine, www.francetvinfo.fr/monde/afrique/senegal/ces-pays-africains-qui-ont-decide-de-continuer-a-soigner-le-covid-19-avec-l-hydroxyc hloroquine\_3983239.html.
- 209. **Fried** et al., Patient Characteristics and Outcomes of 11,721 Patients with COVID19 Hospitalized Across the United States, Clinical Infectious Disease, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1268.
- 210. Frontera et al., Treatment with Zinc is Associated with Reduced In-Hospital Mortality Among COVID-19 Patients: A Multi-Center Cohort Study, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-94509/v1.
- 211. **Fung** et al., Effect of common maintenance drugs on the risk and severity of COVID-19 in elderly patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0266922.
- 212. **Gadhiya** et al., Clinical characteristics of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 and the impact on mortality: a single-network, retrospective cohort study from Pennsylvania state, BMJ Open, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042549.

- 213. **Gagneux-Brunon** et al., Acceptability of a COVID-19 pre-exposure prophylaxis trial with hydroxychloroquine in French healthcare workers during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic, Trials, doi:10.1186/s13063-021-05329-y.
- 214. Gao et al., Update on Use of Chloroquine/Hydroxychloroquine to Treat Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), Biosci Trends, May 21, 2020, 14:2, 156-158, doi:10.5582/bst.2020.03072.
- 215. **García-Albéniz** et al., Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials of hydroxychloroquine for the prevention of COVID-19, European Journal of Epidemiology, doi:10.1007/s10654-022-00891-4.
- 216. Gautret et al., Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial, Int. J. of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105949.
- 217. Geleris et al., Observational Study of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19, NEJM, May 7, 2020, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2012410.
- 218. **Gendebien** et al., Systematic analysis of COVID-19 infection and symptoms in a systemic lupus erythematosus population: correlation with disease characteristics, hydroxychloroquine use and immunosuppressive treatments, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218244.
- 219. **Gendelman** et al., Continuous Hydroxychloroquine or Colchicine Therapy Does Not Prevent Infection With SARS-CoV-2: Insights From a Large Healthcare Database Analysis, Autoimmunity Reviews, 19:7, July 2020, doi:10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102566.
- 220. **Genton** et al., #StayHome: Early Hydroxychloroquine to Reduce Secondary Hospitalisation and Household Transmission in COVID-19 (#StayHome), NCT04385264, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04385264.
- 221. **Gentry** et al., Long-term hydroxychloroquine use in patients with rheumatic conditions and development of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a retrospective cohort study, Lancet Rheumatology, doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30305-2.
- 222. **Gerlovin** et al., Pharmacoepidemiology, Machine Learning and COVID-19: An intent-to-treat analysis of hydroxychloroquine, with or without azithromycin, and COVID-19 outcomes amongst hospitalized US Veterans, American Journal of Epidemiology, doi:10.1093/aje/kwab183.
- 223. **Ghanem-Zoubi** et al., Hydroxychloroquine Post-Exposure Prophylaxis for Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Among Health-Care Workers, NCT04438837, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04438837.
- 224. **Gianfrancesco** et al., Characteristics associated with hospitalisation for COVID-19 in people with rheumatic disease: data from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 79:7, 859-866, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217871.
- 225. **Global Times**, Chinese medical expert decorated by Djibouti for COVID-19 prevention, www.globaltimes.cn/content/1189839.shtml.
- 226. **Go** et al., Hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin and methylprednisolone and in hospital survival in severe COVID-19 pneumonia, Frontiers in Pharmacology, doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.935370.
- 227. **Goenka** et al., Seroprevalence of COVID-19 Amongst Health Care Workers in a Tertiary Care Hospital of a Metropolitan City from India, SSRN, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3689618.
- 228. Goldman et al., Remdesivir for 5 or 10 Days in Patients with Severe Covid-19, NEJM, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2015301.
- 229. Goldstein, L., Hydroxychloroquine-based COVID-19 Treatment, A Systematic Review of Clinical Evidence and Expert Opinion from Physicians' Surveys, Preprint, July 7, 2020, wattsupwiththat.com/2020/07/07/hydroxychloroquine-based-covid-19-treatment-a-systematic-review-of-clinical-evidence-and-ex pert-opinion-from-physicians-surveys/.
- 230. **Gómez** et al., Mortality risk factors in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection and atrial fibrillation: Data from the SEMI-COVID-19 registry, Medicina Clínica (English Edition), doi:10.1016/j.medcle.2022.01.020.
- 231. **Gönenli** et al., Analysis of the Prophylactic use of Hydroxychloroquine at the Beginning of the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Physicians, Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, doi:10.36519/idcm.2022.111.
- 232. **Gonzalez** et al., The Prognostic Value of Eosinophil Recovery in COVID-19: A Multicentre, Retrospective Cohort Study on Patients Hospitalised in Spanish Hospitals, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.08.18.20172874.

- 233. **González** et al., Hydroxychloroquine efficacy and safety in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease severity during pregnancy (COVID-Preg): a structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised placebo controlled trial, Trials, doi:10.1186/s13063-020-04557-y.
- 234. González-Paz et al., Biophysical Analysis of Potential Inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 Cell Recognition and Their Effect on Viral Dynamics in Different Cell Types: A Computational Prediction from In Vitro Experimental Data, ACS Omega, doi:10.1021/acsomega.3c06968.
- 235. **Gøtzsche**, P., *Bias in double-blind trials*, Doctoral Thesis, University of Copenhagen, www.scientificfreedom.dk/2023/05/16/bias-in-double-blind-trials-doctoral-thesis/.
- 236. **Government of China**, 关于印发新型冠状病毒肺炎诊疗方案(试行第八版)的通知, www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7653p/202008/0a7bdf12bd4b46e5bd28ca7f9a7f5e5a.shtml.
- 237. **Government of India**, The caregiver and all close contacts of such cases should take HCQ prophylaxis, www.mohfw.gov.in/pdf/RevisedHomelsolationGuidelines.pdf.
- 238. **Government of Venezuela**, THERAPEUTIC MANAGEMENT GUIDE FOR COVID-19 PATIENTS AND CONTACTS, www.mpps.gob.ve/index.php/sistemas/descargas.
- 239. **Granados-Montiel** et al., New prophylaxis regimen for SARS-CoV-2 infection in health professionals with low doses of hydroxychloroquine and bromhexine: a randomised, double-blind placebo clinical trial (ELEVATE Trial), BMJ Open, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045190.
- 240. **Grau-Pujol** et al., Pre-exposure prophylaxis with hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19: a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial, Trials, doi:10.1186/s13063-021-05758-9.
- 241. **Guérin** et al., Azithromycin and Hydroxychloroquine Accelerate Recovery of Outpatients with Mild/Moderate COVID-19, Asian J. Medicine and Health, July 15, 2020, doi:10.9734/ajmah/2020/v18i730224.
- 242. **Guglielmetti** et al., Treatment for COVID-19—a cohort study from Northern Italy, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-00243-4.
- 243. **Guglielmetti (B)** et al., Severe COVID-19 pneumonia in Piacenza, Italy a cohort study of the first pandemic wave, Journal of Infection and Public Health, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2020.11.012.
- 244. **Guillaume** et al., Antirheumatic Drug Intake Influence on Occurrence of COVID-19 Infection in Ambulatory Patients with Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases: A Cohort Study, Rheumatology and Therapy, doi:10.1007/s40744-021-00373-1.
- 245. Guisado-Vasco, Clinical characteristics and outcomes among hospitalized adults with severe COVID-19 admitted to a tertiary medical center and receiving antiviral, antimalarials, glucocorticoids, or immunomodulation with tocilizumab or cyclosporine: A retrospective observational study (COQUIMA cohort), www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2589537020303357.
- 246. Guisado-Vasco (B), Clinical characteristics and outcomes among hospitalized adults with severe COVID-19 admitted to a tertiary medical center and receiving antiviral, antimalarials, glucocorticoids, or immunomodulation with tocilizumab or cyclosporine: A retrospective observational study (COQUIMA cohort), www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2589537020303357.
- 247. Gül et al., Clinical Trial For Early SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Treatment, NCT04981379, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04981379.
- 248. **GulfInsider**, Coronavirus: Bahrain's Therapeutic Medication Proved Effective, www.gulf-insider.com/coronavirus-bahrains-therapeutic-medication-proved-effective/.
- 249. **Güner** et al., Comparing ICU Admission Rates of Mild/Moderate COVID-19 Patients Treated with Hydroxychloroquine, Favipiravir, and Hydroxychloroquine plus Favipiravir, Journal of Infection and Public Health, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2020.12.017.
- 250. **Gupta** et al., Factors Associated With Death in Critically III Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in the US, JAMA Intern. Med., doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3596.
- 251. **Hafez** et al., Antiviral Used among Non-Severe COVID-19 Cases in Relation to Time till Viral Clearance: A Retrospective Cohort Study, Antibiotics, doi:10.3390/antibiotics11040498.

- 252. Haji Aghajani et al., Decreased in-hospital mortality associated with aspirin administration in hospitalized patients due to severe COVID-19, Journal of Medical Virology, doi:10.1002/jmv.27053.
- 253. Hall et al., Multi-institutional Analysis of 505 COVID-19 Patients Supported with ECMO: Predictors of Survival, The Annals of Thoracic Surgery, doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2022.01.043.
- 254. **Hampshire** et al., Cognition and Memory after Covid-19 in a Large Community Sample, New England Journal of Medicine, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2311330.
- 255. **Harbord** et al., A modified test for small-study effects in meta-analyses of controlled trials with binary endpoints, Statistics in Medicine, doi:10.1002/sim.2380.
- 256. **Hawari** et al., The Potential Use of Nebulized Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of COVID-19, NCT05113810, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05113810.
- 257. Hayden et al., Baloxavir Marboxil for Uncomplicated Influenza in Adults and Adolescents, New England Journal of Medicine, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1716197.
- 258. **Heberto** et al., Implications of myocardial injury in Mexican hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), IJC Heart & Vasculature, doi:10.1016/j.ijcha.2020.100638.
- 259. **Hecel** et al., *Zinc*(*II*) The Overlooked Éminence Grise of Chloroquine's Fight against COVID-19?, Pharmaceuticals, 13:9, 228, doi:10.3390/ph13090228.
- 260. Heras et al., COVID-19 mortality risk factors in older people in a long-term care center, European Geriatric Medicine, doi:10.1007/s41999-020-00432-w.
- Hernandez-Cardenas et al., Hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of severe respiratory infection by COVID-19: a randomized controlled trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.02.01.21250371.
- 262. **Higgins** et al., Long-term (180-Day) Outcomes in Critically III Patients With COVID-19 in the REMAP-CAP Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.2022.23257.
- 263. **Ho** et al., *Hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19: A Single Center, Retrospective Cohort Study*, Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences, doi:10.47836/mjmhs19.2.3.
- 264. **Hong** et al., Early Hydroxychloroquine Administration for Rapid Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Eradication, Infect. Chemother., 2020, doi:10.3947/ic.2020.52.e43.
- 265. **Hong (B)** et al., Use of combined treatment of 3rd-generation cephalosporin, azithromycin and antiviral agents on moderate SARs-CoV-2 patients in South Korea: A retrospective cohort study, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0267645.
- 266. **Hraiech** et al., Lack of viral clearance by the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin or lopinavir and ritonavir in SARS-CoV-2-related acute respiratory distress syndrome, Ann. Intensive Care, doi:10.1186/s13613-020-00678-4.
- 267. **Huang** et al., *Clinical characteristics of* 17 patients with COVID-19 and systemic autoimmune diseases: a retrospective study, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2020:79, 1163-1169, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217425.
- 268. **Huang (B)** et al., Preliminary evidence from a multicenter prospective observational study of the safety and efficacy of chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19, National Science Review, nwaa113, doi:10.1093/nsr/nwaa113.
- 269. **Huang (C)** et al., Preliminary evidence from a multicenter prospective observational study of the safety and efficacy of chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19, National Science Review, nwaa113, doi:10.1093/nsr/nwaa113.
- 270. **Huang (D)** et al., Effect of traditional therapeutics on prevalence and clinical outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in Chinese patients with autoimmune diseases, Journal of Translational Autoimmunity, doi:10.1016/j.jtauto.2023.100227.
- 271. **Huh** et al., Association of prescribed medications with the risk of COVID-19 infection and severity among adults in South Korea, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.12.041.
- 272. **Hussein** et al., Molecular Docking Identification for the efficacy of Some Zinc Complexes with Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine against Main Protease of COVID-19, Journal of Molecular Structure, doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2021.129979.

- 273. **IHU**, Natural history and therapeutic options for COVID-19, Expert Review of Clinical Immunology, www.mediterranee-infection.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/ERM-2020-0073.R1\_Proof\_hi.pdf.
- 274. IHU Marseille, Meta-analysis on chloroquine derivatives and COVID-19 mortality, www.mediterranee-infection.com/meta-analysis-on-chloroquine-derivatives-and-covid-19-mortality-october20-2020-update/.
- 275. Ikematsu et al., Baloxavir Marboxil for Prophylaxis against Influenza in Household Contacts, New England Journal of Medicine, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1915341.
- 276. **Ip** et al., Hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of outpatients with mildly symptomatic COVID-19: A multi-center observational study, BMC Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1186/s12879-021-05773-w.
- 277. **Ip (B)** et al., Hydroxychloroquine and Tocilizumab Therapy in COVID-19 Patients An Observational Study, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0237693.
- 278. **Isnardi** et al., Sociodemographic and clinical factors associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes in patients with rheumatic diseases: data from the SAR-COVID Registry, Clinical Rheumatology, doi:10.1007/s10067-022-06393-8.
- 279. **Izoulet**, M., Countries which Primarily Use Antimalarial Drugs As COVID-19 Treatment See Slower Dynamic of Daily Deaths, SSRN, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3575899.
- 280. Jacobs et al., Multi-institutional Analysis of 200 COVID-19 Patients treated with ECMO:Outcomes and Trends, The Annals of Thoracic Surgery, doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2021.06.026.
- 281. Jadad et al., Randomized Controlled Trials: Questions, Answers, and Musings, Second Edition, doi:10.1002/9780470691922.
- 282. James et al., PROLIFIC ChemoprophylaxisTrial (COVID-19), NCT04352933, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04352933.
- 283. **Jeffreys** et al., Remdesivir-ivermectin combination displays synergistic interaction with improved in vitro activity against SARS-CoV-2, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2022.106542.
- 284. **Jitobaom** et al., Favipiravir and Ivermectin Showed in Vitro Synergistic Antiviral Activity against SARS-CoV-2, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-941811/v1.
- 285. **Jitobaom (B)** et al., Synergistic anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of repurposed anti-parasitic drug combinations, BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology, doi:10.1186/s40360-022-00580-8.
- 286. **Johnston** et al., Hydroxychloroquine with or Without Azithromycin for Treatment of Early SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among High-Risk Outpatient Adults: A Randomized Clinical Trial, EClinicalMedicine, doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100773.
- 287. **Juneja** et al., Hydroxychloroquine pre-exposure prophylaxis provides no protection against COVID-19 among health care workers: a cross-sectional study in a tertiary care hospital in North India, Journal of Basic and Clinical Physiology and Pharmacology, doi:10.1515/jbcpp-2021-0221.
- 288. **Jung** et al., Effect of hydroxychloroquine pre-exposure on infection with SARS-CoV-2 in rheumatic disease patients: A population-based cohort study, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.12.003.
- 289. **Kadnur** et al., Hydroxychloroquine pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 among healthcare workers: Initial experience from India, Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1177\_21.
- 290. **Kalligeros** et al., Hydroxychloroquine use in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: An observational matched cohort study, Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance, doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2020.07.018.
- 291. **Kamga Kapchoup** et al., In vitro effect of hydroxychloroquine on pluripotent stem cells and their cardiomyocytes derivatives, Frontiers in Pharmacology, doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1128382.
- 292. **Kamran** et al., Clearing the fog: Is HCQ effective in reducing COVID-19 progression: A randomized controlled trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.07.30.20165365.
- 293. **Kamstrup** et al., Hydroxychloroquine as a primary prophylactic agent against sars-cov-2 infection: a cohort study, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.05.076.
- 294. **Kara** et al., Efficacy and Safety of Hydroxychloroquine and Favipiravir in the Treatment of Mild to Moderate COVID-19, NCT04411433, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04411433.

- 295. Karita et al., Trajectory of viral load in a prospective population-based cohort with incident SARS-CoV-2 G614 infection, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.08.27.21262754.
- 296. **Karruli** et al., Multidrug-Resistant Infections and Outcome of Critically III Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Single Center Experience, Microbial Drug Resistance, doi:10.1089/mdr.2020.0489.
- 297. Kaur et al., Folic acid as placebo in controlled clinical trials of hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis in COVID-19: Is it scientifically justifiable?, Medical Hypotheses, doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2021.110539.
- 298. **Kavanagh** et al., Inhaled hydroxychloroquine to improve efficacy and reduce harm in the treatment of COVID-19, Med. Hypotheses, doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110110.
- 299. **Kelly** et al., *Clinical outcomes and adverse events in patients hospitalised with COVID-19, treated with off-label hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, doi:10.1111/bcp.14482.*
- 300. **Khoubnasabjafari** et al., Prevalence of COVID-19 in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) already treated with hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) compared with HCQ-naive patients with RA: a multicentre cross-sectional study, Postgraduate Medical Journal, doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-139561.
- 301. **Khurana** et al., Prevalence and clinical correlates of COVID-19 outbreak among healthcare workers in a tertiary level hospital, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.07.21.20159301.
- 302. Kim et al., Comparison of Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Hydroxychloroquine in Patients With Mild Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), NCT04307693, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04438837.
- 303. **Kim (B)** et al., Treatment Response to Hydroxychloroquine, Lopinavir/Ritonavir, and Antibiotics for Moderate COVID 19: A First Report on the Pharmacological Outcomes from South Korea, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.05.13.20094193.
- 304. **Kirenga** et al., *Characteristics and outcomes of admitted patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Uganda*, BMJ Open Respiratory Research, doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2020-000646.
- 305. **Klebanov** et al., Antimalarials are not Effective as Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis for COVID-19: A Retrospective Matched Control Study, Journal of Drugs in Dermatology, doi:10.36849/jdd.6593.
- 306. Klimke et al., Hydroxychloroquine as an aerosol might markedly reduce and even prevent severe clinical symptoms after SARS-CoV-2 infection, Med. Hypotheses, doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109783.
- 307. **Kokturk** et al., *The predictors of COVID-19 mortality in a nationwide cohort of Turkish patients*, Respiratory Medicine, doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2021.106433.
- 308. **Komissarov** et al., Hydroxychloroquine has no effect on SARS-CoV-2 load in nasopharynx of patients with mild form of *COVID*-19, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.06.30.20143289.
- 309. Konig et al., Baseline use of hydroxychloroquine in systemic lupus erythematosus does not preclude SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe COVID-19, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217690.
- 310. **Korkmaz** et al., The effect of Hydroxychloroquine use due to rheumatic disease on the risk of Covid-19 infection and its course, Authorea, doi:10.22541/au.162257516.68665404/v1.
- 311. Korves et al., SARS-CoV-2 Genetic Variants and Patient Factors Associated with Hospitalization Risk, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2024.03.08.24303818.
- Kowatsch et al., Hydroxychloroquine reduces T cells activation recall antigen responses, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0287738.
- 313. **Krishnan** et al., Clinical comorbidities, characteristics, and outcomes of mechanically ventilated patients in the State of Michigan with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, J Clin Anesth., doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2020.110005.
- 314. **Krishnan (B)** et al., Predictors of Mortality among Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 during the First Wave in India: A Multisite Case-Control Study, The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.22-0705.
- 315. **Küçükakkaş** et al., The effect of hydroxychloroquine against SARS-CoV-2 infection in rheumatoid arthritis patients, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-43812/v1.

- 316. Kuderer et al., Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study, Lancet, June 20, 2020, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31187-9.
- 317. **Kumar** et al., Combining baloxavir marboxil with standard-of-care neuraminidase inhibitor in patients hospitalised with severe influenza (FLAGSTONE): a randomised, parallel-group, double-blind, placebo-controlled, superiority trial, The Lancet Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00469-2.
- 318. Ladapo et al., Randomized Controlled Trials of Early Ambulatory Hydroxychloroquine in the Prevention of COVID-19 Infection, Hospitalization, and Death: Meta-Analysis, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.09.30.20204693.
- 319. Lagier et al., Outcomes of 2,111 COVID-19 hospitalised patients treated with 2 hydroxychloroquine/azithromycin and other regimens in Marseille, France: a 3 monocentric retrospective analysis, Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management, doi:10.2147/TCRM.S364022.
- 320. Lagier (B) et al., Outcomes of 3,737 COVID-19 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine/azithromycin and other regimens in Marseille, France: A retrospective analysis, Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 101791, Jun 25, 2020, doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101791.
- 321. Lamback et al., Hydroxychloroquine with azithromycin in patients hospitalized for mild and moderate COVID-19, The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.bjid.2021.101549.
- 322. Lambermont et al., Predictors of Mortality and Effect of Drug Therapies in Mechanically Ventilated Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Multicenter Cohort Study, Critical Care Explorations, doi:10.1097/CCE.000000000000305.
- 323. Lammers et al., Early hydroxychloroquine but not chloroquine use reduces ICU admission in COVID-19 patients, Int. J. Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.09.1460.
- 324. Landsteiner de Sampaio Amêndola et al., COVID-19 Infection in Rheumatic Patients on Chronic Antimalarial Drugs: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, Journal of Clinical Medicine, doi:10.3390/jcm11226865.
- 325. Lano et al., Risk factors for severity of COVID-19 in chronic dialysis patients from a multicentre French cohort, Clinical Kidney Journal, 13:5, October 2020, 878–888, doi:10.1093/ckj/sfaa199.
- 326. **Laplana** et al., Lack of protective effect of chloroquine derivatives on COVID-19 disease in a Spanish sample of chronically treated patients, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0243598.
- 327. Lauriola et al., Effect of combination therapy of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on mortality in COVID-19 patients, Clinical and Translational Science, doi:10.1111/cts.12860.
- 328. Lavilla Olleros et al., Use of glucocorticoids megadoses in SARS-CoV-2 infection in a spanish registry: SEMI-COVID-19, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0261711.
- 329. Le Nouvel Afrik, Covid-19 : pourquoi les Marocains décèdent plus en Europe qu'au Maroc, www.afrik.com/covid-19-pourquoi-les-marocains-decedent-plus-en-europe-qu-au-maroc.
- 330. Lecronier et al., Comparison of hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir/ritonavir, and standard of care in critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: an opportunistic retrospective analysis, Critical Care, 24:418, 2020, doi:10.1186/s13054-020-03117-9.
- 331. Lee et al., Analysis of Overall Level of Evidence Behind Infectious Diseases Society of America Practice Guidelines, Arch Intern Med., 2011, 171:1, 18-22, doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.482.
- 332. Levi et al., Open Label Study to Compare Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of Hydroxychloroquine Combined With Azithromycin Compared to Hydroxychloroquine Combined With Camostat Mesylate and to "no Treatment" in SARS CoV 2 Virus (COSTA), NCT04355052, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04355052.
- 333. Li et al., *Is hydroxychloroquine beneficial for COVID-19 patients?*, Cell Death & Disease volume 11, doi:10.1038/s41419-020-2721-8.
- 334. Li (B) et al., Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine in comparison with chloroquine in moderate and severe patients with COVID-19, Science China Life Sciences, doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1871-4.
- 335. Li (C) et al., Treatment of COVID-19 patients with hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine: A retrospective analysis, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-119202/v1.

- 336. LifeSiteNews, Doctors insist this cheap, safe drug is "key to preventing huge loss of life" from Wuhan virus, www.lifesitenews.com/news/doctors-insist-this-drug-is-a-proven-safe-inexpensive-key-to-returning-society-toward-normal-functio ning-and-to-preventing-huge-loss-of-life-from-covid-virus.
- 337. Liu et al., Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro, Cell Discovery 6, 16 (2020), doi:10.1038/s41421-020-0156-0.
- 338. Liu (B) et al., Factors affecting different COVID-19 outcomes in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus during the second pandemic wave of COVID-19 in China, Lupus, doi:10.1177/09612033241230736.
- 339. Llanos-Cuentas et al., Hydroxychloroquine to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers: early termination of a phase 3, randomised, open-label, controlled clinical trial, BMC Research Notes, doi:10.1186/s13104-023-06281-7.
- 340. Loo et al., Recent Advances in Inhaled Nanoformulations of Vaccines and Therapeutics Targeting Respiratory Viral Infections, Pharmaceutical Research, doi:10.1007/s11095-023-03520-1.
- 341. **López** et al., Telemedicine follow-ups for COVID-19: experience in a tertiary hospital, Annals of Pediatrics, doi:10.1016/j.anpedi.2020.10.017.
- López-Medina et al., Effect of Ivermectin on Time to Resolution of Symptoms Among Adults With Mild COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.2021.3071.
- 343. Lora-Tamayo et al., Early Lopinavir/ritonavir does not reduce mortality in COVID-19 patients: results of a large multicenter study, J. Infection, doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2021.02.011.
- 344. Lotfy et al., Use of Hydroxychloroquine in Patients with COVID-19: A Retrospective Observational Study, Turk. Thorac. J., doi:10.5152/TurkThoracJ.2021.20180.
- 345. Loucera et al., Real-world evidence with a retrospective cohort of 15,968 COVID-19 hospitalized patients suggests 21 new effective treatments, Virology Journal, doi:10.1186/s12985-023-02195-9.
- 346. Lui et al., Nsp1 facilitates SARS-CoV-2 replication through calcineurin-NFAT signaling, Virology, doi:10.1128/mbio.00392-24.
- 347. Luo et al., COVID-19 in patients with lung cancer, Annals of Oncology, 31:10, 1386-1396, doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.06.007.
- 348. Luo (B) et al., Metformin Treatment Was Associated with Decreased Mortality in COVID-19 Patients with Diabetes in a Retrospective Analysis, The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-0375.
- 349. Lv et al., Host proviral and antiviral factors for SARS-CoV-2, Virus Genes, doi:10.1007/s11262-021-01869-2.
- 350. Ly et al., Pattern of SARS-CoV-2 infection among dependant elderly residents living in retirement homes in Marseille, France, March-June 2020, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106219.
- 351. **Lyashchenko** et al., Systemic Exposure to Hydroxychloroquine and its relationship with outcome in severely ill COVID-19 patients in New York City, British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, doi:10.1111/bcp.15489.
- 352. Lyngbakken et al., A pragmatic randomized controlled trial reports lack of efficacy of hydroxychloroquine on coronavirus disease 2019 viral kinetics, Nature Communications, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19056-6.
- 353. **Macaskill** et al., A comparison of methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis, Statistics in Medicine, doi:10.1002/sim.698.
- 354. **MacFadden** et al., Screening Large Population Health Databases for Potential COVID-19 Therapeutics: A Pharmacopeia-Wide Association Study (PWAS) of Commonly Prescribed Medications, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofac156.
- 355. **Macias** et al., Similar incidence of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in patients with rheumatic diseases with and without hydroxychloroquine therapy, medRxiv, 10.1101/2020.05.16.20104141, www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.16.20104141v1.
- 356. **Magagnoli** et al., Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in United States veterans hospitalized with Covid-19, Med (2020), doi:10.1016/j.medj.2020.06.001.

- 357. Mahale et al., A Retrospective Observational Study of Hypoxic COVID-19 Patients Treated with Immunomodulatory Drugs in a Tertiary Care Hospital, Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine, doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23599.
- 358. **Mahévas** et al., *Clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with covid-19 pneumonia who require oxygen:* observational comparative study using routine care data, BMJ 2020, 369, doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1844, www.bmj.com/content/369/bmj.m1844.
- 359. **Mahto** et al., Seroprevalence of IgG against SARS-CoV-2 and its determinants among healthcare workers of a COVID-19 dedicated hospital of India, American Journal of Blood Research, 11:1, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/pmc/articles/PMC8010601/.
- 360. **Maldonado** et al., COVID-19 incidence and outcomes in a home dialysis unit in Madrid (Spain) at the height of the pandemic, Nefrología, doi:10.1016/j.nefro.2020.09.002.
- 361. **Mallat** et al., Hydroxychloroquine is associated with slower viral clearance in clinical COVID-19 patients with mild to moderate disease: A retrospective study, Medicine (Baltimore), doi:10.1097/MD.00000000023720.
- 362. **Malone** et al., Structures and functions of coronavirus replication–transcription complexes and their relevance for SARS-CoV-2 drug design, Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, doi:10.1038/s41580-021-00432-z.
- 363. **Malundo** et al., Predictors of Mortality among inpatients with COVID-19 Infection in a Tertiary Referral Center in the Philippines, IJID Regions, doi:10.1016/j.ijregi.2022.07.009.
- 364. **Martin-Vicente** et al., Absent or insufficient anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies at ICU admission are associated to higher viral loads in plasma, antigenemia and mortality in COVID-19 patients, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.03.08.21253121.
- 365. **Martinez-Lopez** et al., Multiple Myeloma and SARS-CoV-2 Infection: Clinical Characteristics and Prognostic Factors of Inpatient Mortality, Blood Cancer Journal, doi:10.1038/s41408-020-00372-5.
- 366. **Matada** et al., A comprehensive review on the biological interest of quinoline and its derivatives, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2020.115973.
- 367. **Matangila** et al., Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients hospitalized at Clinique Ngaliema, a public hospital in Kinshasa, in the Democratic Republic of Congo: A retrospective cohort study, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244272.
- 368. **Mathai** et al., Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis against COVID-19 in health-care workers: A single-center experience, J. Marine Medical Society, doi:10.4103/jmms.jmms\_115\_20.
- 369. **Mathew** et al., Predictors of COVID-19 severity and outcomes in Indian patients with rheumatic diseases: a prospective cohort study, Rheumatology Advances in Practice, doi:10.1093/rap/rkad025.
- 370. **McCullough** et al., Hydroxychloroquine in the Prevention of COVID-19 Infection in Healthcare Workers, NCT04333225, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04333225.
- 371. McGrail et al., COVID-19 Case Series at UnityPoint Health St. Luke's Hospital in Cedar Rapids, IA, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.07.17.20156521.
- 372. **McKinnon** et al., Safety and Tolerability of Hydroxychloroquine in healthcare workers and first responders for the prevention of COVID-19: WHIP COVID-19 Study, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.12.343.
- 373. **McLean** et al., Impact of Late Oseltamivir Treatment on Influenza Symptoms in the Outpatient Setting: Results of a Randomized Trial, Open Forum Infect. Dis. September 2015, 2:3, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofv100.
- Medical World Nigeria, Chloroquine potent for COVID-19 prevention, says NAFDAC, medicalworldnigeria.com/post/Chloroquine-Potent-For-COVID-19-Prevention-Says-NAFDAC?pid=45479.
- Medical Xpress, Senegal says hydroxychloroquine virus treatment is promising, medicalxpress.com/news/2020-04-senegal-hydroxychloroquine-virus-treatment.html.
- 376. **Medical Xpress (B)**, Amid global controversy, Greece moves forward with chloroquine, medicalxpress.com/news/2020-06-global-controversy-greece-chloroquine.html.

377. medicospelavidacovid19.com.br,

medicospelavidacovid19.com.br/editoriais/folha-de-s-paulo-revela-numeros-de-david-uip-veja-a-comparacao-com-medicos-que-f azem-tratamento-precoce/.

- 378. Meeus, G., Online Comment, twitter.com/gertmeeus\_MD/status/1386636373889781761.
- 379. **Meeus (B)** et al., Efficacy and safety of in-hospital treatment of Covid-19 infection with low-dose hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in hospitalized patients: A retrospective controlled cohort study, New Microbes and New Infections, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2023.101172.
- 380. **Mehrizi** et al., Drug prescription patterns and their association with mortality and hospitalization duration in COVID-19 patients: insights from big data, Frontiers in Public Health, doi:10.3389/fpubh.2023.1280434.
- 381. Membrillo de Novales et al., Early Hydroxychloroquine Is Associated with an Increase of Survival in COVID-19 Patients: An Observational Study, Preprints 2020, 2020050057, doi:10.20944/preprints202005.0057.v1.
- 382. **Menardi** et al., A retrospective analysis on pharmacological approaches to COVID-19 patients in an Italian hub hospital during the early phase of the pandemic, PharmAdvances, doi:10.36118/pharmadvances.2021.15.
- 383. Meneguesso, A., Médica defende tratamento precoce da Covid-19, www.youtube.com/watch?v=X5FCrIm\_19U.
- Mežnar et al., Use of Bromhexine and Hydroxychloroquine for Treatment of COVID-19 Pneumonia, NCT04355026, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04355026.
- 385. **Middle East Eye**, Coronavirus: Turkey says hydroxychloroquine dramatically reduces pneumonia cases, www.middleeasteye.net/news/coronavirus-turkey-hydroxychloroquine-malaria-treatment-progress.
- Mikami et al., Risk Factors for Mortality in Patients with COVID-19 in New York City, J. Gen. Intern. Med., doi:10.1007/s11606-020-05983-z.
- 387. Milan Bonotto et al., Cathepsin inhibitors nitroxoline and its derivatives inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection, Antiviral Research, doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2023.105655.
- Million et al., Cardiovascular Safety of Hydroxychloroquine-Azithromycin in 424 COVID-19 Patients, MDPI AG, doi:10.20944/preprints202303.0325.v1.
- 389. **Million (B)** et al., Early Treatment with Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin in 10,429 COVID-19 Outpatients: A Monocentric Retrospective Cohort Study, Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, doi:10.31083/j.rcm2203116.
- 390. Ministerstva Zdravotnictví, Rozhodnutí o dočasném povolení neregistrovaného humánního léčivého přípravku HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE SULFATE TABLETS, www.mzcr.cz/rozhodnuti-o-docasnem-povoleni-neregistrovaneho-humanniho-leciveho-pripravku-hydroxychloroquine-sulfate-tabl ets/.
- 391. Ministry of Health of Ukraine, ПРОТОКОЛ «НАДАННЯ МЕДИЧНОЇ ДОПОМОГИ ДЛЯ ЛІКУВАННЯ КОРОНАВІРУСНОЇ XBOPOБИ (COVID-19)», www.dec.gov.ua/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/2020\_762\_protokol\_covid19-f.pdf.
- 392. Ministry of Health of Ukraine (B), «НАДАННЯ МЕДИЧНОЇ ДОПОМОГИ ДЛЯ ЛІКУВАННЯ КОРОНАВІРУСНОЇ ХВОРОБИ (COVID-19), moz.gov.ua/uploads/5/26129-dn\_2106\_17\_09\_2020\_dod\_1.pdf.
- 393. **Mitchell** et al., Markedly Lower Rates of Coronavirus Infection and Fatality in Malaria-Endemic Regions A Clue As to Treatment?, SSRN, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3586954.
- 394. **Mitjà** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for Early Treatment of Adults with Mild Covid-19: A Randomized-Controlled Trial, Clinical Infectious Diseases, ciaa1009, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1009.
- 395. Mitjà (B) et al., A Cluster-Randomized Trial of Hydroxychloroquine as Prevention of Covid-19 Transmission and Disease, NEJM, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2021801.
- 396. **Modrák** et al., Detailed disease progression of 213 patients hospitalized with Covid-19 in the Czech Republic: An exploratory analysis, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.12.03.20239863.
- 397. **Mohandas** et al., *Clinical review of COVID-19 patients presenting to a quaternary care private hospital in South India: A retrospective study, , www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213398421000555.*

- 398. Mohd Abd Razak et al., In Vitro Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Activities of Curcumin and Selected Phenolic Compounds, Natural Product Communications, doi:10.1177/1934578X231188861.
- 399. **Mokhtari** et al., Clinical outcomes of patients with mild COVID-19 following treatment with hydroxychloroquine in an outpatient setting, International Immunopharmacology, doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107636.
- 400. **Moraes** et al., Comparative Study of Hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin in COVID-19 Prophylaxis, NCT04384458, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04384458.
- 401. Morales-Asencio et al., Prevention of COVID19 Infection in Nursing Homes by Chemoprophylaxis With Hydroxychloroquine, NCT04400019, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04400019.
- 402. Mordmüller et al., Hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 (COV-HCQ), NCT04342221, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04342221.
- 403. **Moreno** et al., Assessment of regression-based methods to adjust for publication bias through a comprehensive simulation study, BMC Medical Research Methodology, doi:10.1186/1471-2288-9-2.
- 404. Morocco World News, Moroccan Scientist: Morocco's Chloroquine Success Reveals European Failures, www.moroccoworldnews.com/2020/06/306587/moroccan-scientist-moroccos-chloroquine-success-reveals-european-failures/.
- 405. **Mosaique Guinee**, Traitement des malades de covid19 en Guinée: « nous continuons avec l'hydroxychloroquine » (ANSS), mosaiqueguinee.com/traitement-des-malades-de-covid19-en-guinee-nous-continuons-avec-lhydroxychloroquine-anss/.
- 406. **Mulhem** et al., 3219 hospitalised patients with COVID-19 in Southeast Michigan: a retrospective case cohort study, BMJ Open, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042042.
- 407. **Murigneux** et al., Proteomic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 particles unveils a key role of G3BP proteins in viral assembly, Nature Communications, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-44958-0.
- 408. **Nachega** et al., *Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of Patients Hospitalized for COVID-19 in Africa: Early Insights from the Democratic Republic of the Congo*, The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-1240.
- 409. **Naggie** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 in health care workers: A randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial (HERO-HCQ), International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2023.01.019.
- 410. Ñamendys-Silva et al., Outcomes of patients with COVID-19 in the Intensive Care Unit in Mexico: A multicenter observational study, Heart & Lung, doi:10.1016/j.hrtlng.2020.10.013.
- 411. **Nanni** et al., PROTECT Trial: A cluster-randomized study with hydroxychloroquine versus observational support for prevention or early-phase treatment of Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): A structured summary of a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial, Trials, doi:10.1186/s13063-020-04527-4.
- 412. **Naseem** et al., Predicting mortality in SARS-COV-2 (COVID-19) positive patients in the inpatient setting using a Novel Deep Neural Network, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.12.13.20247254.
- 413. **Nasri** et al., Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in pre-exposure severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 prophylaxis among high-risk healthcare workers: A multicenter study, Advanced Biomedical Research, doi:10.4103/abr.abr\_104\_21.
- 414. **Navya** et al., A computational study on hydroxychloroquine binding to target proteins related to SARS-COV-2 infection, Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, doi:10.1016/j.imu.2021.100714.
- 415. **Niarakis** et al., Drug-target identification in COVID-19 disease mechanisms using computational systems biology approaches, Frontiers in Immunology, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1282859.
- 416. **Nichol** et al., *Challenging issues in randomised controlled trials*, Injury, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2010.03.033, www.injuryjournal.com/article/S0020-1383(10)00233-0/fulltext.
- 417. Nigeria News World, COVID-19: Jigawa govt reveals secret behind mass recovery of patients, nigerianewsworld.com/news/covid-19-jigawa-govt-reveals-secret-behind-mass-recovery-of-patients/.
- 418. **Niriella** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for post-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 among naval personnel in Sri Lanka: study protocol for a randomized, controlled trial, Trials, doi:10.1186/s13063-020-04659-7.

- 419. **Niwas** et al., *Clinical outcome, viral response and safety profile of chloroquine in COVID-19 patients initial experience,* Advances in Respiratory Medicine, doi:10.5603/ARM.a2020.0139.
- 420. **Nonaka** et al., SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern P.1 (Gamma) infection in young and middle-aged patients admitted to the intensive care units of a single hospital in Salvador, Northeast Brazil, February 2021, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.08.003.
- 421. **Noureddine** et al., Quantum chemical studies on molecular structure, AIM, ELF, RDG and antiviral activities of hybrid hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of COVID-19: molecular docking and DFT calculations, Journal of King Saud University Science, doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101334.
- 422. **Novartis**, Hydroxychloroquine Monotherapy and in Combination With Azithromycin in Patients With Moderate and Severe COVID-19 Disease, NCT04358081, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04358081.
- 423. NPR News, Senegal pledges a bed for every coronavirus patient, wfuv.org/content/senegal-pledges-bed-every-coronavirus-patient-%E2%80%94-and-their-contacts-too.
- 424. **Núñez-Gil** et al., Hydroxychloroquine and Mortality in SARS-Cov-2 Infection; The HOPE- Covid-19 Registry., Anti-Infective Agents, doi:10.2174/2211352520666220514112951.
- 425. Núñez-Gil (B) et al., Mortality risk assessment in Spain and Italy, insights of the HOPE COVID-19 registry, Intern. Emerg. Med., doi:10.1007/s11739-020-02543-5.
- 426. **Obriscă** et al., Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in an Actively Monitored Cohort of Patients with Lupus Nephritis, Biomedicines, doi:10.3390/biomedicines10102423.
- 427. **Okasha** et al., Hydroxychloroquine and Nitazoxanide Combination Therapy for COVID-19, NCT04361318, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04361318.
- 428. **Oku** et al., Risk factors for hospitalization or mortality for COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic diseases: Results of a nationwide JCR COVID-19 registry in Japan, Modern Rheumatology, doi:10.1093/mr/roac104.
- 429. **Omma** et al., Hydroxychloroquine shortened hospital stay and reduced intensive care unit admissions in hospitalized COVID-19 patients, The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, doi:10.3855/jidc.14933.
- 430. **Omrani** et al., Randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled trial of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin for virologic cure of non-severe Covid-19, EClinicalMedicine, doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100645.
- 431. **Oneindia**, No COVID-19 death in Manipur, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim so far: Govt, www.oneindia.com/india/no-covid-19-death-in-manipur-mizoram-nagaland-sikkim-so-far-health-ministry-3111048.html.
- 432. **Opdam** et al., Identification of Risk Factors for COVID-19 Hospitalization in Patients with Anti-Rheumatic Drugs: Results from a Multicenter Nested Case Control Study, Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics, doi:10.1002/cpt.2551.
- 433. **Orioli** et al., *Clinical characteristics and short-term prognosis of in-patients with diabetes and COVID-19: A retrospective study from an academic center in Belgium*, Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews, doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2020.12.020.
- 434. **Osawa** et al., Characteristics and risk factors for mortality in critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving invasive mechanical ventilation: the experience of a private network in Sao Paulo, Brazil, The Journal of Critical Care Medicine, doi:10.2478/jccm-2022-0015.
- 435. **Ostrov** et al., Highly Specific Sigma Receptor Ligands Exhibit Anti-Viral Properties in SARS-CoV-2 Infected Cells, Pathogens, doi:10.3390/pathogens10111514.
- 436. **Ou** et al., Hydroxychloroquine-mediated inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 entry is attenuated by TMPRSS2, PLOS Pathogens, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009212.
- 437. **Ouedraogo** et al., Factors associated with the occurrence of acute respiratory distress and death in patients with COVID-19 in Burkina Faso, Revue des Maladies Respiratoires, doi:10.1016/j.rmr.2021.02.001.
- 438. **Oztas** et al., Frequency and Severity of COVID-19 in Patients with Various Rheumatic Diseases Treated Regularly with Colchicine or Hydroxychloroquine, Journal of Medical Virology, doi:10.1002/jmv.27731.

- 439. **Ozturk** et al., Mortality analysis of COVID-19 infection in chronic kidney disease, haemodialysis and renal transplant patients compared with patients without kidney disease: a nationwide analysis from Turkey, Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, doi:10.1093/ndt/gfaa271.
- 440. **Pablos** et al., Clinical outcomes of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 and chronic inflammatory and autoimmune rheumatic diseases: a multicentric matched cohort study, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218296.
- 441. **Paccoud** et al., Compassionate use of hydroxychloroquine in clinical practice for patients with mild to severe Covid-19 in a French university hospital, Clinical Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa791.
- 442. **Pan African Medical Journal**, Clinical characteristics, treatment regimen and duration of hospitalization among COVID-19 patients in Ghana: a retrospective cohort study, www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/series/37/1/9/full/.
- 443. **Panda** et al., Antiviral Combination Clinically Better Than Standard Therapy in Severe but Not in Non-Severe COVID-19, Clinical Pharmacology: Advances and Applications, doi:10.2147/CPAA.S325083.
- 444. **Parola** et al., COVID-19 in Africa: What else?, www.mediterranee-infection.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/COVIDAfricaJOUMII.pdf.
- 445. **Pasquini** et al., Effectiveness of remdesivir in patients with COVID-19 under mechanical ventilation in an Italian ICU, Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, doi:10.1093/jac/dkaa321.
- 446. **Patel** et al., Factors Associated with COVID-19 Breakthrough Infection in the Pre-Omicron Era Among Vaccinated Patients with Rheumatic Diseases: A Cohort Study, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2022.07.13.22277606.
- 447. **Patil** et al., A Prospective Longitudinal Study Evaluating The Influence of Immunosuppressives and Other Factors On COVID-19 in Autoimmune Rheumatic Diseases, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-805748/v1.
- 448. **Peacock** et al., The SARS-CoV-2 variant, Omicron, shows rapid replication in human primary nasal epithelial cultures and efficiently uses the endosomal route of entry, bioRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.12.31.474653.
- 449. Pellegrini et al., Effectiveness of Prophylactic Hydroxychloroquine on incidence of COVID-19 infection in Front-line Health and Allied Health Care Workers: The COVID-SHIELD Trial, COVID-SHIELD, ACTRN12620000501943, www.anzctr.org.au/TrialSearch.aspx#&&conditionCode=&dateOfRegistrationFrom=&interventionDescription=&interventionCode Operator=OR&primarySponsorType=&gender=&distance=&postcode=&pageSize=20&ageGroup=&recruitmentCountryOperator=O R&recruitmentRegion=dicsReview=&countryOfRecruitment=Australia%7cNew+Zealand®istry=&searchTxt=ACTRN12620000501 943.
- 450. **Peng** et al., Early versus late acute kidney injury among patients with COVID-19—a multicenter study from Wuhan, China, Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, doi:10.1093/ndt/gfaa288.
- 451. **Peters** et al., Outcomes of Persons With COVID-19 in Hospitals With and Without Standard Treatment With (Hydroxy)chloroquine, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.10.004.
- 452. Peters (B), J., Comparison of Two Methods to Detect Publication Bias in Meta-analysis, JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.295.6.676.
- 453. **Pham** et al., Failure of chronic hydroxychloroquine in preventing severe complications of COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic diseases, Rheumatology Advances in Practice, 10.1093/rap/rkab014, academic.oup.com/rheumap/advance-article/doi/10.1093/rap/rkab014/6156645.
- Pilot News, Chloroquine Can Treat Coronavirus at Early Stage NAFDAC DG, www.westafricanpilotnews.com/2020/08/26/chloroquine-cqb-treat-coronavirus-at-early-stage-nafdac-dg/.
- 455. **Piñana** et al., Risk factors and outcome of COVID-19 in patients with hematological malignancies, Experimental Hematology & Oncology, doi:10.1186/s40164-020-00177-z.
- 456. **Pinato** et al., *Clinical portrait of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in European cancer patients*, Cancer Discovery, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0773.
- 457. **Pineda** et al., Prevention and Treatment With Hydroxychloroquine + Azithromycin of Acute Respiratory Syndrome Induced by COVID-19 (AMBUCOV), NCT04954040, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04954040.
- 458. **PledgeTimes**, Russian Ministry of Health has updated recommendations for the treatment of COVID-19, pledgetimes.com/russian-ministry-of-health-has-updated-recommendations-for-the-treatment-of-covid-19/.
- 459. **Pleno.News**, Cuba stands out in combating Covid with hydroxychloroquine, pleno.news/saude/coronavirus/cuba-se-destaca-no-combate-a-covid-com-hidroxicloroquina.html.
- 460. **Polat** et al., Hydroxychloroquine Use on Healthcare Workers Exposed to COVID-19 A Pandemic Hospital Experience, Medical Journal of Bakirkoy, 16:3, 280-6, doi:10.5222/BMJ.2020.50469.
- 461. Polo et al., Daily tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine and hydroxychloroquine for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19: a double-blind placebo controlled randomized trial in healthcare workers, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2022.07.006.
- 462. **Prodromos** et al., Hydroxychloroquine is effective, and consistently so used early, for Covid-19: A systematic review, New Microbes and New Infections, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100776.
- 463. **Psevdos** et al., Corona Virus Disease-19 (COVID-19) in a Veterans Affairs Hospital at Suffolk County, Long Island, New York, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa439.721.
- 464. Purwati et al., An in vitro study of dual drug combinations of anti-viral agents, antibiotics, and/or hydroxychloroquine against the SARS-CoV-2 virus isolated from hospitalized patients in Surabaya, Indonesia, PLOS One, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0252302.
- 465. Purwati (B) et al., A Randomized, Double-Blind, Multicenter Clinical Study Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of a Drug Combination of Lopinavir/Ritonavir-Azithromycin, Lopinavir/Ritonavir-Doxycycline, and Azithromycin-Hydroxychloroquine for Patients Diagnosed with Mild to Moderate COVID-19 Infections, Biochemistry Research International, doi:10.1155/2021/6685921.
- 466. **Q Costa Rica**, Hydroxychloroquine: The Drug Costa Rica Uses Successfully To Fight Covid-19, qcostarica.com/hydroxychloroquine-the-drug-costa-rica-uses-successfully-to-fight-covid-19/.
- 467. **Qin** et al., Low molecular weight heparin and 28-day mortality among patients with coronavirus disease 2019: A cohort study in the early epidemic era, Thrombosis Research, doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2020.11.020.
- 468. **Raabe** et al., Hydroxychloroquine pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent SARS-CoV-2 among health care workers at risk for SARS-CoV-2 exposure: A nonrandomized controlled trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2022.07.01.22277058.
- 469. **Rabe** et al., Impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on patients with systemic lupus erythematosus in England prior to vaccination: a retrospective observational cohort study, BMJ Open, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-071072.
- 470. **Rajasingham** et al., Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a randomized trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.09.18.20197327.
- 471. **Ramírez-García** et al., Hydroxychloroquine and Tocilizumab in the Treatment of COVID-19: A Longitudinal Observational Study, Archivos de Medicina Universitaria, digibug.ugr.es/handle/10481/69170.
- 472. **Rangel** et al., Chronic Hydroxychloroquine Therapy and COVID-19 Outcomes: A Retrospective Case-Control Analysis, Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.10.098.
- 473. **Rao** et al., Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis against COVID-19 infection among healthcare workers: a prospective cohort study, Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy, doi:10.1080/14787210.2022.2015326.
- 474. **Rathi** et al., *Hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis for COVID-19 contacts in India* Lancet Infect. Dis. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30313-3, www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(20)30313-3/fulltext.
- 475. **Rathod** et al., *Risk Factors associated with COVID-19 Patients in India: A Single Center Retrospective Cohort Study*, The Journal of the Association of Physicians of India, doi:10.5005/japi-11001-0263.
- 476. **Rathod (B)** et al., Association of vitamin D with the severity of disease and mortality in COVID-19: Prospective study in central India, Annals of African Medicine, doi:10.4103/aam.aam\_21\_22.
- 477. **Réa-Neto** et al., An open-label randomized controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine in severe COVID-19 patients, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-88509-9.

- 478. **RECOVERY Collaborative Group**, Effect of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: Preliminary results from a multi-centre, randomized, controlled trial, NEJM, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2022926.
- 479. Reis et al., Effect of Early Treatment With Hydroxychloroquine or Lopinavir and Ritonavir on Risk of Hospitalization Among Patients With COVID-19 The TOGETHER Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA Network Open, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.6468.
- 480. Rentsch et al., Effect of pre-exposure use of hydroxychloroquine on COVID-19 mortality: a population-based cohort study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus using the OpenSAFELY platform, The Lancet Rheumatology, doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30378-7.
- 481. **Revollo** et al., *Hydroxychloroquine pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 in healthcare workers*, Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, doi:10.1093/jac/dkaa477.
- 482. **Risch**, H., Early Outpatient Treatment of Symptomatic, High-Risk Covid-19 Patients that Should be Ramped-Up Immediately as Key to the Pandemic Crisis, American Journal of Epidemiology, kwaa093, 27 May 2020, doi:10.1093/aje/kwaa093.
- 483. **Risch (B)**, H., Response to: "Early Outpatient Treatment of Symptomatic, High-Risk Covid-19 Patients" and "Re: Early Outpatient Treatment of Symptomatic, High-Risk Covid-19 Patients that Should be Ramped-Up Immediately as Key to the Pandemic Crisis", American Journal of Epidemiology, July 20, 2020, doi:10.1093/aje/kwaa152.
- 484. **Rivera** et al., Utilization of COVID-19 Treatments and Clinical Outcomes among Patients with Cancer: A COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium (CCC19) Cohort Study, Cancer Discovery, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0941.
- 485. **Rivera-Izquierdo** et al., Agentes terapéuticos utilizados en 238 pacientes hospitalizados por COVID-19 y su relación con la mortalidad, Medicina Clínica, doi:10.1016/j.medcli.2020.06.025.
- 486. **Rodrigues** et al., Hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin early treatment of mild COVID-19 in outpatient setting: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial evaluating viral clearance, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2021.106428.
- 487. **Rodriguez** et al., Severe infection due to the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus: Experience of a tertiary hospital with COVID-19 patients during the 2020 pandemic, Medicina Intensiva, doi:10.1016/j.medine.2020.05.005.
- 488. **Rodriguez-Gonzalez** et al., COVID-19 in hospitalized patients in Spain: a cohort study in Madrid, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106249.
- 489. **Rodriguez-Nava** et al., Clinical characteristics and risk factors for mortality of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in a community hospital: A retrospective cohort study, Mayo Clinic Proceedings: Innovations, Quality & Outcomes, www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2542454820302071.
- 490. Rogado et al., Covid-19 and lung cancer: A greater fatality rate?, Lung Cancer, doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2020.05.034.
- 491. **Roger** et al., French Multicentre Observational Study on SARS-CoV-2 infections Intensive care initial management: the FRENCH CORONA Study, Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain Medicine, doi:10.1016/j.accpm.2021.100931.
- 492. **Roig** et al., *Clinical and pharmacological data in COVID-19 hospitalized nonagenarian patients*, Revista Espanola de Quimioterapia, doi:10.37201/req/130.2020.
- 493. **Rojas-Serrano** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for prophylaxis of COVID-19 in health workers: A randomized clinical trial, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0261980.
- 494. **Roomi** et al., Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine and tocilizumab in patients with COVID-19: A single-center retrospective chart review, J. Medical Internet Research, doi:10.2196/21758.
- 495. **Rosenberg** et al., Association of Treatment With Hydroxychloroquine or Azithromycin With In-Hospital Mortality in Patients With COVID-19 in New York State, JAMA, May 11, 2020, doi:10.1001/jama.2020.8630.
- 496. **Rosenthal** et al., Risk Factors Associated With In-Hospital Mortality in a US National Sample of Patients With COVID-19, JAMA Network Open, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.29058.
- 497. Rothstein, H., Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis: Prevention, Assessment and Adjustments, www.wiley.com/en-ae/Publication+Bias+in+Meta+Analysis:+Prevention,+Assessment+and+Adjustments-p-9780470870143.

- 498. **Rouamba** et al., Assessment of Recovery Time, Worsening and Death, among COVID-19 inpatients and outpatients, under treatment with Hydroxychloroquine or Chloroquine plus Azithromycin Combination in Burkina Faso, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2022.02.034.
- 499. **Roussel** et al., Influence of conflicts of interest on public positions in the COVID-19 era, the case of Gilead Sciences, New Microbes and New Infections, Volume 38, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100710.
- 500. **Roy** et al., Outcome of Different Therapeutic Interventions in Mild COVID-19 Patients in a Single OPD Clinic of West Bengal: A Retrospective study, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.03.08.21252883.
- 501. **Roy-García** et al., Efficacy and Safety of Fixed Combination of Hydroxychloroquine with Azithromycin Versus Hydroxychloroquine and Placebo in Patients with Mild COVID-19: Randomized, double blind, Placebo controlled trial, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2022.04.06.22273531.
- 502. **Rubio-Sánchez** et al., *Prognostic factors for the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection*, Advances in Laboratory Medicine / Avances en Medicina de Laboratorio, doi:10.1515/almed-2021-0017.
- 503. **Rücker** et al., Arcsine test for publication bias in meta-analyses with binary outcomes, Statistics in Medicine, doi:10.1002/sim.2971.
- 504. **Ruiz** et al., *Hydroxychloroquine lung pharmacokinetics in critically ill patients infected with COVID-19*, International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106247.
- 505. **Russian Government**, ВРЕМЕННЫЕ МЕТОДИЧЕСКИЕ РЕКОМЕНДАЦИИ ПРОФИЛАКТИКА, ДИАГНОСТИКА И ЛЕЧЕНИЕ НОВОЙ КОРОНАВИРУСНОЙ ИНФЕКЦИИ (COVID-19), static-0.minzdrav.gov.ru/system/attachments/attaches/000/052/548/original/%D0%9C%D0%A0\_COVID-19\_%28v.9%29.pdf.
- 506. **Russian Government (B)**, Распоряжение Правительства Российской Федерации от 16.04.2020 № 1030-р, publication.pravo.gov.ru/Document/View/0001202004160037#print.
- 507. **Sahebari** et al., Influence of biologic and conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on COVID-19 incidence among rheumatic patients during the first and second wave of the pandemic in Iran, Reumatologia/Rheumatology, doi:10.5114/reum.2022.119039.
- 508. **Sahraei** et al., Aminoquinolines against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine, Int. J. Antimicrobial Agents, April 2020, 55:4, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105945.
- 509. **Saib** et al., Lack of efficacy of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in patients hospitalized for COVID-19 pneumonia: A retrospective study, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0252388.
- 510. Said et al., Profiles of Independent-Comorbidity Groups in Senior COVID-19 Patients Reveal Low Fatality Associated with Standard Care and Low-Dose Hydroxychloroquine over Antivirals, Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare, doi:10.2147/JMDH.S403700.
- 511. Said (B) et al., The effect of Nigella sativa and vitamin D3 supplementation on the clinical outcome in COVID-19 patients: A randomized controlled clinical trial, Frontiers in Pharmacology, doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.1011522.
- 512. **Salazar** et al., Significantly Decreased Mortality in a Large Cohort of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Patients Transfused Early with Convalescent Plasma Containing High-Titer Anti–Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Spike Protein IgG, The American Journal of Pathology, doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2020.10.008.
- 513. **Saleemi** et al., Time to negative PCR from symptom onset in COVID-19 patients on Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin A real world experience, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.08.05.20151027.
- 514. **Salehi** et al., Risk factors of death in mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients: a retrospective multi-center study, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1362678/v1.
- 515. **Salesi** et al., *Clinical signs, symptoms, and severity of COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic diseases during the COVID-19 epidemic,* Immunopathologia Persa, doi:10.34172/ipp.2023.40568.
- 516. **Salvador** et al., *Clinical Features and Prognostic Factors of 245 Portuguese Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19*, Cureus, doi:10.7759/cureus.13687.

- 517. Salvarani et al., Susceptibility to COVID-19 in Patients Treated With Antimalarials: A Population-Based Study in Emilia-Romagna, Northern Italy, Arthritis & Rheumatology, doi:10.1002/art.41475.
- 518. Samajdar et al., Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine for Chemo-Prophylaxis of COVID-19: A Questionnaire Survey of Perception and Prescribing Practice of Physicians vis-a-vis Outcomes, Journal of the Association of Physicians India, 69:11, japi.org/x2a464b4/ivermectin-and-hydroxychloroquine-for-chemo-prophylaxis-of-covid-19-a-questionnaire-survey-of-perception-a nd-prescribing-practice-of-physicians-vis-vis-outcomes.
- 519. **Sammartino** et al., Predictors for inpatient mortality during the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: A retrospective analysis, PLOS One, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0251262.
- 520. **Sánchez-Álvarez** et al., Status of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients on renal replacement therapy. Report of the COVID-19 Registry of the Spanish Society of Nephrology (SEN), Nefrología, doi:10.1016/j.nefroe.2020.04.002.
- 521. **Sands** et al., No clinical benefit in mortality associated with hydroxychloroquine treatment in patients with COVID-19, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.12.060.
- 522. **Santos** et al., Determinants of COVID-19 disease severity in patients with underlying rheumatic disease, Clinical Rheumatology, doi:10.1007/s10067-020-05301-2.
- 523. **Sarfaraz** et al., Determinants of in-hospital mortality in COVID-19; a prospective cohort study from Pakistan, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.12.28.20248920.
- 524. **Sarhan** et al., Efficacy of the early treatment with tocilizumab-hydroxychloroquine and tocilizumab-remdesivir in severe COVID-19 Patients, Journal of Infection and Public Health, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2021.10.024.
- 525. **Sarwar** et al., PRophylaxis of Exposed COVID-19 Individuals With Mild Symptoms Using choloroquinE Compounds (PRECISE), NCT04351191, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04351191.
- 526. **Sarwar (B)** et al., Post-Exposure Prophylaxis for Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 COVID-19 Patients With choloroquinE Compounds (PEACE), NCT04346667, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04346667.
- 527. **Satti** et al., Characteristics and Obstetric Outcomes in Women With Autoimmune Rheumatic Disease During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Qatar, Cureus, doi:10.7759/cureus.24382.
- 528. **Sawanpanyalert** et al., Assessment of outcomes following implementation of antiviral treatment guidelines for COVID-19 during the first wave in Thailand, Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 52:4, journal.seameotropmednetwork.org/index.php/jtropmed/article/view/490.
- 529. **Sbidian** et al., Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin and in-hospital mortality or discharge in patients hospitalized for COVID-19 infection: a cohort study of 4,642 in-patients in France, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.06.16.20132597.
- 530. **Scardua-Silva** et al., Microstructural brain abnormalities, fatigue, and cognitive dysfunction after mild COVID-19, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-024-52005-7.
- 531. **Schmidt** et al., Association Between Androgen Deprivation Therapy and Mortality Among Patients With Prostate Cancer and COVID-19, JAMA Network Open, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34330.
- 532. **Schwartz** et al., Assessing the efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine as outpatient treatment of COVID-19: a randomized controlled trial, CMAJ Open, doi:10.9778/cmajo.20210069.
- 533. **Scirocco** et al., COVID-19 prognosis in systemic lupus erythematosus compared with rheumatoid arthritis and spondyloarthritis: results from the CONTROL-19 Study by the Italian Society for Rheumatology, Lupus Science & Medicine, doi:10.1136/lupus-2023-000945.
- 534. **Seet** et al., Positive impact of oral hydroxychloroquine and povidone-iodine throat spray for COVID-19 prophylaxis: an openlabel randomized trial, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.04.035.
- 535. **Self** et al., Effect of Hydroxychloroquine on Clinical Status at 14 Days in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.2020.22240.
- 536. **Sen** et al., Post-COVID-19 condition in patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases: the COVID-19 Vaccination in Autoimmune Diseases (COVAD) study, The Lancet Rheumatology, doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00066-8.

- 537. Serrano et al., COVID-19 and lung cancer: What do we know?, Ann. Oncol., 2020, Sep, 31, S1026, doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.1830.
- 538. **Shabani** et al., Evaluation of the Prophylactic Effect of Hydroxychloroquine on People in Close-Contact with Patients with Covid-19, Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, doi:10.1016/j.pupt.2021.102069.
- 539. **Shabrawishi** et al., Negative nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 PCR conversion in response to different therapeutic interventions, medRxix, doi:10.1101/2020.05.08.20095679.
- 540. **Shahrin** et al., Hospital-Based Quasi-Experimental Study on Hydroxychloroquine Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis for COVID-19 in Healthcare Providers with Its Potential Side-Effects, Life, doi:10.3390/life12122047.
- 541. **Shamsi** et al., Survival and Mortality in Hospitalized Children with COVID-19: A Referral Center Experience in Yazd, Iran, Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, doi:10.1155/2023/5205188.
- 542. **Shang** et al., Inhibitors of endosomal acidification suppress SARS-CoV-2 replication and relieve viral pneumonia in hACE2 transgenic mice, Virology Journal, doi:10.1186/s12985-021-01515-1.
- 543. **Shaw** et al., COVID-19 in Individuals Treated With Long-Term Hydroxychloroquine: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of Cicatricial Alopecia Patients, Journal of Drugs in Dermatology, doi:10.36849/JDD.5843.
- 544. **Sheaff**, R., A New Model of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Based on (Hydroxy)Chloroquine Activity, bioRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.08.02.232892.
- 545. **Sheshah** et al., Prevalence of Diabetes, Management and Outcomes among Covid-19 Adult Patients Admitted in a Specialized Tertiary Hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108538.
- 546. **Shoaibi** et al., *Comparative Effectiveness of Famotidine in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients*, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.09.23.20199463.
- 547. **Shu-Han Lin** et al., Inhalable Chitosan-Based Hydrogel as a Mucosal Adjuvant for Hydroxychloroquine in the Treatment for SARS-CoV-2 Infection in a Hamster Model, Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection, doi:10.1016/j.jmii.2023.08.001.
- 548. **Shukla** et al., An observational multi-centric COVID-19 sequelae study among health care workers, The Lancet Regional Health Southeast Asia, doi:10.1016/j.lansea.2022.100129.
- 549. **Signes-Costa** et al., Prevalence and 30-day mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and prior lung diseases, Archivos de Bronconeumología, doi:10.1016/j.arbres.2020.11.012.
- 550. **Silva** et al., *Clinical-Epidemiology* Aspect of Inpatients With Moderate or Severe COVID-19 in a Brazilian Macroregion: Disease and Countermeasures, Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.899702.
- 551. **Simova** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19 in health care workers, New Microbes and New Infections, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100813.
- 552. **Simova (B)** et al., Hydroxychloroquine for prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19 in health care workers, New Microbes and New Infections, doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100813.
- 553. **Singer** et al., Hydroxychloroquine ineffective for COVID-19 prophylaxis in lupus and rheumatoid arthritis, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218500.
- 554. **Singh** et al., Outcomes of Hydroxychloroquine Treatment Among Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients in the United States- Real-World Evidence From a Federated Electronic Medical Record Network, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.05.12.20099028.
- 555. **Singh (B)** et al., The relationship between viral clearance rates and disease progression in early symptomatic COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis, Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, doi:10.1093/jac/dkae045.
- 556. **Singh (C)** et al., Safety and efficacy of antiviral therapy alone or in combination in COVID-19 a randomized controlled trial (SEV COVID Trial), medRxiv, doi:0.1101/2021.06.06.21258091.
- 557. **Sivapalan** et al., Azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine in hospitalised patients with confirmed COVID-19–a randomised double-blinded placebo-controlled trial, European Respiratory Journal, doi:10.1183/13993003.00752-2021.

- 558. **Skipper** et al., Hydroxychloroquine in Nonhospitalized Adults With Early COVID-19: A Randomized Trial, Annals of Internal Medicine, doi:10.7326/M20-4207.
- 559. **Smith** et al., Observational Study on 255 Mechanically Ventilated Covid Patients at the Beginning of the USA Pandemic, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.05.28.21258012.
- 560. **Smith (B)** et al., Evaluating the Efficacy of Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin to Prevent Hospitalization or Death in Persons With COVID-19, NCT04358068, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04358068.
- 561. **Sobngwi** et al., Doxycycline vs Hydroxychloroquine + Azithromycin in the Management of COVID-19 Patients: An Open-Label Randomized Clinical Trial in Sub-Saharan Africa (DOXYCOV), Cureus, doi:10.7759/cureus.45619.
- 562. **Solh** et al., *Clinical course and outcome of COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome: data from a national repository*, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.10.16.20214130.
- 563. **SOLIDARITY Trial Consortium**, Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19; interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results, NEJM, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2023184.
- 564. **Sosa-García** et al., Experience in the management of severe COVID-19 patients in an intensive care unit, Cir Cir. 2020, 88:5, 569-575, doi:10.24875/CIRU.20000675.
- 565. **Soto** et al., Mortality and associated risk factors in patients hospitalized due to COVID-19 in a Peruvian reference hospital, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0264789.
- 566. **Soto (B)** et al., Mortality and associated risk factors in patients hospitalized due to COVID-19 in a Peruvian reference hospital, PLOS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0264789.
- 567. **Soto-Becerra** et al., Real-World Effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and ivermectin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients: Results of a target trial emulation using observational data from a nationwide Healthcare System in Peru, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.10.06.20208066.
- 568. **Souza-Silva** et al., Dados de Vida Real sobre o Uso da Hidroxicloroquina ou da Cloroquina Combinadas ou Não à Azitromicina em Pacientes com Covid-19: Uma Análise Retrospectiva no Brasil, Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia, doi:10.36660/abc.20220935.
- 569. **Sow** et al., Phytomedicines Versus Hydroxychloroquine as an Add on Therapy to Azythromycin in Asymptomatic Covid-19 Patients (PHYTCOVID-19), NCT04501965, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04501965.
- 570. **Spivak** et al., A Randomized Clinical Trial Testing Hydroxychloroquine for Reduction of SARS-CoV-2 Viral Shedding and Hospitalization in Early Outpatient COVID-19 Infection, Microbiology Spectrum, doi:10.1128/spectrum.04674-22.
- 571. **Stanley** et al., *Meta-regression approximations to reduce publication selection bias*, Research Synthesis Methods, doi:10.1002/jrsm.1095.
- 572. **Stewart** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.
- 573. **Stewart (B)** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.
- 574. **Stewart (C)** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.
- 575. **Stewart (D)** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.
- 576. **Stewart (E)** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.
- 577. **Stewart (F)** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.
- 578. **Stewart (G)** et al., COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator: A parallel analysis to describe the use of Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, PLoS ONE, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248128.

- 579. **Strangfeld** et al., Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: results from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219498.
- 580. **Stricker** et al., Hydroxychloroquine Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis for COVID-19 in Healthcare Workers from India: A Meta-Analysis, Journal of Infection and Public Health, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2021.08.001.
- 581. **Su** et al., Efficacy of early hydroxychloroquine treatment in preventing COVID-19 pneumonia aggravation, the experience from Shanghai, China, BioScience Trends, doi:10.5582/bst.2020.03340.
- 582. **Sukumar** et al., The Frontline War: A Case-control study of risk factors for COVID-19 among health care workers, F1000Research, doi:10.12688/f1000research.109023.1.
- 583. **Sulaiman** et al., The Effect of Early Hydroxychloroquine-based Therapy in COVID-19 Patients in Ambulatory Care Settings: A Nationwide Prospective Cohort Study, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.09.09.20184143.
- 584. **Sweeting** et al., What to add to nothing? Use and avoidance of continuity corrections in meta-analysis of sparse data, Statistics in Medicine, doi:10.1002/sim.1761.
- 585. **Syed** et al., Pre-exposure Prophylaxis With Various Doses of Hydroxychloroquine Among Healthcare Personnel With High-Risk Exposure to COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial, Cureus, doi:10.7759/cureus.20572.
- 586. **Synolaki** et al., The Activin/Follistatin-axis is severely deregulated in COVID-19 and independently associated with in-hospital mortality, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.09.05.20184655.
- 587. **Szente Fonseca** et al., Risk of Hospitalization for Covid-19 Outpatients Treated with Various Drug Regimens in Brazil: Comparative Analysis, Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease, doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101906.
- 588. **Taccone** et al., The role of organizational characteristics on the outcome of COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU in Belgium, The Lancet Regional Health Europe, doi:10.1016/j.lanepe.2020.100019.
- 589. **Taieb** et al., Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin Treatment of Hospitalized Patients Infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Senegal from March to October 2020, J. Clin. Med. 2021, doi:10.3390/jcm10132954.
- 590. **Tamura** et al., Outcome and death risk of diabetes patients with Covid-19 receiving pre-hospital and in-hospital metformin therapies, Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome, doi:10.1186/s13098-021-00695-8.
- 591. **Tamura (B)** et al., Outcome and death risk of diabetes patients with Covid-19 receiving pre-hospital and in-hospital metformin therapies, Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome, doi:10.1186/s13098-021-00695-8.
- 592. Tan et al., A retrospective comparison of drugs against COVID-19, Virus Research, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198262.
- 593. **Tang** et al., Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial, BMJ 2020, 369, doi:10.1136/bmj.m1849.
- 594. **Tarek** et al., *Pharmacokinetic Basis of the Hydroxychloroquine Response in COVID-19: Implications for Therapy and* Prevention, European Journal of Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics, doi:10.1007/s13318-020-00640-6.
- 595. **Tchounga** et al., Composition analysis of falsified chloroquine phosphate samples seized during the COVID-19 pandemic, Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113761.
- 596. **Tehrani** et al., Risk factors for mortality in adult COVID-19 patients: frailty predicts fatal outcome in older patients, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.071.
- 597. **Teller Report**, Coronavirus: a study in Senegal confirms the effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine, www.tellerreport.com/news/2020-05-02-coronavirus--a-study-in-senegal-confirms-the-effectiveness-of-hydroxychloroquine.BJeet 4Kst8.html.
- 598. **Texeira** et al., Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients admitted to a regional health system in the southeast, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa439.560.
- 599. Thairu et al., A Comparison of Ivermectin and Non Ivermectin Based Regimen for COVID-19 in Abuja: Effects on Virus Clearance, Days-to-discharge and Mortality, Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International, doi:10.9734/jpri/2022/v34i44A36328.

- 600. The Africa Report, Coronavirus: Didier Raoult the African and chloroquine, from Dakar to Brazzaville, www.theafricareport.com/26264/coronavirus-didier-raoult-the-african-and-chloroquine-from-dakar-to-brazzaville/.
- 601. **The Australian**, India and Indonesia stand by antimalarials, www.theaustralian.com.au/world/coronavirus-india-and-indonesia-stand-by-antimalarials/news-story/d7856d1371697fe69e4fcc 39d7f1f97c.
- 602. **The BL**, Russia supports the use of hydroxychloroquine, the drug to treat the CCP Virus suggested by Trump, thebl.com/world-news/russia-supports-hydroxychloroquine-drug-ccp-virus-trump.html.
- 603. **The East African**, Algeria backs use of malaria drug despite WHO dropping trials, www.theeastafrican.co.ke/news/africa/Algeria-backs-hydroxychloroquine-use/4552902-5564930-duphp6/index.html.
- 604. **The Guardian**, Chloroquine potent for COVID-19 prevention, says NAFDAC, guardian.ng/news/nigeria/national/chloroquine-potent-for-covid-19-prevention-says-nafdac/.
- 605. **The Indian Express**, Vadodara administration drive: HCQ helping in containing Covid-19 cases, say docs as analysis begins, indianexpress.com/article/india/vadodara-administration-drive-hcq-helping-in-containing-covid-19-cases-say-docs-as-analysis-be gins-6486049/.
- 606. **The Moscow Times**, Russia Approves Unproven Malaria Drug to Treat Coronavirus, www.themoscowtimes.com/2020/04/17/russia-approves-unproven-malaria-drug-to-treat-coronavirus-a70025.
- 607. **The New York Times**, Malaria Drug Taken by Trump Is Tied to Increased Risk of Heart Problems and Death in New Study, www.nytimes.com/2020/05/22/health/malaria-drug-trump-coronavirus.html.
- 608. **The New York Times (B)**, Small Chloroquine Study Halted Over Risk of Fatal Heart Complications, www.nytimes.com/2020/04/12/health/chloroquine-coronavirus-trump.html?smid=em-share.
- 609. **The New York Times (C)**, Malaria Drug Promoted by Trump Did Not Prevent Covid Infections, Study Finds, www.nytimes.com/2020/06/03/health/hydroxychloroquine-coronavirus-trump.html.
- 610. The New York Times (D), Coronavirus Can Be Deadly for Young Adults, Too, Study Finds, www.nytimes.com/2020/09/10/world/covid-19-coronavirus.html.
- 611. The North Africa Post, Morocco continues use of Chloroquine despite controversy, northafricapost.com/41247-morocco-continues-use-of-chloroquine-despite-controversy.html.
- 612. **The Tico Times**, News briefs: Reopening plans on-track, hydroxychloroquine use to continue, partnership with Coursera, ticotimes.net/2020/06/15/news-briefs-reopening-plans-on-track-hydroxychloroquine-use-to-continue-partnership-with-coursera.
- 613. **Tirupakuzhi Vijayaraghavan** et al., Hydroxychloroquine plus personal protective equipment versus personal protective equipment alone for the prevention of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infections among healthcare workers: a multicentre, parallel-group randomised controlled trial from India, BMJ Open, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059540.
- 614. **Todaro** et al., An Effective Treatment for Coronavirus (COVID-19), github.com/covidtrial/info/raw/master/An%20Effective%20Treatment%20for%20Coronavirus%20(COVID-19).pdf.
- 615. **Treanor** et al., Efficacy and Safety of the Oral Neuraminidase Inhibitor Oseltamivir in Treating Acute Influenza: A Randomized Controlled Trial, JAMA, 2000, 283:8, 1016-1024, doi:10.1001/jama.283.8.1016.
- 616. **Trefond** et al., Effet d'un traitement par hydroxychloroquine prescrit comme traitement de fond de rhumatismes inflammatoires chroniques ou maladies auto-immunes systémiques sur les tests diagnostiques et l'évolution de l'infection à SARS CoV-2: étude de 871 patients, Revue du Rhumatisme, doi:10.1016/j.rhum.2021.09.004.
- 617. **Treluyer** et al., Prevention of SARS-CoV-2 in Hospital Workers s Exposed to the Virus (PREP-COVID), PREP-COVID, NCT04344379, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04344379.
- 618. **Trullàs** et al., High in-hospital mortality due to COVID-19 in a community hospital in Spain: a prospective observational study, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-39421/v1.
- 619. **Tsanovska** et al., Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) treatment for hospitalized patients with COVID-19, Infectious Disorders Drug Targets, doi:10.2174/1871526522666220303121209.

- 620. **Tu** et al., Risk Factors for Severity and Mortality in Adult Patients Confirmed with COVID-19 in Sierra Leone: A Retrospective Study, Infectious Diseases & Immunity, doi:10.1097/ID9.000000000000037.
- 621. **Turrini** et al., Clinical Course and Risk Factors for In-Hospital Mortality of 205 Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Pneumonia in Como, Lombardy Region, Italy, Vaccines, 10.3390/vaccines9060640, www.mdpi.com/2076-393X/9/6/640.
- 622. **Ubaldo** et al., COVID-19: A Single-Center ICU Experience of the First Wave in the Philippines, Critical Care Research and Practice, 10.1155/2021/7510306, www.hindawi.com/journals/ccrp/2021/7510306/.
- 623. **Ugarte-Gil** et al., Characteristics associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes in individuals with systemic lupus erythematosus: data from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance, Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221636.
- 624. **Ukrinform**, Ukraine receives batch of hydroxychloroquine tablets from India, www.ukrinform.net/rubric-economy/3019049-uber-eats-to-close-down-in-ukraine-on-june-3.html.
- 625. **Ulrich** et al., Treating Covid-19 With Hydroxychloroquine (TEACH): A Multicenter, Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial in Hospitalized Patients, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa446.
- 626. United States National Institutes of Health, Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin, www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/antiviral-therapy/chloroquine-or-hydroxychloroquine-with-or-without-azithromycin/.
- 627. **Uyaroğlu** et al., Comparison of Favipiravir to Hydroxychloroquine Plus Azithromycin in the Treatment of Patients with Noncritical COVID-19: A Single-center, Retrospective, Propensity Score-matched Study, Acta Medica, doi:10.32552/2022.ActaMedica.719.
- 628. **Uygen** et al., Effect of Hydroxychloroquine Use on the Length Of Hospital Stay in Children Diagnosed With Covid 19, Northern Clinics of Istanbul, doi:10.14744/nci.2021.65471.
- 629. van Halem et al., Risk factors for mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 at the start of the pandemic in Belgium: a retrospective cohort study, BMC Infect Dis., doi:10.1186/s12879-020-05605-3.
- 630. **Vanguard**, COVID-19: Nigerian study finds Chloroquine, Hydroxychloroquine effective as Prophylaxis, www.vanguardngr.com/2020/06/covid-19-nigerian-study-finds-chloroquine-hydroxychloroquine-effective-as-prophylaxis/.
- 631. **Vernaz** et al., Early experimental COVID-19 therapies: associations with length of hospital stay, mortality and related costs, Swiss Medical Weekly, doi:10.4414/smw.2020.20446.
- 632. **Vigbedor** et al., Review of four major biomolecular target sites for COVID-19 and possible inhibitors as treatment interventions, Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, doi:10.7324/JAPS.2021.110825.
- 633. **Vivanco-Hidalgo** et al., Incidence of COVID-19 in patients exposed to chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine: results from a population-based prospective cohort in Catalonia, Spain, 2020, Eurosurveillance, doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.9.2001202.
- 634. Voice of America, Cameroon Begins Large-scale Chloroquine Production, www.voanews.com/science-health/coronavirus-outbreak/cameroon-begins-large-scale-chloroquine-production.
- 635. **Wan** et al., Synergistic inhibition effects of andrographolide and baicalin on coronavirus mechanisms by downregulation of ACE2 protein level, Scientific Reports, doi:10.1038/s41598-024-54722-5.
- 636. **Wang** et al., Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine as ACE2 blockers to inhibit viropexis of 2019-nCoV Spike pseudotyped virus, Phytomedicine, doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2020.153333.
- 637. Wang (B) et al., Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro, Cell Res. 30, 269–271, doi:L10.1038/s41422-020-0282-0.
- 638. Wang (C) et al., Comorbidity and Sociodemographic determinants in COVID-19 Mortality in an US Urban Healthcare System, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.06.11.20128926.
- 639. WellStar, Hydroxychloroquine Use in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19: Impact on Progression to Severe or Critical Disease, NCT04429867, clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04429867.

- 640. **Wen** et al., Cholinergic α7 nAChR signaling suppresses SARS-CoV-2 infection and inflammation in lung epithelial cells, Journal of Molecular Cell Biology, doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjad048.
- 641. White et al., Chloroquine/ Hydroxychloroquine Prevention of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) in the Healthcare Setting (COPCOV), COPCOV, NCT04303507, classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04303507.
- 642. Willett et al., The hyper-transmissible SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant exhibits significant antigenic change, vaccine escape and a switch in cell entry mechanism, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2022.01.03.21268111.
- 643. Williams, T., Not All Ivermectin Is Created Equal: Comparing The Quality of 11 Different Ivermectin Sources, Do Your Own Research, doyourownresearch.substack.com/p/not-all-ivermectin-is-created-equal.
- 644. Xia et al., Efficacy of Chloroquine and Lopinavir/ Ritonavir in mild/general novel coronavirus (CoVID-19) infections: a prospective, open-label, multicenter randomized controlled clinical study, ChiCTR2000029741, www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=49263.
- 645. Xu et al., A study of impurities in the repurposed COVID-19 drug hydroxychloroquine sulfate by UHPLC-Q/TOF-MS and LC-SPE-NMR, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, doi:10.1002/rcm.9358.
- 646. **Yadav** et al., Repurposing the Combination Drug of Favipiravir, Hydroxychloroquine and Oseltamivir as a Potential Inhibitor Against SARS-CoV-2: A Computational Study, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-628277/v1.
- 647. **Yadav (B)** et al., Hydroxychloroquine/chloroquine prophylaxis among health-care workers: Was it really preventive? Evidence from a multicentric cross-sectional study, Indian Journal of Community Medicine, doi:10.4103/ijcm.ijcm\_684\_21.
- 648. **Yadav (C)** et al., Sero-survey for health-care workers provides corroborative evidence for the effectiveness of Hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis against COVID-19 infection, ResearchGate, doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.34411.77603.
- 649. Yang et al., SARS-CoV-2 infection causes dopaminergic neuron senescence, Cell Stem Cell, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2023.12.012.
- 650. **Yao** et al., In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Projection of Optimized Dosing Design of Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), Clin. Infect. Dis., 2020 Mar 9, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa237.
- 651. **Yegerov** et al., Epidemiological and Clinical Characteristics, and Virologic Features of COVID-19 Patients in Kazakhstan: a Nation-Wide, Retrospective, Cohort Study, medRxiv, doi:10.1101/2021.01.06.20249091.
- 652. **Yilgwan** et al., Clinical profile and Predictors of Outcomes of Hospitalized Patients with Laboratory-Confirmed Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Nigeria: A Retrospective Analysis of 13 High Burden States in Nigeria, Nigerian Medical Journal, 64:2, nigerianmedjournal.org/index.php/nmj/article/view/174.
- 653. **Yu** et al., Beneficial effects exerted by hydroxychloroquine in treating COVID-19 patients via protecting multiple organs, Science China Life Sciences, 2020 Aug 3, doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1782-1.
- 654. Yu (B) et al., Beneficial effects exerted by hydroxychloroquine in treating COVID-19 patients via protecting multiple organs, Science China Life Sciences, 2020 Aug 3, doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1782-1.
- 655. Yu (C) et al., Low Dose of Hydroxychloroquine Reduces Fatality of Critically III Patients With COVID-19, Science China Life Sciences, 2020 May 15, 1-7, doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1732-2.
- 656. **Yuan** et al., Hydroxychloroquine blocks SARS-CoV-2 entry into the endocytic pathway in mammalian cell culture, Communications Biology, doi:10.1038/s42003-022-03841-8.
- 657. **Zavascki** et al., Advanced ventilatory support and mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 caused by Gamma (P.1) variant of concern compared to other lineages: cohort study at a reference center in Brazil, Research Square, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-910467/v1.
- 658. Zelenko, Z., Nebulized Hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 Treatment: 80x Improvement in Breathing, Preprint, faculty.utrgv.edu/eleftherios.gkioulekas/zelenko/Zelenko-nebulized-hcq.pdf.
- 659. **Zhang** et al., What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes, JAMA, 80:19, 1690, doi:10.1001/jama.280.19.1690.
- 660. **Zhong** et al., COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic disease in Hubei province, China: a multicentre retrospective observational study, Lancent Rheumatology, doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30227-7.

661. **Zhong Nanshan (**伊南山), Efficacy and safety of chloroquine for treatment of COVID-19. An open-label, multi-center, non-randomized trial, twitter.com/JamesTodaroMD/status/1243260720944480265.